Page 4798 SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY 1 LAW DIVISION - MIDDLESEX COUNTY 2 DOCKET NO. MID-5418-12-AS 3 VALERIE PANZARELLA,) Individually and as) Executrix and Executrix 4) ad Prosequendum of the STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT) 5 Estate of MICHAEL C. ARGENTO, OF 6 Plaintiff, JURY TRIAL 7 V. (VOLUME XXIV) CHARLES B. CHRYSTAL 8 COMPANY, INC., et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 12 B E F O R E: 13 HONORABLE ANA VISCOMI, and a Jury 14 15 TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as 16 taken by and before LINDA M. JORRITSMA, a Certified 17 Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New 18 19 Jersey, held at the MIDDLESEX COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 56 20 Paterson Street, New Brunswick, New Jersey, on 2.1 Monday, August 8, 2016, commencing at 8:37 a.m. 22 23 24 2.5 Job No. NJ2334756

Page 4799	Page 480
1 APPEARANCES: 2	1 INDEX 2 WITNESS: PAGE
3 LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP	3
BY: MOSHE MAIMON, ESQ.	VICTOR ROGGLI
4 AMBER R. LONG, ESQ. 800 Third Avenue	4 DIRECT BY MR. CEDILLO 4839
5 New York, New York 10022	CROSS BY MR. MAIMON 4974
212-605-6200	5
6 mmaimon@levylaw.com	6
along@levylaw.com	7
7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 8	EXHIBITS 8
DAVIS, CEDILLO & MENDOZA, INC.	No. Description Page
9 BY: RICARDO G. CEDILLO, ESQ.	9 LTC-11 Marked for Identification 4926
McCombs Plaza, Suite 500	LTC-12 Marked for Identification 4937
10 755 East Mulberry Avenue San Antonio, Texas 78212-3135	10 P-130 Marked for Identification 4981
11 210-822-6666	P-131 Marked for Identification 4984
rcedillo@lawdcm.com	11 P-132 Marked for Identification 5000
12 Attorneys for Defendant, Lorillard	P-133 Marked for Identification 5011
13 HUGHES, HUBBARD & REED, LLP	12 P-134 Marked for Identification 5019 P-135 Marked for Identification 5024
14 BY: JAMES E. BERGER, ESQ.	P-135 Marked for Identification 5024 13 P-136 Marked for Identification 5029
2345 Grand Boulevard	P-137 Marked for Identification 5033
15 Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2663	14 P-138 Marked for Identification 5075
berger@hugheshubbard.com 16 Attorneys for the Defendant, Hollingsworth & Vose	P-139 Marked for Identification 5080
17	15 P-140 Marked for Identification 5088
BROWN & CONNERY, LLP	P-141 Marked for Identification 5088
18 BY: STEPHEN J. DeFEO, ESQUIRE 360 Haddon Avenue	16
19 Westmont, New Jersey 08108	17 18
sdefeo@brownconnery.com	19
20 Attorneys for the Defendants, Lorillard and	20
Hollingsworth & Vose	21
22	22
23	23
24 25	24 25
25	23
Page 4800	Page 480
1 APPEARANCES (continued):	1 (The following takes place out of the
2	2 presence of the jury.)
3 HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP	THE COURT: Good morning.
BY: ALAN I. DUNST, ESQ.	5
4 DANIEL R. KUSZMERSKI, ESQ.	4 Today is August 8, 2016. We are here
40 Paterson Street	5 with regard to the continued trial in the matter of
5 P.O. Box 480	6 Valerie Panzarella individually and for the Estate of
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903	7 Michael Argento versus Charles B. Chrystal Company,
6 732-545-4717	
adunst@hoaglandlongo.com	8 et. al., Docket No. 5418-12, outside the presence of
7 dkuszmerski@hoaglandlongo.com	9 the jury. Could I have appearances, please, on
Attorneys for Defendant, Whittaker Clark & Daniels,	10 behalf of the plaintiff.
8 Inc.	11 MS. LONG: Good morning, your Honor.
9	
10	12 Amber Long and Moshe Maimon on behalf of the
11	13 plaintiff.
12	14 THE COURT: Thank you.
13	15 On behalf of the defendant Lorillard?
14	MR. CEDILLO: Good morning, your Honor.
15	17 Ricardo Cedillo and Mr. Stephen DeFeo for Lorillard.
16	MR. DeFEO: Good morning, your Honor.
17	19 THE COURT: Good morning.
18	
19	20 On behalf of the defendant Hollingsworth
20	21 & Vose.
21	MD DEDCED, Cood marring view Honor
	22 Mr. Derger, Good Horning, Your Honor
22	MR. BERGER: Good morning, your Honor.
21 22 23	23 Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo for Hollingsworth & Vose.
22	

Page 4803 1 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 1 relevance of what is going on today in 1998 when this 2 Alan Dunst and Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of 2 witness is giving testimony, but also it gives expert 3 Whittaker Clark & Daniels. 3 testimony about what they've learned and what they've 4 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 managed to improve and whether or not it's 5 So we have an expert witness on behalf of 5 characteristic of a high-efficiency filter. 6 Lorillard, and that is Dr. --THE COURT: Thank you. 6 7 7 MR. CEDILLO: Roggli. MR. CEDILLO: I think it's part of the 8 THE COURT: Thank you. I couldn't 8 story, your Honor. This is the engineer who created 9 remember his name. I was thinking Eagleman. 9 the machine and he's giving his perspective from his MR. CEDILLO: No, that would take much 10 firsthand knowledge. 11 more time than any of us have. Tell him I said that. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 Okay? 12 MR. MAIMON: But it's today. 13 THE COURT: So, however, in the meantime 13 THE COURT: Well, he's giving the 14 before the jury gets here, we are continuing with 14 testimony today. 15 regard to objections to certain designations of the 15 MR. MAIMON: No, no, he says -- in fact, 16 testimony taken in another matter of M.S. "Chip" 16 "QUESTION: Is that a characteristic of many 17 Block. 17 high-efficiency filters?" 18 Okay. Where do we go after -- from 18 He says, "Not today, because we have 19 where we concluded last? 19 learned how to improve the thing. That was the first 20 MR. MAIMON: Starting on page 41, line 20 time we got away from the asbestos-type filter, and 21 19, your Honor, for the next several pages, and I'll 21 then we managed to make further improvements to get 22 voice -- it's the same objection through 44-6. This 22 rid of that objection." 23 is talking about the generation or generations of 23 It's not time bound. It's a period 24 Kent cigarettes after the relevant time period, and 24 which would span 1956 to 1998, and there's no 25 the waste involved, and whether or not there was 25 indication here as to when that happened. Page 4804 Page 4806 1 waste in the subsequent products or not. And we 1 MR. CEDILLO: The relevance, your Honor, 2 would object on relevance grounds. 2 is that it's talking about the draw, and the features MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, the relevance 3 that make the draw to stay different from the 4 is the suggestion that we were taking the asbestos 4 difficult draw, which was part of our characteristics 5 out because we knew of some great danger. And 5 that Mr. Argento apparently never picked up on --6 obviously the truth is quite different. It was a MR. MAIMON: My point --7 MR. CEDILLO: -- because he didn't smoke 7 very wasteful process. He explains the differences 8 between the asbestos filter process and the new 8 the original cigarette. 9 process, which was faster, cheaper, easier, less THE COURT: Hold on one moment. 10 labor-intensive and much more economical. And that 10 Mr. Berger wanted to add something. 11 belies the impression that they've tried to create 11 MR. BERGER: No. 12 that we knew it was some sort of dangerous substance 12 MR. MAIMON: That might be relevant, 13 we were rushing to -- to -- and took our sweet time 13 your Honor, if this were tied to the 1957, 1958, 1960 14 to get to. So I think it is relevant. 14 time period. But this witness is talking about 1998 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 and today, and so we object. 16 THE COURT: That second sentence does Having had an opportunity to review some 16 17 of this testimony, although it is outside the period 17 not pick up from today. I mean, that first sentence 18 of exposure and after the asbestos was taken out, 18 talks about today, when he's giving the testimony in 19 this goes to part of the defense, and that is why 19 1998, you said? 20 asbestos was used in the beginning and why they took 20 MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But the second 21 it out. And so for that purpose, this portion of the 22 testimony remains in. The objection is overruled. 22 sentence thereafter, "That was the first time we got 23 So where do we go next? 23 away from asbestos-type filter, and then we managed 24 MR. MAIMON: 44-7 through 12, your 24 to make further improvements to get rid of that 25 Honor. Our objection is not only with regard to the 25 objection."

Colloquy -

Colloquy -		
Page 4807	Page 4809	
1 How much so this objection is to 7	1 MR. MAIMON: Yes, it was.	
2 through 12?	2 MR. CEDILLO: I think 1, 2 and 3 and 4	
3 MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor.	3 should come out and it would pick up at 5.	
4 THE COURT: Okay. How about if we just	4 THE COURT: Well, 1 is the end of the	
5 got rid of that first sentence where he puts it in	5 prior answer.	
6 the "today" time frame of 1998, and left the rest of	6 MR. CEDILLO: Sorry, yes.	
7 it?	7 THE COURT: So 2 through 4 you're going	
8 MR. BERGER: That's all right with us,	8 to take out? Is that correct?	
9 your Honor.	9 MR. CEDILLO: I think so, your Honor.	
10 MR. CEDILLO: Remove all of line 9 and	MR. BERGER: We'll take out, yeah, 2, 3,	
11 10 ending with "thing."	11 4. That is correct.	
12 THE COURT: Correct.	12 THE COURT: Correct.	
13 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor.	MR. CEDILLO: Begin at 5.	
THE COURT: So that's what we'll do.	14 THE COURT: Where to now?	
Where do we go next?	MR. CEDILLO: Sorry I missed that.	
16 MR. MAIMON: 46-18, your Honor, through	MR. MAIMON: Page 56-2 through I'm	
17 48-7. This has to do with equipment used to package	17 sorry 58-6.	
18 Kents and packaging of Kents and packaging of another	18 THE COURT: 56-2 to 58-6. And what's	
19 brand called Old Gold, and we object on relevancy	19 the nature of the objection?	
20 grounds.	20 MR. MAIMON: This calls for expert	
21 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, the integrity	21 testimony, your Honor. What is it about the	
22 of the packaging has a lot to do with the condition	22 condition or the picture in 8-B that would have	
23 of the packages that Dr. Longo chose to test, and	23 prevented it from functioning and performing in the	
24 this is a description of what they looked like when	24 more manner in which Lorillard intended it, and	
25 they were being manufactured and coming off the	25 whether or not it would be more likely or less likely	
Page 4808	Page 4810	
1 assembly line, and we can contrast that with the	1 to release asbestos, the components of the filter?"	
2 condition that Dr. Longo had. So it's part of that.	2 That's on 57.	
3 That's the relevancy.	3 And he's not qualified as an expert, and	
4 MR. BERGER: And it's also if I may,	4 this is giving expert opinion.	
5 your Honor, it's also foundational to what our	5 THE COURT: Okay. So remind me again.	
6 expert, Dr. Reinert, will be able to tie up, that	6 Mr. Block was an engineer.	
7 this kind of packaging, the cellophane that they were	7 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor.	
8 packaged in, was not airtight, could lead to the	8 THE COURT: And what was his role again?	
9 degradation over the 40 years between the packaging	9 MR. CEDILLO: He created the machine and	
10 and when Dr. Longo tested the cigarettes.	10 he was in charge.	
11 THE COURT: Thank you. Anything	THE COURT: The machine that made the	
12 further?	12 cigarettes?	
MR. MAIMON: No, your Honor.	MR. CEDILLO: Yes.	
14 THE COURT: For that purpose for which	MR. BERGER: The filter. Because the	
15 the defendant seeks to introduce this testimony, it	15 filter material was so unique, Lorillard had to work	
16 will be permitted. The objection is overruled.	16 with the machine manufacturers to develop	
Where do we go to now?	17 filter-making equipment. Mr. Block was the chief	
MR. MAIMON: 55, lines 2 through 3.	18 engineer of Lorillard at the time involved in the	
THE COURT: Sorry, which lines?	19 development of that, as well as running the	
20 MR. MAIMON: Lines 2 and 3. There's	20 manufacturing process and dealing with quality	
21 no there's no answer to the question.	21 control during the Micronite time period.	
MR. BERGER: We can edit that. That's	THE COURT: So the testimony in this	
23 not a problem, your Honor.	23 particular case, in this Rosenzweig case in 1998, he	
24 MR. CEDILLO: I think the question was	24 was a fact witness, correct, and not an expert?	
25 reasked.	25 MR. BERGER: Yes.	

Page 4811 Page 4813 MR. CEDILLO: Yes. 1 1 objection? 2 THE COURT: Let me just look through 2 MR. MAIMON: It's actually -- the 3 these passages. 3 objection is the animation, your Honor, and I expect 4 MR. CEDILLO: And your Honor, he's 4 that they're going to show a computer animation. 5 5 talking about the photographs from the Longo '95 THE COURT: Have you seen the animation? MR. MAIMON: I did, your Honor, and I 6 report. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. So when he's talking 7 don't think there's a qualification for it. It was 8 about 8-B. Exhibit 8-B in page 56, line 4, that's 8 an animation that was created by the lawyers and 9 the Longo photograph he's looking at? 9 they're asking him to comment about it, and there's 10 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, in the '95 Cancer 10 no foundation laid for it that that animation fairly 11 Research article. 11 and accurately depicts the condition of the THE COURT: The published study. 12 compression. 12 13 MR. CEDILLO: Yes. 13 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, I may be 14 THE COURT: Okay. 14 misremembering. I've had four other court hearings 15 since I was last here. 15 MR. BERGER: And I think while you read 16 this, particularly on page 57, you'll see that he 16 THE COURT: Oh, no, we should not have 17 doesn't answer with expert testimony like what we've 17 taken a break. 18 seen from Dr. Hinds or other witnesses about whether 18 MR. CEDILLO: But I thought that the 19 the filter would release fibers. He's giving his 19 objection has already been dealt with. I remember 20 testimony as a fact witness about the filter and how 20 Mr. Maimon saying that it made for good theater but 21 it was manufactured and what its characteristics were 21 that it shouldn't come in. This is the animation 22 and how it both physically was formed as well as how 22 where it shows how the roll collapses on itself and 23 it appeared. So I think it's fact testimony. 23 goes into a funnel to create it. This is the man who THE COURT: Okay. So as the engineer 24 created the machine. He's explaining how the thing 25 that created the machine that made the filter, he was 25 works. And I thought you ruled it was coming in. Page 4814 Page 4812 1 there to do test runs on it and correct any issues 1 MR. MAIMON: I don't think the Court 2 during the relevant time frame, and then produced the 2 dealt with this issue. 3 final product. THE COURT: Didn't we already have some 4 MR. BERGER: Yes, your Honor. 4 sort of animation already? MR. CEDILLO: And followed up to make MR. CEDILLO: Of the manufacturing 6 sure the quality control, the repetition, the mass 6 process. This is part of it. I think she ruled on 7 that. 7 production, was always consistent. 8 8 THE COURT: All right. Give me a few MR. MAIMON: I don't recall -- I have 9 moments. 9 not -- not this -- I mean. I didn't raise this 10 Based upon the role that Mr. Block 10 before. MR. BERGER: Mr. Maimon, the entire 11 served in creating the machine that made the filter, 11 12 this is factual testimony and not expert testimony, 12 manufacturing process had been objected to, and you 13 so it all comes in. 13 already overruled the objection. 14 14 Where to now? MR. MAIMON: The discussion of it. Yes, 15 MR. MAIMON: 60-18 through 61-11, your 15 your Honor, dealt with the discussion of it. My 16 Honor. 16 objection is to an animation where he's already 17 MR. CEDILLO: 60-18 is not designated on 17 described in words above what he did, and now he's 18 shown an animation by a lawyer, generated by the 18 my copy. 19 MR. BERGER: It is. 19 lawyers, and there's no foundation for an animation 20 THE COURT: It is. 20 such as that as required by the rules. 21 21 MR. BERGER: They have previously not MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, it's a 22 objected. 22 demonstrative aid. He could stand up and draw it and 23 MR. CEDILLO: Oh, you had not objected 23 discuss it, or do it much more quickly with a true 24 previously. I'm sorry. 24 and correct depiction of how it worked. And he says 25 THE COURT: What's the nature of the 25 all that. I don't understand the nature of the

Page 4815 Page 4817 1 objection. 1 animated to show the actual process of the seven 2 And I really do think it was dealt with, 2 layers --3 because I remember specifically Mr. Maimon saying how 3 THE COURT: Rolling down. 4 it made for great theater but that it shouldn't come 4 MR. CEDILLO: -- rolled up into one, 5 going into the funnel, and that's what he's MR. MAIMON: This is the first time the 6 describing. 6 7 7 word "animation" is present in the transcript, so THE COURT: Okay. 8 this is the first objection. My objection is not to 8 MR. BERGER: And your Honor, if I may. 9 his description, which he gave in the previous MR. MAIMON: Yeah. 10 paragraphs and previous pages, my objection is the 10 MR. BERGER: If I can refer the Court 11 lawyer showing him an animation without following the 11 back to -- you know, at this particular page, 12 rules about how you qualify an animation. Even a 12 Mr. Ohlemeyer did not -- the defense lawyer did not 13 demonstrative aid, you have to qualify, and it's not 13 ask the foundational question, did you prepare this 14 qualified yet. 14 animation. But when going through other diagrams and 15 MR. BERGER: Your Honor. 15 depictions of the manufacturing process earlier in 16 THE COURT: Yes. 16 the transcript, he did. 17 MR. BERGER: If you note, plaintiffs are 17 So for example, on page -- page 29, when 18 not objecting to the relevance of this. And as you 18 he is talking about the master roll process on page 19 have read, it appeared you were reading Mr. Block's 19 29, line 19, Mr. Ohlemeyer asks: "Have you prepared 20 testimony, he goes through and describes what is 20 a drawing of that for us. 21 21 happening in the compression funnel and describing "ANSWER: Yes, I have." 22 22 the compression ratio. There's nothing in the Or on page 32, lines 3 through 7: 23 testimony that suggests he thought the animation was 23 "Mr. Block, have you also prepared or had prepared at 24 inaccurate or not representative of that segment of 24 your direction an animation of that process, the 25 the manufacturing process. 25 process of creating a master roll? Page 4816 Page 4818 THE COURT: Prior to that, in the "ANSWER: Yes." 1 1 2 designated lines on line 19 through 20, it says here, 2 So those are just examples throughout 3 "You showed us on the exhibit the compression 3 the transcript where Mr. Block has already said, I 4 funnel." So are the jurors going to see those 4 was involved in preparing the diagrams or the 5 exhibits with the compression funnel? 5 animation. MR. BERGER: Yeah. What he -- what it MR. MAIMON: We don't object to the 7 is is -- and I'll stand up -- when he had -- when he 7 diagrams. 8 showed the entire manufacturing process going from 8 THE COURT: Is there anything further 9 the filter to the conveyor belts to the cutting to you haven't already articulated? 10 the compression funnel, and et cetera, is what the 10 MR. MAIMON: No. 11 jurors will see. And so while he is showing how the THE COURT: Okay. So here, having 11 12 filter material goes down the conveyor belt and ends 12 reviewed the testimony beginning with line 18, and 13 up becoming a filter, he points out the compression 13 the witness talking about exhibits that the jury is 14 funnel that is part of that process. So that's what 14 going to see that show the compression funnel. And, 15 he's referring back to. The jurors will have already 15 yes, here he's shown an animation. There is no 16 foundational in terms of did you prepare this. 16 seen the compression funnel in his explanation. 17 THE COURT: The exhibits. Okay. 17 However, in reading this testimony, he does not 18 MR. CEDILLO: The jury has seen it. 18 say -- he does not testify that it is in any way not 19 It's coming back now. It was part of Mr. Orcutt's 19 accurate. In fact, his description of it is that it 20 video that hasn't been shown. There was a poster 20 would be accurate. 21 board which I believe was up on the screen. 21 So I'm going to allow it in despite that 22 THE COURT: That's what I remember. 22 lack of asking the witness whether he prepared it and 23 MR. CEDILLO: Yes. 23 is it accurate. By reading the testimony, certainly 24 THE COURT: Right. 24 this witness would have indicated if there was a 25 MR. CEDILLO: And that is now being 25 problem with it, and he does not. In fact, he says

Conoquy -		
Page 4819	Page 4821	
1 here, "Well, here you see how the material goes in	1 So if you're going to have a brand that people keep	
2 and it's folded over and compressed."	2 coming to buy, you've got to consistently make it the	
3 So he talks about that. And he talks	3 same way. That's that's the connection to it.	
4 about why it's critical. And so that testimony will	4 THE COURT: Got it. Let me just read	
5 come in.	5 it.	
6 Where do we go to now?	6 Okay. I'll allow it in for the purpose	
7 MR. MAIMON: That was on page 60.	7 for which it's being offered. And the question on	
8 Right, Judge?	8 page 61, line 25, asks him first, "Have you heard of	
9 THE COURT: Right, 60, and it goes to	9 that phrase?" So he says, "Yes." And he says,	
10 61.	10 "Okay. Tell us what it means."	
11 MR. MAIMON: Sorry. I missed one	11 And so he tells what it means, and then	
12 before.	12 it goes to the question which goes to this issue of	
13 THE COURT: Sure.		
	13 his area, which goes into product development. So	
MR. MAIMON: At page 52, lines 18	14 for that purpose, it is appropriate and I'll allow	
15 through 22, is the question, but there's	15 it.	
16 no there's no answer to it.	Where to now?	
17 THE COURT: 52, what line?	17 MR. MAIMON: 116.	
MR. MAIMON: Eighteen through 22 is the	MR. CEDILLO: I have some in between	
19 question. It's restated on 53-16.	19 that. Shall we do them?	
20 THE COURT: Okay. I see that.	20 MR. MAIMON: Whatever you want.	
Do you see that, Counsel?	MR. CEDILLO: We're at page 65 next,	
22 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. That's	22 your Honor.	
23 fine, your Honor. We'll take 52	23 THE COURT: Okay. So these are the	
24 THE COURT: Eighteen through 22.	24 cross-designations?	
25 MR. CEDILLO: Eighteen through 22 is	MR. CEDILLO: Yes, and objections that I	
Page 4820	Page 4822	
Page 4820 1 out.	Page 4822 1 have.	
	_	
1 out.	1 have.	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now?	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one	 1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five.	 have. THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. Let's go off the record momentarily and let's see if Elias is here. 	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where?	 1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25.	 have. THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. (A discussion is held off the record.) THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65.	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection?	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22,	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22, 14 "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard."	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant.	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22, 14 "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." 15 MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Right. Yes.	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22, 14 "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." 15 MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that 16 the jury know that this is cross-examination, your	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Right. Yes. 17 MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22, 14 "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." 15 MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that 16 the jury know that this is cross-examination, your 17 Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Right. Yes. 17 MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think 18 it was a precursor to the question that starts on	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22, 14 "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." 15 MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that 16 the jury know that this is cross-examination, your 17 Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for 18 offering this testimony in is that there was fair	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Right. Yes. 17 MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think 18 it was a precursor to the question that starts on 19 page 9.	THE COURT: Hold on. It's now THE COURT: Hold on. It's now Of o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten after, and give them time to assemble. Let's go off the record momentarily and let's see if Elias is here. (A discussion is held off the record.) THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you were saying on page 65. MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should come in and let the question continue with line 22, "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that the jury know that this is cross-examination, your Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for offering this testimony in is that there was fair cross-examination, and if I were to cross-examine,	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Right. Yes. 17 MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think 18 it was a precursor to the question that starts on 19 page 9. 20 THE COURT: Starts on page what?	THE COURT: Hold on. It's now after, and give them time to assemble. Let's go off the record momentarily and let's see if Elias is here. Adiscussion is held off the record.) THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you were saying on page 65. MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should come in and let the question continue with line 22, "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that the jury know that this is cross-examination, your Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for offering this testimony in is that there was fair cross-examination, and if I were to cross-examine, the jury would know that it's cross-examination.	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Right. Yes. 17 MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think 18 it was a precursor to the question that starts on 19 page 9. 20 THE COURT: Starts on page what? 21 MR. CEDILLO: On line 9 of page 62. The	1 have. 2 THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22, 14 "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." 15 MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that 16 the jury know that this is cross-examination, your 17 Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for 18 offering this testimony in is that there was fair 19 cross-examination, and if I were to cross-examine, 20 the jury would know that it's cross-examination. 21 THE COURT: I think on the way around it	
1 out. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? 4 MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. 5 THE COURT: Sixty-one 6 MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. 7 THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? 8 MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. 9 THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? 11 MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Right. Yes. 17 MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think 18 it was a precursor to the question that starts on 19 page 9. 20 THE COURT: Starts on page what? 21 MR. CEDILLO: On line 9 of page 62. The 22 question about "brand loyal" leads to the question at	THE COURT: Hold on. It's now 3 9 o'clock. Ercilyn, why don't you go down at say ten 4 after, and give them time to assemble. 5 Let's go off the record momentarily and 6 let's see if Elias is here. 7 (A discussion is held off the record.) 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you 9 were saying on page 65. 10 MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At 11 lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas 12 Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should 13 come in and let the question continue with line 22, 14 "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." 15 MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that 16 the jury know that this is cross-examination, your 17 Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for 18 offering this testimony in is that there was fair 19 cross-examination, and if I were to cross-examine, 20 the jury would know that it's cross-examination. 21 THE COURT: I think on the way around it 22 rather than having the introduction is I can	
THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. THE COURT: Sixty-one MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. THE COURT: Right. Yes. MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think 18 it was a precursor to the question that starts on 19 page 9. THE COURT: Starts on page what? MR. CEDILLO: On line 9 of page 62. The 22 question about "brand loyal" leads to the question at 23 line 9 about consistency in the manufacture of the	THE COURT: Hold on. It's now after, and give them time to assemble. Let's go off the record momentarily and let's see if Elias is here. A discussion is held off the record.) THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you were saying on page 65. MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should come in and let the question continue with line 22, "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that the jury know that this is cross-examination, your Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for offering this testimony in is that there was fair cross-examination, and if I were to cross-examine, the jury would know that it's cross-examination. THE COURT: I think on the way around it rather than having the introduction is I can certainly tell the jurors, you're going to hear both	
THE COURT: Okay. Great. And where to 3 now? MR. MAIMON: Now, 61-25. THE COURT: Sixty-one MR. MAIMON: Twenty-five. THE COURT: Twenty-five, through where? MR. MAIMON: Through 62-25. THE COURT: And the basis for the 10 objection? MR. MAIMON: The basis is relevance and 12 competency to testify, your Honor. This man is an 13 engineer. He's talking about brand loyalty, which is 14 either marketing or advertising or sales matter. And 15 what he thinks "brand loyal" means is irrelevant. THE COURT: Right. Yes. MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, I think 18 it was a precursor to the question that starts on 19 page 9. THE COURT: Starts on page what? MR. CEDILLO: On line 9 of page 62. The 22 question about "brand loyal" leads to the question at	THE COURT: Hold on. It's now after, and give them time to assemble. Let's go off the record momentarily and let's see if Elias is here. A discussion is held off the record.) THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Cedillo, you were saying on page 65. MR. CEDILLO: Page 65, your Honor. At lines 21 and half of 22, the identification of Thomas Johnson representing the plaintiffs I think should come in and let the question continue with line 22, "As I understand it, you retired from Lorillard." MR. MAIMON: I think it's important that the jury know that this is cross-examination, your Honor. If it's live testimony, and the predicate for offering this testimony in is that there was fair cross-examination, and if I were to cross-examine, the jury would know that it's cross-examination. THE COURT: I think on the way around it rather than having the introduction is I can certainly tell the jurors, you're going to hear both	

Colloquy -

	Conoquy -		
	Page 4823		Page 4825
1	from the plaintiff's attorney. Is that a fair way to	1	MR. CEDILLO: Actually, just 14, because
2	resolve this?		, you're asking him to hold up an exhibit, and then
3	MR. MAIMON: Only if we interrupt the	•	u go on. So it should be 10 through 14.
1	video at this point to say, now we start the	4	MR. MAIMON: Come out?
	cross-examination. I have no pride in it being	5	MR. CEDILLO: You need 15 and 16.
	Mr. Johnson, but I do think that as opposed to just	6	MR. MAIMON: I don't care.
7	telling them there will be some cross, that this is	7	THE COURT: Okay.
	the cross.	8	MR. CEDILLO: All right.
9	THE COURT: Anything further?	9	THE COURT: Has our expert arrived?
10	MR. CEDILLO: I don't think that's	10	MR. CEDILLO: He better be.
	necessary, but whatever the Court wants to do.	11	MR. KUSZMERSKI: I saw Dr. Roggli
12	THE COURT: You know what, I'll just		tside, your Honor.
	leave it in the way it is rather than interrupting	13	THE COURT: Where to next?
	the video.	14	MR. CEDILLO: I believe it's 107, your
15	MR. CEDILLO: Okay.	15 Ho	
16	THE COURT: Where do we go now?	16	THE COURT: Mr. Maimon, do you have
17	MR. CEDILLO: Sixty-six, your Honor,	-	ything before 107?
1	lines 9 through line 4 on page 67. This is all about	18	MR. MAIMON: No.
1	other Kent testing testimony, rather, in other	19	MR. CEDILLO: Line 16 through 25.
1	cases. We think it's prejudicial, and he's not an	20	THE COURT: 107, line 16 through 25?
1	expert witness to be impeached because he's come and	21	MR. BERGER: All the way through 109.
1	given opinion testimony somewhere else.	22	MR. CEDILLO: Goes all the way to
23	MR. MAIMON: This is impeachment, your	23 109	
1	Honor. It goes to his bias and his credibility with	24 25	THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: It's relevance and
25	the jury that he is a loyal person to Lorillard and	23	MR. CEDILLO: It's felevance and
	Page 4824		Page 4826
1			arsay, your Honor, and prejudicial. It deals with
2	THE COURT: Anything further?	2 son	nething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think
2 3	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor.	2 son 3 is in	nething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think n this case not yet anyway.
2 3 4	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being	2 son 3 is it 4	nething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think n this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard,	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you	nething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think n this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document,
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the	nething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest	2 son 3 is it 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ket 8 to '	nething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ken 8 to ': 9 who	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Kee 8 to 5 9 wh 10 the	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in.	2 son 3 is it 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ket 8 to ': 9 wh 10 the 11 fou	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now?	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker 8 to ': 9 wh: 10 the 11 fou 12	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just	2 son 3 is it 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker 8 to ': 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 that	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no andation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ken 8 to ': 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 than 14 the	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no undation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been t eloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker 8 to ' 9 wh. 10 the 11 fou 12 13 than 14 the 15 abo	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been to eloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts out and entered into evidence, and the 1964
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Kee 8 to ': 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 tha 14 the 15 abo 16 arti	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts out and entered into evidence, and the 1964 icles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Kee 8 to ': 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 than 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts out and entered into evidence, and the 1964 icles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the te of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also. 76, there's colloquy between counsel	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker 8 to ': 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 tha 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat 18 it w	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no undation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts out and entered into evidence, and the 1964 icles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the tee of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that was relevant to show what was going on at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also. 76, there's colloquy between counsel that probably should not be designated, at lines 10	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker 8 to 1 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 tha 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat 18 it w 19 tim	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been to teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts out and entered into evidence, and the 1964 icles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the te of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that was relevant to show what was going on at the ite, and this is equally as relevant. The fact that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also. 76, there's colloquy between counsel that probably should not be designated, at lines 10 through 14.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Kee 8 to ': 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 tha 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat 18 it w 19 tim 20 this	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been to teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts but and entered into evidence, and the 1964 icles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the te of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that was relevant to show what was going on at the see, and this is equally as relevant. The fact that is is not an expert witness is of no moment. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also. 76, there's colloquy between counsel that probably should not be designated, at lines 10 through 14. THE COURT: What's the purpose of that?	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Kee 8 to 2 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 than 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat 18 it w 19 tim 20 this 21 offe	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been to teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts but and entered into evidence, and the 1964 icles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the te of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that was relevant to show what was going on at the ite, and this is equally as relevant. The fact that is is not an expert witness is of no moment. They dered parts of Dr. First's testimony as fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also. 76, there's colloquy between counsel that probably should not be designated, at lines 10 through 14. THE COURT: What's the purpose of that? MR. MAIMON: I don't care, your Honor.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Kee 8 to '.' 9 wh. 10 the 11 fou 12 13 than 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat 18 it w 19 tim 20 this 21 offe 22 test	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been to teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts but and entered into evidence, and the 1964 ficles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the tee of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that was relevant to show what was going on at the lee, and this is equally as relevant. The fact that is is not an expert witness is of no moment. They bered parts of Dr. First's testimony as fact timony because he was there at the time. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also. 76, there's colloquy between counsel that probably should not be designated, at lines 10 through 14. THE COURT: What's the purpose of that? MR. MAIMON: I don't care, your Honor. I didn't specify it. I just didn't break out where	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker 8 to 1 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 tha 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat 18 it w 19 tim 20 this 21 offe 22 test 23 a qu	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no andation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been to teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts out and entered into evidence, and the 1964 ficles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the te of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that was relevant to show what was going on at the see, and this is equally as relevant. The fact that is is not an expert witness is of no moment. They bered parts of Dr. First's testimony as fact timony because he was there at the time. This is utalified document. It's being shown to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Anything further? MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So he is being presented as a fact witness on behalf of Lorillard, and so it doesn't matter that he is not an expert witness. It could go to impeaching credibility. That's what this is setting the stage for in the rest of the questions, I take it. MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That stays in. Where to now? MR. CEDILLO: I think your ruling just took care of my objection on 69. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: Page 74. We're okay. I think you've taken care of 74, also. 76, there's colloquy between counsel that probably should not be designated, at lines 10 through 14. THE COURT: What's the purpose of that? MR. MAIMON: I don't care, your Honor.	2 son 3 is in 4 5 you 6 the 7 Ker 8 to 1 9 wh 10 the 11 fou 12 13 tha 14 the 15 abo 16 arti 17 stat 18 it w 19 tim 20 this 21 offe 22 test 23 a qu	mething called the Wayne memo, which I don't think in this case not yet anyway. MR. BERGER: One of the key points, as a see on page 108, your Honor, is this document, Wayne memo, is written in 1958, so it's after the int time period, and it's looking back at the '52 56 time period. So what they're discussing about at the author wrote in 1958 isn't relevant because author wasn't there in '52 to '56. So there's no indation the author was there. MR. MAIMON: I wish I would have been to teloquent in making my argument, your Honor, that 1960 studies that Lorillard asked their experts but and entered into evidence, and the 1964 ficles by Dr. Selikoff were not relevant to the tee of the art at the time. Your Honor ruled that was relevant to show what was going on at the lee, and this is equally as relevant. The fact that is is not an expert witness is of no moment. They bered parts of Dr. First's testimony as fact timony because he was there at the time. This is

Colloquy -

Page 4827 1 MR. CEDILLO: A big difference in the 2 categories that he's describing. My objection, I 3 think, is summarized at page 109 where the payoff 4 question is: "Do you have any idea where the writer 5 of that memo could have gotten the idea that anyone 6 at Lorillated thought it might have been a health 7 cuncern?" 8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-art documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 get any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a hig difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who - who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 ioan't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultants own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns best in 1952, it was fet in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 "What was - what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "Hough, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "Think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he say him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 2 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever — I've shown the 14 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 10 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 23 "Recourse to sown the absence of or confirm the absence of 19 harmfalf fibers. But obviously part of plaintfa's 24 Honor. 25 "THE COURT: Oh, Kent	Conoquy -		
2 caregories that he's describing. My objection, I 3 think, is summarized at page 109 where the payoff 4 question is: "Do you have any idea where the writer 5 of that memo could have gotten the idea that anyone 6 at Lorillard thought it might have been a health 7 concern?" 8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-art documents that took back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 orrages. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who — who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 chey were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 3 I though, through this witness. So the question is 3 "And if's dated January of 1958. 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 22 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 3 "And if's dated January of 1958. 11 og a better that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 12 for main the office a few times, 13 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 14 document. And so in this wire sake an outside 15 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 Tasw him in the office a few times. 5 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 6 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And if's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown t			
3 on it. Who was the memo sent to, what initials? 4 question is: "Do you have any idea where the writer 5 of that memo could have gotten the idea that anyone 6 at Jorilland thought it might have been a health 7 concern?" 8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-ard documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who - who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultants own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem. Page 4830 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney J. Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an uoustide 12 consultant for PR. 13 THE COURT: Didn't think she ruled 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne. 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And efferences. Rent filters? 22 "Apparently is is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don	1 MR. CEDILLO: A big difference in the	I	
4 question is: "Do you have any idea where the writer 5 of that memo could have gotten the idea that anyone 6 at Lorillard thought it might have been a health 7 concern?" 8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-art documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert winesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who - who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 members and the state of the words that at the time, namely when 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 making it, there were health hazard 4 is on 115, maybe - no. I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ev			
5 of that memo could have gotten the idea that anyone 6 at Lorillard thought it might have been a health 7 concern?" 8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-ard documents that Itook back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference. 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who - who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 ian't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were bealth hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 What was - what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 27 job with relation to Lorillard? 28 "I think he was an outside consultant 29 for public relations." 30 So here he says he saw him a few times, 31 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 20 consultant for PR. 31 "And did you ever I've shown you a 32 document. Hard's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 33 "And did you ever I've shown you a 34 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 35 floorporated. Correct? 36 "Aparently yes." And he's shown the 37 near the fire of the witness. 38 "And did you ever I've shown you a 39 man cellulary of the analysis of the same ruling. 40 man cellulary of the was an outside 41 man and the sell of the path of		· ·	
6 at Lorillard thought it might have been a health 7 concern?" 8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-art documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 coranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who — who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript. I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 w Taw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was - what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was - what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 10 So hee he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. 12 man felt and in Jonish with a said of the country of the coun		-	
7 concern?" 8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-art documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 11 coranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who — who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isart in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 6 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 (or public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 2 consultant for PR. 3 "And did you ever — I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "And relations?" 17 And did you ever — I've shown you a 18 the country: I did not. 19 consultant for PR. 19 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 10 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the document. 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's only fair to get the other 24 Honor. 25 "And references Kent filters? 26 "Apparently it is." 27 and references Kent filters? 28 "And references Kent filters? 29 "Apparently it is." 20 Again, he says he's		T	
8 He says, "I have no way of knowing." 9 That isn't a deficiency in 10 tates—of the art documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who — who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem. 26 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 6 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "Think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside of document. 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever — I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 fincorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 19 "Correct." 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's only fair to get the objection in the wise is seldom crossed. 24 Honor. 25 the beath hazard of the problem. 26 the set himself the problem. 27 manufaction that again, your Honor. 28 the problem. 29 manufaction that again, your Honor. 29 manufaction that again, your Honor. 21 phanel that have the public the same ruling. 21 the court: Unit that is being shown — they're showing in t			
19 That isn't a deficiency in 10 state-of-the-art documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 ulking about something he doesn't know where amybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference. 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who — who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript. I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 chey were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor — Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "Tasw him in the office a few times. 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block is same issue and will abide the same ruling. 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the			
10 state-of-the-art documents that look back, that are 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who — who wants Lorillard to wit. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 with a summary of the problem, 27 as whim in the office a few times. 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 4 "Short was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne? 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 10 "And did you ever — I've shown you a d'adocument that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "And did you ever — I've shown you a d'adocument that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 16 "And did you ever heterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 17 document. 18 "And did you ever heterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 19 "Correct." 19 "And references Kent filters? 20 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: They don't — they don't filter where he says harmful fibers going on. 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other 25 THE COURT: Um-hum. 26 THE COURT: Um-hum. 27 And references Kent filters? 28 "Route of the price of the price o		_	
11 the opinions of expert witnesses. It's apples and 12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 15 THE COURT: Through 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne's 4 "Tasw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relations." 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relations." 7 job with relations. " 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times. 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And it's dated January of 1958. 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 lneorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 Again, he says he's shown the document. 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 "Apparently it is." 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	-		
12 oranges. The objection here is that the guy is 13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 WR. MAIMON: No problem. 27 THE COURT: Okay. 28 MR. MAIMON: No problem. 29 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor 20 they were making it, there were health hazard 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 Page 4828 27 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 28 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne's 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 for public relations. 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 And did you ever I've shown the document. 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 De Parmele should the th			
13 talking about something he doesn't know where anybody 14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 14 do any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem. 26 when the word of sidney Wayne? 27 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant of propublic relations." 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct." 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 Again, he says he's shown the document. 20 "Apparently it is." 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor. Dr. Parmele had some the court of the piece of the p			
14 got any idea and is being used to sponsor it. That's 15 a big difference. 15 THE COURT: Correct. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference. 16 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor, minor, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 19 Is the 's being confronted by their 20 Is int in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being confronted by their 20 Is and in. But he's being back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 21 Is and in. But he's being back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 22 Is and in. But he's being back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 23 Is and in. But he's back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 23 Is and in. But he's being back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 Is and Is. But he's being back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 25 Is and Is. But he's being back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 25 Is and Is. But hat's designated. It's probably an oversight. 18 Is and			
15 a big difference. 16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 17 switness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 18 witness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor 27 they were making it, there were health hazard 29 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 29 MR. BERGER: I think we're back 20 MR. CEDILLO: I wenty in this plaintiff's, 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 25 mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 39 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 4 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 17 think she ruled 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 10 mR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 mR. CEDILLO: They don't the		E	
16 MR. MAIMON: It is a big difference, 17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who — who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 22 mR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor — 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 23 mR. CEDILLO: — I think we're back — 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 mHE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 mR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 27 mR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 28 saked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 may be a wind the same of Sidney Wayne? 5 our paths seldom crossed. 5 our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was — what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 5 job with relation to Lorillard? 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 mR. CEDILLO: Minch I believe you 7 reserved on. 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block and 1 sisue that the Court reserved on. 8 The COURT: I did. 9 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe			
17 your Honor, because this witness is a loyal Lorillard 18 witness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultan's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 27 you were meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 28 "I saw him in the office a few times. 29 Our paths seldom crossed. 30 What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 40 "I saw him in the office a few times. 51 Our paths seldom crossed. 52 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 53 "I think he was an outside consultant 54 for public relations." 55 Our paths seldom crossed. 66 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 77 job with relation to Lorillard? 78 "I think he was an outside consultant 99 for public relations." 100 So here he says he saw him a few times, 111 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 122 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the lettrehead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently tyes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 that's designated. It's probably an oversight. 19 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor 20 MR. BERGER: I think we're back 21 WR. BERGER: I think we're back 22 MR. BERGER: I think we're back 23 MR. CEDILLO: I think is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 26 vis on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 27 Name at a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 28 "I think we're back 29 "Apparently it is." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Winich I believe you 24 Honor. 25 Let COURT: Um-hum. 26 the court reserved on, and that is the 28 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block,	15 a big difference.		
18 witness who who wants Lorillard to win. He said 19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 27 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 28 Seaked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: I think is plantiff's, 2 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 9 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 1 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and his wife 2 some issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 9 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor 21 Lor I think is plaintiff's, 22 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 23 MR. CEDILLO: I think is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 26 I substitute the Court reserved on, and that is the 27 oscializing between Dr. Parmele and his wife 28 some issue and will abide the same ruling. 29 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 20 may the cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 21 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 22 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 23 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 24 smoking between Dr. Parmele and his wife 25 smae issue and will abide the same ruling. 26 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 27 reserved on. 28 THE COURT: I did. 3 mAnd did you ever I've shown you a 28 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 29 MR. CEDILLO		7 7	
19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part 20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was - what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you at document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "AR. BERGER: I think we're back 23 MR. CEDILLO: I dwn't mis splaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 26 was health hazard of its own. 26 is use that the Court reserved on, and that is the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 5 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 8 "I'think he was an outside 10 on. 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 11 THE COURT: I did. 10 on. 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 11 THE COURT: I'did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fi			
20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their 21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 WR. BERGER: I think we're back 27 think is plaintiff's, 28 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 29 aksed: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relations." 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 20 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor 21 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor 22 MR. BERGER: I think we're back 23 MR. CEDILLO: I think is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the 24 is sue that the Court reserved on, and that is the 25 oscializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 26 Asmit in the office a few times. 27 issue that the Court reserved on, and that is the 28 oscializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 29 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 20 Asgain, he was an outside consultant 20 on. 21 THE COURT: I did. 22 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 23 Dr. Parmele shown they're showing 24 the one that is being shown they're showing 25 Letters where he says harmful fibers. 26 Again, he says he's shown the document. 27 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 28 Paparently it is." 29 Again, he says he's shown the document. 20 approach to this is to show that Dr			
21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when 22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 25 MR. DEDILLO: I think is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 4 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 4 problem that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 4 Correct. 10 Again, he says he's shown the document. 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 22 Well, I think ne're back 23 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor Ithink is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: - I think we're back 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: - Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: - Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: - Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor Ithink is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: - Next, your Honor Ithink is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor Page 4830 MR. CEDILLO: Next, your Honor Text and the or the the or the ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, when you and will abide the same ruling. A mR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Hono	19 so in the transcript, I believe, although that part	1	
22 they were making it, there were health hazard 23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 26 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, 27 Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 22 Well, I think an eyewitness, tach in the wife smoked 24 Honor. 22 Well, I think it's only fair to get the other	20 isn't in. But he's being confronted by their		
23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to 24 be a health hazard of its own. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 2 consultant for PR. 12 MR. CEDILLO: -I think is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4828 Page 4828 Page 4828 I two not have ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 5 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 8 "Think he was an outside 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did. 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 25 MR. MalMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4828 Page 4828 Page 4828 NR. CEDILLO: I think is plaintiff's, 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MalMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4828 Page 4828 Page 4828 Page 4828 Page 4828 A whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 10 socializing between	21 consultant's own words that at the time, namely when		
24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 24 is on 115, maybe no, I'm sorry, 116. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4828 RR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4820 1 issue that the Court reserved on, and that is the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 5 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 8 THE COURT: I did. 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don	22 they were making it, there were health hazard		
THE COURT: Well, here's the problem, Page 4828 Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's of "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's of "I think he was an outside consultant of propublic relations." 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant of propublic relations." 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 10 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 25 MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the Page 4830 1 issue that the Court reserved on, and that is the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 5 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 8 THE COURT: I did. 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 betters where he says harmful fibers. 13 betters where he says harmful fibers. 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't	23 concerns back in 1952, it was felt in some circles to	1	
Page 4828 1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 10 Again, he says he's shown the document. 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. Page 4830 1 issue that the Court reserved on, and that is the 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 4 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 5 owhether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 5 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 5 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 6 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 8 melling feet same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: I did not. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't they don't they don't they don't they don't	24 be a health hazard of its own.		
1 though, through this witness. So the question is 2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document. Addid you ever I've shown the 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 21 "Armedia (Lorillard) did 2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and 3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife 4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 5 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 8 THE COURT: I did. 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 18 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 "Apparently it is." 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	25 THE COURT: Well, here's the problem,	MR. MAIMON: Yeah, 116 goes back to the	
2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did 3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 10 Again, he says he's shown the document. 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	Page 4828	Page 4830	
3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne? 4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor.	1 though, through this witness. So the question is	1 issue that the Court reserved on, and that is the	
4 "I saw him in the office a few times. 5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 24 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the 5 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 8 THE COURT: I did. 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't th	2 asked: "Mr. Block, when you worked at Lorillard, did	2 socializing between Dr. Parmele and Mr. Block, and	
5 Our paths seldom crossed. 6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 7 reserved on. 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 25 same issue and will abide the same ruling. 6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you 7 reserved on. 8 THE COURT: I did. 9 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't the	3 you ever meet a man by the name of Sidney Wayne?	3 whether or not he ever saw Dr. Parmele and his wife	
6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's 7 job with relation to Lorillard? 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	4 "I saw him in the office a few times.	4 smoking Kent cigarettes during the 1950s. It's the	
7 reserved on. 8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	5 Our paths seldom crossed.	5 same issue and will abide the same ruling.	
8 "I think he was an outside consultant 9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	6 "What was what was Mr. Sidney Wayne's	6 MR. CEDILLO: Which I believe you	
9 for public relations." 9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 "And references Kent filters? 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 "Apparently it is." 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	7 job with relation to Lorillard?	7 reserved on.	
So here he says he saw him a few times, 11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 10 on. 11 THE COURT: I did not. 12 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 23 Again, he says he's new filter, your 24 Knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 25 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 26 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 27 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 28 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	8 "I think he was an outside consultant	8 THE COURT: I did.	
11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside 12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 21 THE COURT: I did not. 26 MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our 27 MR. CEDILLO: Dr. Parmele is 28 MR. CEDILLO: They don't	9 for public relations."	9 MR. CEDILLO: I don't think she ruled	
12 consultant for PR. 13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 21 "And kid you ever I've shown you a 13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is 14 the one that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	So here he says he saw him a few times,	10 on.	
13 "And did you ever I've shown you a 14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 21 "And celebrate of that is being shown they're showing 15 letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	11 paths seldom crossed. Thinks he was an outside	11 THE COURT: I did not.	
14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne, 15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	12 consultant for PR.	MR. CEDILLO: So if you want our	
15 Incorporated. Correct? 16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 25 Letters where he says harmful fibers. 16 THE COURT: Um-hum. 17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	13 "And did you ever I've shown you a	13 position on that again, your Honor. Dr. Parmele is	
16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the 17 document. 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 26 THE COURT: Um-hum. 27 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 28 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of 29 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	14 document that's on the letterhead of Sidney J. Wayne,		
17 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 18 "And it's dated January of 1958. 19 "Correct." 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 26 MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't 27 Horn don't they don't 28 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of 29 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	15 Incorporated. Correct?	15 letters where he says harmful fibers.	
18"And it's dated January of 1958.18 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of19"Correct."19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's20Again, he says he's shown the document.20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some21"And references Kent filters?21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on.22"Apparently it is."22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that23MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked24 Honor.24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	16 "Apparently yes." And he's shown the	16 THE COURT: Um-hum.	
19 "Correct." 20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 29 Hormonia 19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	17 document.	MR. CEDILLO: They don't they don't	
20 Again, he says he's shown the document. 21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	18 "And it's dated January of 1958.	18 focus on the absence of or confirm the absence of	
21 "And references Kent filters? 22 "Apparently it is." 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 24 Honor. 21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on. 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	19 "Correct."	19 harmful fibers. But obviously part of plaintiff's	
22 "Apparently it is." 22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that 23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	20 Again, he says he's shown the document.	20 approach to this is to show that Dr. Parmele had some	
23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your 23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked 24 Honor. 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	21 "And references Kent filters?	21 knowledge that there were harmful fibers going on.	
24 Honor. 24 these things, I think it's only fair to get the other	22 "Apparently it is."	22 Well, I think an eyewitness account that	
	23 MR. CEDILLO: Kent's new filter, your	23 Dr. Parmele smoked these things, that his wife smoked	
25 THE COURT: Oh, Kent's new filter. 25 side of the story. If if they want to suggest	24 Honor.		
<u> </u>	25 THE COURT: Oh, Kent's new filter.	25 side of the story. If if they want to suggest	

Page 4831 Page 4833 MR. CEDILLO: That's the replacement 1 that Dr. Parmele was on this campaign because he knew 2 that the filter contained harmful fibers. I think 2 filter, your Honor. That's part of that story. 3 that's belied by the fact that he and his wife were THE COURT: All right. Where to now? 4 avid smokers, and we have an eyewitness firsthand 4 MR. CEDILLO: I think our next one, your 5 account telling it, and I think it's relevant and I 5 Honor, is on 120, beginning at line 19, going through 6 think it's only fair if they're going to suggest he 6 line 24 on 121. 7 7 knew they were harmful, no, he didn't. He was THE COURT: Okay. These are the 8 smoking them and his wife was smoking them. 8 defendant's objections to plaintiff's designations? MR. MAIMON: First of all, we're not MR. BERGER: Yes, your Honor. 10 suggesting, your Honor. We are simply reading 10 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: What's the basis of the 11 documents that are Lorillard documents. So it's not 11 12 that we are suggesting anything, we're not suggesting 12 objection? 13 anything at all. 13 MR. MAIMON: Sorry, 120? 14 14 MR. CEDILLO: 120-19 through 121-24. Secondly, Lorillard has gone out of its 15 way in every issue to this Court to remove the whole 15 Prejudicial and relevance, your Honor. It talks 16 issue of smoking and health concerns, and so forth. 16 about smoking and cancer. 17 Dr. Parmele was smoking cigarettes which Lorillard 17 MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. So they 18 knew at the time caused cancer, and we will have a 18 want Dr. Parmele's smoking habits to be in because he 19 whole host of documents in evidence and witnesses to 19 would never have smoked those if he knew that 20 show that Lorillard, itself, knew that their product 20 asbestos was dangerous; but he's smoking them, and 21 caused lung cancer. And that was the prejudice that 21 everyone knows cancer is a result. You can't have it 22 we talked about. That was the reason, because it 22 both ways. 23 23 injects into the trial a whole host of issues that MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, a Readers 24 thus far this defendant has fought like the dickens 24 Digest article about cancer by the carload, talking 25 to keep out. 25 about cancer and cigarettes, when that smoking and Page 4832 Page 4834 So if Dr. Parmele and Lorillard knew how 1 1 health issue, by their expert's own admission, has 2 dangerous cigarette smoking was, that it causes lung 2 nothing to do with Mr. Argento's mesothelioma, that's 3 cancer and could kill you -- which they don't want 3 the prejudice and the lack of relevance. 4 this jury to hear about -- then why was he smoking 4 MR. MAIMON: But Mr. Cedillo is mixing 5 cigarettes at all? 5 apples and oranges. He's talking about a causation MR. CEDILLO: Well, what he didn't -- we 6 issue, and they've injected Dr. Parmele's knowledge 7 didn't fight like the dickens, your Honor. Their 7 about the hazards of their own product into this case 8 experts and our experts, and everybody almost, 8 now. 9 stipulated that smoking and lung cancer has nothing 9 THE COURT: This goes to the notice 10 to do with mesothelioma. So it wasn't much of a 10 issue. It stays in. 11 fight. 11 Where to now? 12 THE COURT: Right. 12 MR. CEDILLO: I'm sorry, your Honor. I 13 MR. CEDILLO: They agreed to it. And 13 didn't understand your ruling. 14 counsel hasn't addressed the very thing that I point 14 THE COURT: It goes to the notice issue. 15 out to the Court. They want to show that Parmele had 15 MR. CEDILLO: The notice issue. 16 THE COURT: Where to now? 16 this working knowledge of harmful fibers and so he 17 was working to get it out. This is the other side of 17 MR. CEDILLO: So that's in? 18 the story. 18 THE COURT: That's in. 19 THE COURT: I'll allow it in, and on the 19 Where to now? 20 20 other part that I had reserved. MR. BERGER: I think that's it. 21 21 MR. CEDILLO: Thank you, your Honor. MR. CEDILLO: On that transcript, that's 22 22 it. THE COURT: Where to now? 23 23 Let me ask you, though, a question. THE COURT: Can we ask the witness to 24 This one section on 117 which talks about E-60 and 24 come in, just in case the jurors come? I would like 25 Estron, is that relevant? 25 him to be seated in the back, unless there's

Colloquy -

Conoquy -		
Page 4835	Page 4837	
1 something else we're going to do.	1 issue as to the plaintiff's design defect theory. So	
2 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, in the next	2 I'll allow it in for that purpose.	
3 transcript, on page 925 of the follow-up transcript.	3 Are the jurors ready to come in?	
4 THE COURT: Oh, I don't do you have	4 MR. CEDILLO: That's it on Block then?	
5 that follow-up question for me?	5 THE COURT: Yes, it is.	
6 MR. BERGER: Yes, your Honor.	6 (The jury enters the courtroom. The	
7 MR. CEDILLO: There's a question on Old	7 following takes place in the presence of the jury.)	
8 Gold.	8 THE COURT: Nice to see you. Hope you	
9 THE COURT: Even if it's not marked,	9 had a nice week off. Please be seated. Make sure	
10 don't worry about it.	10 your cell phones are turned off. The notebooks are	
Okay. So on 925, line 8, through where?	11 right there, if you wouldn't mind passing them	
MR. CEDILLO: To 928, line 7, it's a	12 around.	
13 discussion about Old Gold cigarettes, your Honor.	And this is the continued trial with	
14 THE COURT: Okay. And whose designation	14 regard to Valerie Panzarella individually and for the	
15 is this?	15 Estate of Michael Argento versus Charles B. Chrystal	
16 MR. CEDILLO: I'm sorry, your Honor?	16 Company, et. al., Docket No. 5418-12.	
17 THE COURT: Who has designated this	17 Could I have appearances, please?	
18 testimony?	18 MS. LONG: Good morning, your Honor.	
19 MR. CEDILLO: The plaintiffs.	19 Good morning. Amber Long and Moshe Maimon for the	
20 THE COURT: And what's the basis for the	20 plaintiffs.	
21 objection?	21 THE COURT: Thank you.	
22 MR. CEDILLO: Outside the scope.	22 On behalf of the defendant Lorillard.	
23 MR. MAIMON: Outside the scope of what?	23 MR. CEDILLO: Good morning again, your	
24 MR. CEDILLO: Anything it's about Old	24 Honor. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Ricardo	
25 Gold filter cigarettes, which are not in this case.	25 Cedillo and Steve DeFeo for Lorillard Tobacco	
Page 4836	Page 4838	
Page 4836 1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did	Page 4838 1 Company.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did	1 Company.	
_	1 Company.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period.	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you.	
 1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor.	
 1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose.	
 1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo	
 1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there.	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark &	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos.	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos. 17 THE COURT: Thank you.	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may 17 recall when we were last here we heard some testimony	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Anything further?	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may 17 recall when we were last here we heard some testimony 18 of Mr. Orcutt. We're going to interrupt I don't 19 know that we're finished with that. We are going to	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Anything further? 19 MR. CEDILLO: It's outside the scope of	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may 17 recall when we were last here we heard some testimony 18 of Mr. Orcutt. We're going to interrupt I don't 19 know that we're finished with that. We are going to	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Anything further? 19 MR. CEDILLO: It's outside the scope of 20 what they had designated on direct examination, your	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may 17 recall when we were last here we heard some testimony 18 of Mr. Orcutt. We're going to interrupt I don't 19 know that we're finished with that. We are going to 20 interrupt that in order to accommodate a witness and	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Anything further? 19 MR. CEDILLO: It's outside the scope of 20 what they had designated on direct examination, your 21 Honor.	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may 17 recall when we were last here we heard some testimony 18 of Mr. Orcutt. We're going to interrupt I don't 19 know that we're finished with that. We are going to 20 interrupt that in order to accommodate a witness and 21 present to you live testimony.	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Anything further? 19 MR. CEDILLO: It's outside the scope of 20 what they had designated on direct examination, your 21 Honor. 22 MR. MAIMON: That's the problem, your	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may 17 recall when we were last here we heard some testimony 18 of Mr. Orcutt. We're going to interrupt I don't 19 know that we're finished with that. We are going to 20 interrupt that in order to accommodate a witness and 21 present to you live testimony. 22 The defendants Hollingsworth & Vose and	
1 It's the other filter cigarette that we made that did 2 not have the asbestos that overlaps the time period. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And why is this being 4 offered? 5 MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, this is 6 relevant to the issue of design defect. That they 7 had a filter on the market that they were 8 manufacturing at the same time which did not have 9 asbestos in it. So the feasibility of making such a 10 filter was there. 11 And with regard also to notice as to 12 whether or not you could make such filters, and so 13 they were making them. We had plenty of testimony 14 about the processes of making both Kents with and 15 without asbestos, and now we're talking about a 16 simple process to make it also without asbestos. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Anything further? 19 MR. CEDILLO: It's outside the scope of 20 what they had designated on direct examination, your 21 Honor. 22 MR. MAIMON: That's the problem, your 23 Honor, of calling the witness by videotape, that we	1 Company. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 On behalf of the defendant 4 Hollingsworth & Vose. 5 MR. BERGER: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Good morning, everybody. Jim Berger and Steve DeFeo 7 on behalf of Hollingsworth & Vose. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 And on behalf of the defendant Whittaker 10 Clark & Daniels. 11 MR. DUNST: Good morning, your Honor. 12 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Alan Dunst and 13 Daniel Kuszmerski on behalf of Whittaker Clark & 14 Daniels. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 16 Okay. So members of the jury, you may 17 recall when we were last here we heard some testimony 18 of Mr. Orcutt. We're going to interrupt I don't 19 know that we're finished with that. We are going to 20 interrupt that in order to accommodate a witness and 21 present to you live testimony. 22 The defendants Hollingsworth & Vose and 23 Lorillard may now call their next witness.	

Koggn	
Page 4839	Page 4841
1 THE COURT: Good morning, Dr. Roggli.	1 street to Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, and
2 VICTOR ROGGLI, having been duly sworn, testified as	2 received by M.D. degree from Baylor in 1976.
3 follows:	3 Q. All right, sir. Are you a member or do
4 THE COURT: Whenever you're ready.	4 you hold any professional certifications or licenses,
5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CEDILLO:	5 and so forth?
6 Q. Good morning, sir. Would you give us	6 A. I do.
7 your full name for the record?	7 Q. And we'll get to that. At Baylor
8 A. Victor Louis Roggli.	8 College, you ended up being an instructor of some
9 Q. And that's R-O-G-G-L-I.	9 kind?
10 A. That's correct.	10 A. Yes. As a chief resident, I was an
11 Q. Okay. Dr. Roggli, where do you live,	11 instructor in pathology. I helped teach the the
12 sir?	12 medical students. That was after I had finished my
13 A. I live in Durham, North Carolina.	13 M.D. degree in '76 and was training to be a
14 Q. And what do you do for a living?	14 pathologist from 1976 to 1980.
15 A. I'm a physician that's specifically a	15 Q. And you are a licensed doctor, sir?
16 pathologist.	16 A. Yes, sir.
17 Q. All right, sir. We're will going to get	Q. And where do you hold licenses?
18 into a little bit of detail about what all that	18 A. In the State of North Carolina.
19 means. Okay?	19 Q. Have you had one in the State of Texas?
20 A. Sure.	20 A. I have, yes.
21 Q. Let's start with your educational	21 Q. All right. And is your license in the
22 background, please. Where did you receive your	22 State of Texas current?
23 undergraduate training, sir?	23 A. No, it's not.
24 A. I did my undergraduate work at Rice	24 Q. Okay. But the one in North Carolina
25 University in Houston, Texas, and received a B.A.	25 A. North Carolina.
Page 4840	Page 4842
Page 4840 1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering	Page 4842 1 Q is where you work and practice?
	_
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering	1 Q is where you work and practice?
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering2 in 1973.	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is.
 1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification,
 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering in 1973. Q. And THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 	 Q is where you work and practice? A. Yes, it is. Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir?
 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering in 1973. Q. And THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 	 Q is where you work and practice? A. Yes, it is. Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is
 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering in 1973. Q. And THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 	 Q is where you work and practice? A. Yes, it is. Q. And your professional certification, what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is an organization that tries to ensure that those who
 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering in 1973. Q. And THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to
 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering in 1973. Q. And THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston,	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that.
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training,
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion.	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it.	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination.
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of 18 Pathology would declare you as being competent to
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest 17 Conference kind of guy.	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest 17 Conference kind of guy. 18 Q. Anyway, Doctor, that's the Rice	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of 18 Pathology would declare you as being competent to
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest 17 Conference kind of guy. 18 Q. Anyway, Doctor, that's the Rice 19 University we were talking about. Correct?	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of 18 Pathology would declare you as being competent to 19 practice their specialty.
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest 17 Conference kind of guy. 18 Q. Anyway, Doctor, that's the Rice 19 University we were talking about. Correct? 20 A. That's correct.	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of 18 Pathology would declare you as being competent to 19 practice their specialty. 20 Q. And what is the American Board of
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest 17 Conference kind of guy. 18 Q. Anyway, Doctor, that's the Rice 19 University we were talking about. Correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And you graduated in 1973 with your	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of 18 Pathology would declare you as being competent to 19 practice their specialty. 20 Q. And what is the American Board of 21 Forensic Medicine, Doctor?
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest 17 Conference kind of guy. 18 Q. Anyway, Doctor, that's the Rice 19 University we were talking about. Correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And you graduated in 1973 with your 22 bachelor's in biochemistry and environmental	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of 18 Pathology would declare you as being competent to 19 practice their specialty. 20 Q. And what is the American Board of 21 Forensic Medicine, Doctor? 22 A. Well, that's a group that is especially
1 degree in biochemistry and environmental engineering 2 in 1973. 3 Q. And 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. 5 MR. DEFEO: Could we have the screen? 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Doctor. We were 7 discussing Dr. Roggli's bachelor of arts degree. 8 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. And if 9 this thing is working, we will have a nice emblem of 10 Rice University. The Fighting Rice Owls of Houston, 11 Texas. They're better known for their marching band 12 than any athletic team. That's another topic for 13 discussion. 14 THE COURT: You seem ready to discuss 15 it. 16 MR. CEDILLO: No, I'm a Southwest 17 Conference kind of guy. 18 Q. Anyway, Doctor, that's the Rice 19 University we were talking about. Correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And you graduated in 1973 with your 22 bachelor's in biochemistry and environmental 23 engineering. And where did you continue your	1 Q is where you work and practice? 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 Q. And your professional certification, 4 what is the American Board of Pathology, sir? 5 A. Well, the American Board of Pathology is 6 an organization that tries to ensure that those who 7 practice the specialty of pathology are competent to 8 do so. And the way they do that is they have certain 9 requirements for your training, certain number of 10 years. So the four years that I had at Baylor 11 College of Medicine satisfied that. 12 Then once you complete your training, 13 you have to take a written examination, which at the 14 time that I did my my work in that area, it was a 15 three-day examination. 16 And then if you make a certain minimal 17 score on that exam, then the American Board of 18 Pathology would declare you as being competent to 19 practice their specialty. 20 Q. And what is the American Board of 21 Forensic Medicine, Doctor? 22 A. Well, that's a group that is especially 23 interested in the investigation of of

- 1 for example, that sort of examination. And it's
- 2 not -- it doesn't include all pathologists, but it
- 3 does include specialists who are not M.D.s who have
- 4 expertise in certain areas, like fingerprint
- 5 analysis, that sort of thing.
- And are you a member of this Board, sir? O.
- 7 A. I am.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let's talk about your current
- 9 employment. You are at Duke University, sir?
- 10 A. I am.
- 11 Q. And what do you do there?
- 12 I am professor of pathology there. And
- 13 my work typically involves teaching medical students 13
- 14 and residents. I also do research and -- and then I
- 15 have a consultation service.
- 16 Q. All right, sir.
- 17 Let's talk about you being a
- 18 pathologist. You mentioned the term a couple of
- 19 times. What does a pathologist do, sir?
- 20 Well, pathology literally means the
- 21 study of disease. A pathologist is a physician who
- 22 makes diagnoses either from looking at a sample
- 23 of -- that a surgeon might take, for example, under a
- 24 microscope to make a diagnosis; or a pathologist
- 25 makes diagnoses based on fluid samples sent to the
 - Page 4844
- 1 laboratory, such as a blood, urine, or spinal fluid 2 sample.
- Q. All right, sir. And so what is it that
- 4 you're actually examining as a pathologist?
- Yeah. So what we do is we get tissues
- 6 sent to the laboratory. When a surgeon or a

- 9 out, then what they do is send those tissue samples
- 10 to the laboratory, and those are prepared to make
- 11 slides and a stain that a pathologist can then look

- 15 diagnosis.
- And what is it exactly that you're Q.
- 17 looking for when you get these tissues and cells
- 18 under a microscope, sir?
- 19 Well, it depends on what the
- 20 circumstances are. But in general, we're looking,
- 22 noncancerous process. And if it's not a neoplastic,
- 24 go down to try to figure out what's going on.
- 25 If it's a cancer, we want to decide what

- 1 type of cancer is it, where did it likely come from.
- 2 Did they biopsy the primary site, or is this likely a
- 3 spread from some other source. So we help the
- 4 physicians figure that out.
- All right, sir. And, Doctor, do you
- 6 specialize in a particular field of pathology or
- 7 particular organs?
- 8 A. I do.
- Q. And what is that, sir?
- My specialty of area is lung pathology. 10
- 11 And I've especially been interested in diseases
- 12 caused by exposures to dusts, mineral dusts.
- So the mineral-induced diseases that
- 14 this jury has heard a little bit about from other
- 15 witnesses?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- That's your specialty? 17 Q.
- 18 Yes, sir. A.
- 19 O. All right. And we're going to explore
- 20 that, sir.
- 21 What types of minerals can cause lung
- 22 disease in people, sir?
- 23 Well, there are a number. The one I
- 24 think that certainly received most publicity in this
- 25 country is asbestos. Then coal dust can -- can cause

- 7 physician wants to know what's going on with their
- 8 patient and they have tissue samples to figure that
- 12 at under a microscope. And that's what we do. And
- 13 we work with the treating doctors to find out what
- 14 information is going on to help them come to the best

- 21 first of all, is this a cancerous process or a
- 23 not a cancerous process, there's a certain pathway we

- Page 4846
- 1 lung diseases. Exposures to talc can cause some lung 2 diseases. Exposure to silica or quartz, such as from
- 3 sandblasting, can cause diseases. And there's a
- 4 variety of less common class of minerals you can be
- 5 exposed to that can cause lung diseases.
- And have you particularly focused on
- 7 studying mineral-induced lung diseases, Doctor, in
- 8 your career?
- 9 A. I have.
- 10 And what types of lung diseases can be
- 11 caused in humans by minerals, sir?
- 12 Well, there a big general category, what
- 13 we call of scarring of the -- of the lungs, and
- 14 there's different patterns of scarring you see with
- 15 different minerals. So we're looking to see if you
- 16 can find scars in the tissues and what the pattern
- 17 is, if we can relate it to a certain type of
- 18 exposure.
- For some dusts, like asbestos, there are
- 20 also cancers that can be caused by the disease. So
- 21 we're looking to see if we can identify and diagnose
- 22 those cancers accurately, and then try to determine
- 23 whether they're asbestos-related.
- 24 And then for -- especially for asbestos,
- 25 there's diseases of the pleura, scarring of the

- 1 pleura and calcification, what we call pleural
- 2 plaques. And that's something which we also can look
- 3 for pathologically, as well as radiographically by
- 4 X-rays.
- And I believe other witnesses have
- 6 informed the jury about the pleura, which is the
- 7 lining of the lung?
- 8 Yes, sir.
- 9 That's an accurate description, sir? Q.
- 10 A.
- 11 O. Now, there's a difference between a
- 12 cancer that occurs in the lung versus a cancer that
- 13 occurs in the lining of the lung, the pleura.
- 14 Correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you studied both?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Okay. And the disease that attacks the O.
- 19 lining of the lung, sir, is that mesothelioma?
- 20
- 21 Okay. Now, what kind of studies have
- 22 you been involved with regarding asbestos and
- 23 mesothelioma, just generally?
- 24 A. Well, I'd say there's two large areas
- 25 that I've been involved with. One is making the

1 detail on that in a minute, sir.

- 2 Let's stay with the diagnostic side as
- 3 opposed to -- by the way, the second part of what

Page 4849

Page 4850

- 4 you've dedicated, does that have a term or
- 5 descriptive words that we can summarize it as, sir?
- Well, I think there's a number of terms
- 7 you could use. Some people will call it fiber
- 8 analysis, some would call it digestion study. But
- those are the categories.
- 10 All right, sir. Let's stay with
- 11 diagnosis issues first and then we'll talk about the
- 12 fiber digestion and fiber analysis, sir.
- 13 What are the diagnostic issues for
- 14 mesothelioma, Doctor?
- 15 Well, first, we have to decide is it a
- 16 malignant process or not. Because it can be
- 17 difficult to separate mesothelioma from just benign
- 18 changes that occur from -- let's say you get
- 19 inflammation, an infection involved in the pleura.
- 20 The lining cells of the pleura react to that
- 21 infection. They become very angry-looking and
- 22 enlarged, and you have to be careful that you don't
- 23 call that as being a malignancy. So there's a number
- 24 of ways we can do an approach to make sure we're
- 25 dealing with a cancer and not a reactive process.

Page 4848

1

- And then, as I mentioned, there are many
- 2 cancers that can spread to the pleura secondarily and
- 3 mimic a mesothelioma, but actually they're cancers
- 4 that start from somewhere else. So we need to be
- 5 able to identify those accurately, as well.
- Q. And what do you do in dealing with
- 7 diagnostic issues? Is there a professional
- 8 association or organization that you belong to that
- 9 deals specifically with the issues of confusion of
- 10 cells and getting the right diagnosis, sir?
- Well, there's actually several, I would 11
- 12 say. The first one that comes to mind is the
- 13 U.S./Canadian Mesothelioma Panel, which is a group of
- 14 12 physicians who have a special interest in the
- 15 diagnosis of mesothelioma. We act as a referral
- 16 panel to give second opinions to other doctors who
- 17 either have difficult cases; or because mesothelioma
- 18 is a relatively rare disease, a pathologist may not
- 19 be comfortable making the diagnosis and will send it
- 20 to us to see if we agree.
- 21 So there's also an International
- 22 Mesothelioma Panel which contains members from the
- 23 U.S./Canadian panel, the French panel, the British
- 24 panel, the Australian panel and Japanese panel. So
- 25 I'm a member of that panel, as well.

1 correct diagnosis. So I've been involved in doing

- 2 studies looking at the various staging procedures
- 3 that help us separate mesothelioma from other
- 4 diseases it can be confused with. Because cancers
- 5 that metastasize or spread to the pleura are a lot
- 6 more common than mesothelioma is. So you have to
- 7 make sure you're actually dealing with a
- 8 mesothelioma, and there's certain procedures you do
- 9 to try to make that decision.
- 10 The other area I'm involved with is
- 11 determining about the causation of mesothelioma, and
- 12 we've done that in my laboratory by analyzing lung
- 13 tissue for its types and amounts of asbestos.
- Okay. The actual diagnosis, there could
- 15 be experts that disagree whether or not something is
- 17 A. That can happen.

16 mesothelioma.

- Okay. And then the other area that
- 19 you've dedicated your career is actually studying,
- 20 what, to determine causation? What is it that you
- 21 actually look at and delve into?
- We look at lung tissue samples and
- 23 determine the types and amounts of asbestos that are
- 24 present.
- 25 Okay. And we'll get into a lot more Q.

1

Page 4851

- 1 And also, the Pulmonary Pathology
- 2 Society, in general, which was formed in about 1995,
- 3 I believe, is also very interested in the disease of
- 4 mesothelioma. So we've -- and there's an
- 5 International Mesothelioma Interest Group Pathology
- 6 Panel that has written about the diagnosis of this
- 7 disease, as well.
- Q. All right. Let's -- let's spend a
- 9 little time on the U.S./Canadian panel, sir. You
- 10 said it's made up of 12 members?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 12 O. I'm going to go out on a limb and say
- 13 it's made up of 12 from the U.S. and Canada together? 13 come with mesothelioma?
- It's close. There's one from the United
- 15 Kingdom also on the panel.
- What, you just counted them as part of 16 Q.
- 17 Canada?
- 18 All right, sir. And there's only 12
- 19 that comprise this board?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. And you're one of them?
- 22 Yes, sir.
- 23 Is -- I'm not asking you to toot your
- 24 own horn, but is that a pretty big deal that you sit
- 25 on a panel like this as a resource for other doctors?

- Q.
- 2 A. Yes, sir.

Q.

And the one that's international, how

All of them that you mentioned?

Page 4853

- 4 many members make that up, sir?
- 5 I think it's around 15 members.
 - Any of the other gentlemen or ladies
- 7 from the U.S./Canadian also on the international
- 8 panel, other than yourself?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 So it's probably a small group of go-to
- 11 people that you make yourself available to other
- 12 doctors to assist in the diagnostic problems that
- Yeah. The International Mesothelioma
- 15 Panel is a little different because we don't look at
- 16 individual cases. Instead, we meet every year in the
- 17 spring with the United States/Canadian Academy of
- 18 Pathology meeting, and we discuss the interesting and
- 19 important topics about the disease. So we are more
- 20 of an -- the International Mesothelioma Panel is more
- 21 of an academic organization. We publish -- we
- 22 published a monograph and have -- have been involved
- with other publications, as well.
- 24 And on average, how many cases do you
- 25 get to work on as part of this work, being a member

Page 4852

- Well, I think so. I think it indicates
- 2 that I have a recognized expertise in the area, yes,
- 3 sir.

1

- 4 So -- and just on a simple example.
- 5 There's a doctor or a pathologist somewhere that
- 6 doesn't know whether or not he's looking at
- 7 mesothelioma, and he'll send it to you guys, you 12?
- Yes. 8
- 9 Q. And then what happens?
- 10 The person who is the chairman of the
- 11 panel looks at the case; and if it's an easy case,
- 12 he'll just write a report based on -- based on the
- 13 panel and send it back.
- If it's a difficult case, or a very
- 15 interesting case, he will then send out slides from
- 16 the case to each of the panel members, and we have a
- 17 standard form which we fill out about each case and
- 18 send it back to him. And then he will take the
- 19 opinions of the group and pass that on to the doctor
- 20 who referred the case.
- Q. All right. And then the other
- 22 organizations that expand beyond the U.S. and Canada
- 23 that you mentioned, you're a member of those, as
- 24 well?
- 25 A. I am.

- 1 of these panels, sir, in, say, a 12-month period?
- 2 A. It varies. I would say maybe we get, 3 these days, Dr. Churg publishes about one case a
- 4 month maybe. Over the years I've been a member of
- 5 the panel, I would say that I've probably seen 500 or
- 6 600 mesotheliomas associated with that.
- 7 Q. And are you compensated for that,
- 8 Doctor?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Now, do you have other areas of research
- 11 in addition to asbestos and mesothelioma? Do you
- 12 look at other -- other topics, other areas as a
- 13 researcher?
- 14 A. Yes. I'd say that -- that of the
- 15 articles and chapters in books that I've written,
- 16 95 percent, at least, deal with some aspect of lung
- 17 pathology. So other aspects of lung pathology, like,
- 18 diagnosis of lung cancer is an area that I've been
- 19 interested in.
- 20 We've mentioned pneumoconiosis, other
- 21 than asbestos, I've written and published about. So
- 22 there's been a number of things along those lines.
- 23 And are you involved in case reports on
- 24 unique presentations of tumors, for example?
- 25 Sure. A.

1

- 1 Q. Okay. And you've done case reports on
- 2 unique types of asbestos exposures?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Has that been part of your research Q.
- 5 throughout the years?
- A. It has.
- 7 Okay. And do you do these studies just Q.
- 8 on individual patients, Doctor?
- Sometimes if it's a very interesting or
- 10 very rare association, we'll report it in an
- 11 individual case report. But most of the time it's a
- 12 group -- a number of different cases that are related
- 13 in some way that we put together into -- into one
- 14 study.
- 15 Q. So you -- you study them in groups or
- 16 cohorts?
- 17 A. Typically, yes.
- While we're on it, do you think a case
- 19 report is an epidemiological study, Doctor?
- 20 A. No, it is not.
- 21 O. Can a case report, no matter how unique
- 22 it is, you as a scientist and as a medical
- 23 researcher, can you think of any reason why an
- 24 industrial hygienist expert would come here and tell
- 25 this jury that a single case report can be an
- Page 4856

- 1 epidemiological study?
- No, I don't think so. Case reports,
- 3 I've always been taught it's a suggestion about a
- 4 possible association. And then once you have the
- 5 case reports, it's necessary to either do animal
- 6 studies or epidemiological studies to confirm. It's
- 7 what we call a hypothesis-generating process.
- So we find a single case report that
- 9 says, hey, there may be something going on. We need
- 10 to do additional studies to see is it, in fact, going
- 11 on and what are the mechanisms that are causing it to
- 12 happen.
- And Doctor, you have done
- 14 epidemiological studies on asbestos and mesothelioma,
- 15 have you not?
- A. I'd say I haven't done the formal
- 17 epidemiological studies that are -- that are the
- 18 typical -- typical ones that -- longitudinal studies
- 19 or cross-sectional studies. But I think that we've
- 20 looked at very large numbers of cases, so they can be
- 21 known as descriptive epidemiological studies.
- 22 Q. All right, sir.
- Let's talk about the fiber digestion, or
- 24 I've heard the term fiber burden analysis.
- 25 Yes, sir.

- Page 4857
- O. What is a fiber burden analysis, Doctor?
- 2 Well, that's an attempt to determine how
- 3 much and what types of asbestos are present in lung
- 4 tissue samples. And it was determined many years
- 5 ago, in 1968, and then again in 1972, that everybody
- 6 has some amounts of asbestos in their lungs. And so
- 7 just simply to say there's asbestos present doesn't
- 8 mean anything. You have to quantify. You have to
- 9 compare it with a proper control group. And so that
- 10 indicates what a fiber burden analysis study is.
- And when you do a fiber burden analysis, 12 you actually look at lung tissue?
- 13 Yes, we take lung tissue samples. You
- 14 dissolve them or get -- you've got to get rid of the
- 15 organic matrix of the lung, itself, in which the
- 16 fibers are embedded so that you can then look
- 17 at -- carefully look at the fibers. So you come up
- 18 with something that will destroy the tissue but not
- the fibers.
- 20 And a simple way to do that that is very
- 21 popular is to use Clorox bleach, just like you can
- 22 buy off a grocery store shelf, because it will
- 23 dissolve the lung tissue away and leave the mineral
- 24 fibers, mineral particles behind. You can then
- 25 concentrate them on the surface of a filter and study
 - Page 4858
- 1 that filter, quantify the amounts of asbestos
- 2 present.
- Q. All right. Sir, once you have digested
- 4 away the tissue and you're left only with the
- 5 minerals, you look at it how, sir?
- A. We look at it two ways. One is with the
- 7 regular light microscope, and count structures that
- 8 we call asbestos bodies, and those are fibers that
- 9 have been coated with this golden brown protein iron 10 material that allows us to see it with a regular
- 11 light microscope. So we use a regular light
- 12 microscope to count asbestos bodies.
- 13 And then we use a form of electron
- 14 microscopy to look at mineral fibers, many of which
- 15 are too small to be seen with the light microscope,
- 16 beyond the resolution of the light microscope, and
- 17 that has an attachment which allows us to determine
- 18 the actual fibers that are present. We can focus the
- 19 electron beam on the individual particle or fiber and
- 20 collect a spectrum of X-rays that tell us what the
- 21 elemental nature of that fiber is, and that it gives
- 22 us a fingerprint of what type of fiber that is.
- 23 And, Doctor, that is a process that you
- 24 personally are involved in, looking through the 25 microscope and conducting this analysis, sir?

- 1 A. It is.
- 2 Q. Okay. And how many lung fiber
- 3 burden -- fiber burden analysis of lungs, how many
- 4 would you estimate you've performed in your career,
- 5 sir?
- 6 A. There's over 2,600 filters that I've
- 7 counted with the light microscope for asbestos body
- 8 counts. And there's more than 1,300 separate cases
- 9 that we've looked at with the electron microscope to
- 10 determine the amount of fibers that are present.
- 11 Q. And, Doctor, currently do you have a
- 12 database of these fiber burden results that you've
- 13 conducted and been involved in?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And when you do a fiber burden analysis,
- 16 do you do it in your lab?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. You don't send that out anywhere,
- 19 you do it yourself. Correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. How do you identify the types of
- 22 asbestos fibers or the minerals that -- particles
- 23 that you're looking at? What process do you use to
- 24 actually identify the specific particles and types of
- 25 minerals that are being examined?
- Page 4860
- A. Well, I started doing this in 1976 when
- 2 I was still a resident in training in pathology. So
- 3 the first thing we had to do was get samples of known
- 4 asbestos that we could analyze and see what sort of a
- 5 fingerprint you'd expect, or spectrum you'd expect to
- 6 get from them. So we did that. And there were some
- 7 samples called UICC samples that you can write off
- 8 for and get.

1

- 9 And then, once you know what the
- 10 asbestos fiber types, various asbestos fiber types
- 11 look like with the spectrum, then you can find the
- 12 fibers with the electron microscope. And you focus
- 13 your electron beam and you collect a spectrum that
- 14 tells you what the composition of that fiber actually
- 15 is.
- 16 Q. And then you've got the sample that
- 17 you've broken down and analyzed, and you get to match
- 18 them up to see what it is that you actually examined
- 19 and looked at.
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Is it -- is it an easy process to
- 22 be able to look at mineral particles and determine
- 23 what type of asbestos it is, sir?
- 24 A. Well, it's -- it's really not rocket
- 25 science. But there's certain procedures you've got

- 1 to follow, and -- and in order -- and it's tedious to
- 2 do. It's time-consuming. Takes an ability to
- 3 concentrate. And I think that people who have a
- 4 little bit of obsessive compulsive disorder are
- 5 probably better at doing it than others. That's me.
- 6 I have a little bit of OCD.
- 7 So, yeah, it's tedious and it's
- 8 difficult and time-consuming to do, but
- 9 it's -- it's -- it's a recipe, and it's pretty easy
- 10 to follow.
- 11 Q. And this database that you've compiled
- 12 with these thousands of fiber burden cases that
- 13 you've examined, sir, what kind of information are
- 14 you compiling to create this database?
- 15 A. Well, we have information about who the
- 16 patient is, when we wrote our report, who sent it to
- 17 us, whether it's from a plaintiff attorney or a
- 18 defense attorney, or from another doctor. It
- 19 has what the Duke number was, what the specimen
- 20 number is, because we give a number to each pathology
- 21 specimen that comes into the laboratory. And of
- 22 course, the hospital it came from has its own
- 23 specimen number, so we have that number also in the
- 24 database.
- 25 I think I mentioned the daily report.

Page 4862

- 1 Then we also have the diagnosis of the patient. Any
- 2 additional diagnosis that might be of importance.
- We have information about what the
- 4 person's exposure was and how long they were exposed.
- 5 And we have information about if they smoked and how
- 6 much they smoked; and if they quit, when they quit.
- We have information about if they had
- 8 the disease asbestosis, which is scarring of the
- 9 lungs from asbestos; if they have the disease pleural
- 10 plaques; whether or not we did a fiber analysis. And
- 11 from those that we did a fiber analysis on, then
- 12 there's a whole string of columns of information we
- 13 fill in based on what we found.
- 14 Q. And over what period of time have you
- 15 been compiling or adding to this database, Doctor?
- 16 A. Well, I collected the cases. I think
- 17 the very first cases that I had in my files was from
- 18 about 1981, not very long after I got to Duke. And I
- 19 saved files on those cases, and when I met my -- my
- 20 wife in 1990, she was much more computer savvy than I
- 21 was, so she helped me develop a database that would
- 22 allow me to put information about the cases in. So
- 23 that's when I started, in 1990.
- So from that point on, I added the new
- 25 cases in. And then every week I'd try to go through

- 1 ten of the old files and add them in until I caught
- 2 up to present day.
- 3 And then around 2000 we converted to
- 4 another type of database which is much more
- 5 user-friendly and compatible with Microsoft
- 6 documents. And so I continued to add the cases as we 7 get them.
- 8 And another thing about the database is
- 9 it's a living database. If I get new information
- 10 about a case in that I didn't have before, then I can
- 11 change what was in the database before by putting
- 12 that new information in.
- 13 Q. Doctor, what role has that database had
- 14 in terms of your research you've done to advance
- 15 medical and scientific knowledge?
- 16 A. Well, the research questions
- 17 that -- that we study, they come from up here. They
- 18 come from the experience that I have working with the
- 19 cases, looking at cases. I may say, you know, it
- 20 seems that this is something that is happening that
- 21 we're seeing here, we should study this and look and
- 22 see if that's the case or not. It's sort of a
- 23 hypothesis-generating process.
- 24 The database actually serves -- it's a
- 25 convenience for going and rapidly finding the

- 1 papers; and if so, how many?
 - 2 A. In the peer-reviewed literature, I think

Page 4865

- 3 there's more than 200 papers on my CV that have
- 4 either been published or accepted for publication in
- 5 the scientific literature.
- 6 Q. And have any of these publications been 7 on asbestos and asbestos-related diseases?
- 8 A. I would say about half, or a little more
- 9 than half, have something to do with asbestos or
- 10 asbestos-related diseases.
- 11 Q. When is the first time you published on
- 12 asbestos and asbestos-related disease, Doctor?
- 13 A. I think it was 1979 or 1980.
 - Q. When did you get your medical degree?
- 15 A. 1976 I got my medical degree, and
- 16 finished my residency training in 1980.
- 17 Q. So right about the time you finished
- 18 your residency, you were already publishing in this
- 19 area?

24

14

- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And have you published any books
- 22 on asbestos or asbestos-related diseases, Doctor?
- 23 A. I have.
 - Q. Okay. What is the Pathology of
- 25 Asbestos-Associated Diseases?

- 1 information without having to go back to an entire
- 2 file and go through it all over again, reinventing
- 3 the wheel, so to speak. So I look at it as being a
- 4 convenience, a shortcut.
- 5 O. Sure.
- Now, Doctor, let's move on to another general topic.
- 8 During your career, and as a result of
- 9 this research that you've generally described, sir,
- 10 have you learned about the use of the different types
- 11 of asbestos fibers in products?
- 12 A. I have.
- 13 Q. Okay. And have you learned about the
- 14 use of the different fiber types of asbestos in the
- 15 workplace?
- 16 A. Yes
- 17 Q. And you've mentioned and the jury has
- 18 heard some about electron microscopy. Is that
- 19 something that you've worked hands-on with?
- 20 A. It is.
- 21 Q. And consider yourself competent and
- 22 capable in that area, as well?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about your
- 25 publications, sir. Have you published scientific

- Page 4866 Well, that's a textbook that I first put
- 2 together with two of my mentors, Dr. Greenberg and
- 3 Dr. Pratt. And I think it was about 1987 that I
- 4 started working on it, because I was working on
- 5 medicolegal cases, and the reports, I had to put all
- 6 these different references, and I thought, boy, it
- 7 sure would be nice if all of these were in one place.
- 8 And I thought, we should write a book and put it in
- 9 one place. So I spent about five years putting the
- 10 book together with my two mentors, and it was
- 11 published in 1992, was the first edition.
- 12 Then our second edition came out in
- 13 2004, which I published with a couple of my trainees.
- And then in 2014 the third edition came
- 15 out with those same two trainees.
- 16 Q. All right, sir. I have the third
- 17 edition here. I may refer to it, so let me put it up
- 18 there for you.
- And who uses this book? How do you find
- 20 it?
- A. Well, since it talks about pathology,
- 22 pathologists would refer to it. I think any doctor
- 23 who is dealing with a case of -- of possible
- 24 asbestos-related disease could -- could find some
- 25 useful information there. People who do research in

- 1 the area may refer to it, and that would include
- 2 radiologists who are interested in asbestos or lung
- 3 diseases. Pulmonologists, pathologists. So there's
- 4 lots of different specialties that have been
- 5 involved. But I've often been told that I sold more
- 6 copies of the book to lawyers than anybody else.
- 7 Q. Including this one.
- 8 Doctor, you said the first edition was
- 9 with your mentors. Who are your co-authors in this
- 10 third edition, sir?
- 11 A. The third edition, the co-authors are
- 12 Tim Oury, who was my first trainee in 1996; and Dr.
- 13 Tom Sporn, who was my second trainee in 1997.
- Q. And what topics are generally covered in
- 15 this third edition, sir, the current one?
- 16 A. Well, we try to cover everything
- 17 asbestos-related. The first chapter, we say what
- 18 asbestos is.
- The second chapter is more or less where
- 20 you can get exposed to asbestos.
- 21 The third chapter is dedicated to
- 22 talking about asbestos bodies that I mentioned
- 23 earlier.
- 24 The fourth chapter talks about the
- 25 disease asbestosis.

Page 4868

- 1 The fifth chapter talks about the
- 2 disease mesothelioma, specifically about the
- 3 diagnosis and causation of that disease.
- 4 Chapter 6 deals with benign pleural
- 5 diseases, like pleural plaques that I mentioned
- 6 previously.
- 7 Chapter 7 deals with lung cancer.
- 8 Chapter 8 deals with other cancers that
- 9 have been suggested to be associated with asbestos.
- 10 Chapter 9 deals with the use of
- 11 cytopathology, which is a special branch of pathology
- 12 that looks at individual cells rather than tissue,
- 13 and how it can be useful in asbestos-related
- 14 diseases.
- 15 Chapter 10 talks about experimental
- 16 animal studies, what they helped us to learn about
- 17 asbestos.
- 18 Chapter 11 talks about fiber analysis.
- 19 Then the last two chapters are written
- 20 by lawyers, one by plaintiff's side, the other by the
- 21 defense side, talking about how they see pathology as
- 22 being helpful to their cases.
- Q. Okay. And throughout the various topics
- 24 that you've listed in all these chapters, sir, would
- 25 the topic of the historical uses of asbestos be

1 treated?

- 2 A. Yes. In many of the chapters there is a
- 3 section called "Historical Background," which sort of
- 4 gives the basic information. On asbestosis, for
- 5 example, when did we first learn about asbestosis and
- 6 when were the first publications, when was the term
- 7 first used in literature. So that information is in
- 8 there, yes.
- 9 Q. So in addition to historical use of
- 10 asbestos, you would find in your book the development
- 11 of the scientific and medical knowledge about
- 12 asbestos, Doctor?
- 13 A. To some degree, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. And would you have discussions in
- 15 there on the types of asbestos that have been used in
- 16 the United States?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And how people have been exposed
- 19 to asbestos, including occupations and
- 20 asbestos-containing products. Is that a topic that
- 21 we would find there?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And how asbestos fibers enter the body
- 24 and the effects of asbestos fibers on humans and in
- 25 animal research. Would that be a topic covered

Page 4870

- 1 there?
 - 2 A. Yes, sir.
 - 3 Q. And are all those topics that you're
 - 4 knowledgeable and comfortable discussing with us if I
 - 5 were to ask you some questions about it?
 - 6 A. Sure
 - 7 Q. Okay. Are there any other books on
 - 8 asbestos or asbestos-related diseases that you've
 - 9 published, sir?
 - 10 A. I've published other books that -- that
 - 11 deal somewhat with that issue. We -- one of my
 - 12 colleagues and I published two editions of a book.
 - 13 One book called Microprobe Analysis in Medicine,
 - 14 which is basically that technique I was telling you
 - 15 about, identifying a particle under a microscope and
 - 16 figuring out what its elemental composition was, its
 - 17 fingerprint was from a spectrum. So that book deals
 - 18 with that issue and how it's used in pathology in
 - 19 general, especially lung pathology.
 - 20 And the second edition of that book was
 - 21 called Biomedical Applications of Microprobe
 - 22 Analysis. And both of those have sections in them
 - 23 about asbestos.
 - Q. Okay. What about "The Pathology of
 - 25 Malignant Mesothelioma"? Is that a publication that

Roggli - direct Page 4871 Page 4873 1 you were involved in? 1 A. Yes. "Pathology of Malignant Mesothelioma," I 2 Q. And when was it first published? 3 3 believe, was a monograph that was put together by 1997. 4 And has it been in effect or in use 4 members of the International Mesothelioma Panel. I O. 5 mentioned that we were mainly a research panel, that 5 since that time, sir? 6 we met on a yearly basis. And the chairman of the Yes. And we met again in February of 7 panel was involved with putting the book together, 7 2014, and there were certain topics which we 8 but each of us had a contribution which we wrote 8 addressed and updated, and that was also published as 9 into -- I have called it a monograph, in that it's a 9 a monograph, and then as an article which was a 10 very limited focused topic but goes into great detail 10 summary of what the monograph says. 11 on it. And we've got the cover of one that has 12 All right, sir. 12 a 2014 in its title. Is that the most recent one, 13 sir? 13 New topic, Doctor. Can you tell us what 14 the Helsinki Symposium on Asbestos, Asbestosis and 14 A. It is. 15 Cancer, what was that? What is that? 15 And, again, you've been involved with A. Yes, that met initially in January of 16 them since they first met back in the '90s? 17 1997 in Helsinki, Finland. And there were 19 people 17 A. Yes. 18 around the world who were invited to participate in 18 All right, Doctor. 19 that meeting, and I was one of the individuals who 19 Now, you work at Duke University. 20 participated in that. 20 Correct? 21 21 And so we met for three days in Helsinki A. Yes. 22 to try to come to a consensus about how do you 22 Q. Do you have any other duties at Duke in 23 diagnose asbestos-related diseases and how do you 23 addition to this diagnostic work that you've been 24 determine when asbestos is the cause. 24 describing, sir? 25 25 Yeah. I think I mentioned earlier that Now, you said there were 19 A. Page 4872 Page 4874 1 internationally? 1 I'm involved as a teacher. I teach medical students 2 A. Yes. 2 and residents in training, which we have a residency 3 Q. And you were one of them? 3 program for training individuals to become 4 A. 4 pathologists. And I also do the research work. 5 How many of that 19 were from the United 5 All right, sir. And you teach pathology O. 6 States? 6 to medical students? 7 7 A. Four. A. I do. 8 So you are one of four from this country 8 Q. And how long have you been doing that at 9 that participated in this symposium? 9 Duke, sir? 10 A. Correct. 10 A. Thirty-one years.

11 All right, sir. And what -- what were

12 you trying to accomplish or what was your goal and

13 objective, and did you meet it?

Yeah. I think we did meet it. We were

15 trying to determine how do you go about diagnosing

16 asbestos-related diseases, which -- which we wrote a

17 monograph about 100 pages long that details about

18 that, with a number of chapters in that monograph. And then about how to determine when

20 the -- when the disease is related. And I think we

21 gave some good general guidelines for other

22 physicians to use.

So it's -- it's a published criteria now

24 of attribution of asbestos-related diseases you might

25 find in people?

11 Now, are you part of any other

12 professional organization other than the

13 U.S./Canadian Mesothelioma Panel that we've

14 discussed, sir?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I think you mentioned the International

17 Mesothelioma Panel?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What is the American College of Chest

20 Physicians?

21 That is a college of physicians who are

22 especially interested in diseases of the chest. That

23 would include surgeons who are members of that.

24 Radiologists are members. Pulmonologists are

25 members. Occupational medicine doctors are members,

Roggli - direct Page 4875 1 and pathologists, as well. 1 patients at Duke, private patients at Duke, unless 2 they were referred to us to do a fiber analysis. And 2 And are you a member of that 3 organization? 3 then they're probably in the database. If I did a 4 Yes. 4 fiber analysis, they are in the database. 5 What is the Microscopy Society of And then the cases of the U.S./Canadian 6 America, MSA? 6 Mesothelioma Panel are not in my database, no. 7 7 Yeah, that's an organization which is of All right, sir. And have you 8 individuals who use microscopic techniques for 8 specifically published in the medical and scientific 9 different purposes. Maybe for forensic purposes. It 9 literature on topics where your database was used as 10 may be for teaching purposes. It may be for 10 a resource? 11 11 diagnostic purposes. A. Yes. 12 12 And is that the one that you've got to O. Tell us about that, if you could 13 be diagnosed as obsessive compulsive before you can 13 quickly, sir. How have you used the database to 14 join? 14 publish articles on asbestos-associated diseases? 15 A. You don't have to be, but I think it 15 Well, in 1993, I wrote a paper with a 16 helps. 16 couple of my colleagues saying that amosite is the 17 All right, sir. You've told us about Q. 17 main type of asbestos fiber that you find in 18 the International Mesothelioma Panel a little 18 mesothelioma patients in the United States. And that 19 earlier, did you not? 19 was a study of 94 patients. It was very heavily 20 20 loaded with people who were insulators or shipyard A. Yes. 21 O. All right, sir. And you're a member of 21 workers. So even though amosite was the main fiber 22 all these organizations and have been for many years? 22 type, I was a bit concerned that -- that that may 23 A. Yes. 23 have been heavily influenced by the fact that we had 24 Q. All right, sir. 24 lots of insulators and lots of shipyard workers. So 25 Now, you've been studying 25 I had a fellow who -- who was working with me, my Page 4876 Page 4878 1 asbestos-associated diseases for about, what, 1 third fellow in training, who was interested in a 2 40 years now? 2 project. And it turned out that she had access to 3 A. Correct. 3 someone, her husband, who was a computer ace and who All right, sir. And how many Q. 4 could take my old archaic database and upgrade it 5 mesothelioma cases would you estimate that you've 5 into a much more user-friendly form. And so we asked 6 reviewed in this 40-year time span, Doctor? 6 the question, okay, let's look at the occupational 7 In my own private consultation files, 7 groups of mesothelioma and see how they are 8 last week we hit case number 4.000 of mesotheliomas 8 classified, and then look at the cases where we've 9 that I've seen in consultation. 9 done fiber analysis in those cases and see if amosite 10 And in addition, I think I mentioned 10 continues to be a main cause of asbestos in the 11 five or six hundred additional cases I've seen with 11 United States regardless of what the occupational 12 the U.S./Canadian Mesothelioma Panel. Only a very 12 category is. 13 few of those overlap with the cases in my 13 So we asked that question. The database 14 consultations files. 14 was then able to find the patients for which we had 15 And then probably another 100 or 200 15 diagnosed mesothelioma and for which we knew 16 that I've seen over the years, the 35 years I've been 16 something about their exposure information, there was 17 at Duke and the VA. 17 something entered under "Exposure" column, and that's 18 Duke and the VA? Q. 18 how the study proceeded. 19 Yeah, Durham VA Hospital. I actually 19 All right, sir. And that study was in 20 was there from 1980 to September of 2006. 20 the '90s, I think you said? 21 All right, sir. 21 A. It was about right around 2000, because

22 I think we finished the study in 2001. It was

25 article that's referred to in the scientific and

Okay. And in 2002, did you publish the

23

24

published in 2002.

O.

22

25

24 database?

A.

And are all these cases that you've just

The 4,000 have. Not the cases that are

23 described, have they made their way into your

- 2 A. Yes.
- 2 71. 103.
- Q. What was that one, sir?
- 4 A. That was the one I was just talking

1 medical literature as the 1.445 case article?

- 5 about where we had 1,445 mesothelioma patients where
- 6 we knew something about what their exposure
- 7 information was. So then we could see what sort of
- 8 categories these cases fell into, and look into more
- 9 detail as to what we found in terms of diseases they
- 10 had, accessory conditions they had, and what fiber
- 11 analysis showed.
- 12 Q. And the jury has heard from others, and
- 13 I'm confident you'll agree, sir, that asbestos is a
- 14 cause of mesothelioma.
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And in your experience based on the
- 17 research you've conducted, the database that you've
- 18 maintained, and so forth, do asbestos-caused
- 19 mesotheliomas tend to occur in any particular group
- 20 or groupings of people?
- 21 A. Yes. One of the interesting things we
- 22 found from the study is that over 90 percent of our
- 23 cases fit into one of 12 industries, one of six
- 24 occupations, or one particular nonoccupational group.
- 25 And the study was really a limited number of types of

1 mesothelioma other than asbestos?

- 2 A. Sure.
- 3 Q. They do exist?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what are some of these other causes

Page 4881

Page 4882

- 6 that may be out there, sir?
- 7 A. Well, it's well recognized that
- 8 radiation, prior radiation, therapeutic radiation is
- 9 a cause of mesothelioma. We actually just published
- 10 a study last year looking at people who have had one
- 11 type of cancer that's common in childhood or young
- 12 adults for which they almost always get radiation.
- 13 There's a high percentage of cures, and so there's a
- 14 high percentage that live another 20 or 30 years, and
- 15 a significant number of those were developing
- 16 mesothelioma. So that's one recognized cause is
- 17 therapeutic radiation.
- Another is other mineral fibers that are
- 19 not classified as asbestos; but from looking under a
- 20 microscope, they have a lot of features that are
- 21 similar to asbestos. One is called erionite.
- 22 Another one is called fluoro-edenite.
- 23 The erionite has been mainly causes of
- 24 mesothelioma in the country of Turkey, and the
- 25 fluoro-edenite in the country of Sicily.

Page 4880

- 1 exposures out there that were accounting for almost
- 2 all of the 1.445 mesotheliomas that we studied.
- Q. And how would you describe the physical
- 4 characteristics of the people that are getting
- 5 asbestos-associated diseases, sir, by gender?
- 6 A. First of all, we found -- and it's not
- 7 unexpected at all -- the vast majority were men,
- 8 because historically men were the ones who were
- 9 involved in the occupations where there was a
- 10 significant exposure to asbestos.
- 11 And secondly, the vast majority of them
- 12 were pleural mesotheliomas, because that's by
- 13 far -- the ones that occur in the chest are the ones
- 14 by far are the most common mesotheliomas.
- 15 Q. And so for the men who have
- 16 mesothelioma, sir, what percentage is caused by
- 17 exposure at the workplace?
- 18 A. What we found by analyzing lung tissue
- 19 samples is that 86 percent of our men with pleural
- 20 mesothelioma have an abnormal elevated asbestos
- 21 content, and the vast majority of those were from
- 22 workplace exposure.
- Q. All right.
- Now, during these four decades of work
- 25 in this field, sir, have you identified causes of

- Then there are cases that are related to
- 2 inflammation, chronic inflammation for long periods
- 3 of time. One disease that can cause chronic
- 4 inflammation in tissues for a long period of time is
- 5 tuberculosis. So it's been recognized that some
- 6 people get tuberculosis involving the pleural space
- 7 that just smolders there for decades. And a few of
- 8 those patients have gone on to develop mesothelioma
- 9 in those areas. So chronic inflammation is thought
- 10 to be a precursor in some cases.
- 11 Q. The jury has heard from others, let me
- 12 ask you the question. During your decades-long study
- 13 of mesothelioma, have you learned whether cigarette
- 14 smoking can cause mesothelioma?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And can it?
- 17 A. No, I don't think it's -- it does not
- 18 have an effect on mesothelioma. Someone suggested
- 19 that what happens in cigarette smoking, it interferes
- 20 with normal clearance mechanisms, so that can result
- 21 in more fibers than usual in the lung. But cigarette
- 22 smoking also causes your airways to become narrower
- 23 and have a thicker mucus blanket, so that can cause 24 less fibers to get to the periphery of the lung. So
- 25 you don't know which of those is more important, and

Page 4883 Page 4885 1 A. Yes. 1 epidemiological studies show no effects of smoking on 2 2 lung cancer rates. So apparently those two factors Q. And based on your research and 3 are a wash, they cancel each other out. 3 experience, do you understand the potential causes of 4 mesothelioma, Doctor? And then just to close out the subject, 5 A. Yes. 5 sir. Are there some causes of mesothelioma that you 6 just cannot explain the cause? 6 O. And based on your research and 7 7 experience, do you understand the types of asbestos A. Yes. 8 And what do you call those, and do they 8 fiber exposures that are associated specifically with Q. mesothelioma? 9 occur? 10 Yes. In our database, my database, 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Are you familiar with the historical 11 about 14 percent of mesotheliomas in men and 12 16 percent of all the mesotheliomas that we have 12 progression of the science on the levels and types of 13 are -- have asbestos contents not different from a 13 asbestos exposures that are associated with disease? 14 A. Yes. 14 control population. No evidence they're 15 asbestos-related. And those are called idiopathic or 15 Q. And are you familiar with how the human 16 body processes inhaled particles and fibers? 16 spontaneous mesotheliomas. 17 17 Q. All right, Doctor. A. Yes. 18 And have you reviewed the medical 18 Now, sir, you're here to give some 19 opinion testimony to questions that I ask you. Is 19 records of Mr. Argento, the plaintiff in this case? 20 20 that correct? A. I have. 21 O. 21 And have you reviewed the deposition 22 22 testimony and other materials that describe Doctor, can we agree that any of your 23 answers that you're giving and opinions that you're 23 Mr. Argento's smoking history and potential asbestos 24 going to offer, you're going to give those answers 24 exposure? 25 25 today within a reasonable degree of scientific and A. I have. Page 4884 Page 4886 And have you reviewed materials 1 medical certainty, Doctor? 2 A. Yes, sir. 2 regarding the analysis of the original Kent 3 Q. We can agree on that? 3 cigarettes by Dr. William Longo? 4 4 A. I have. A. Yes, sir. 5 And I'm going to ask you now a series of MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, at this time I 6 questions to establish the summary of what I'm going 6 would offer Dr. Roggli as an expert witness on the 7 to be offering you as an expert on. Okay? 7 subjects of lung pathology, asbestos and 8 A. Sure. 8 asbestos-associated disease. Q. So in conjunction with your own 9 THE COURT: Any objection? 10 research, Doctor, your own publishing and your 10 MR. MAIMON: No objection. MR. DUNST: No objection, your Honor. 11 teaching, do you study the medical and scientific 11 12 research and literature related to asbestos and 12 THE COURT: Okay. This witness is now 13 disease? 13 qualified as an expert in lung pathology, asbestos 14 A. I do. 14 and asbestos-related disease. 15 15 And based on your research and MR. CEDILLO: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: You know what? Rather than 16 experience, are you familiar with the types and 16 17 characteristics of asbestos fibers? 17 interrupt your direct, because we're close to our 18 A. I am. 18 break time, we'll take the morning break now. 19 Q. And are you familiar with the historical 19 Fifteen minutes. Leave your notebooks here. 20 uses of different types of asbestos fibers in 20 Remember all the instructions I've provided during 21 different categories of products? 21 the course of this trial. A member of my staff will 22 A. Yes. 22 pick you up downstairs at 10:30. 23 23 (The jury leave the courtroom.) And are you familiar with the types of 24 asbestos fibers commonly found in different kinds of 24 THE COURT: All right. And we're off 25 workplaces and in environments historically? 25 the record. I'll see everyone in 15 minutes.

	D 4007		D 4000
1	Page 4887	1	Page 4889
1	(A recess is taken.)	1	A. Plaintiff.
2	(The jury enters the courtroom. The	2	Q. Have you ever testified for the defense
3	following takes place in the presence of the jury.)	1	of the Kent original filter before this case?
4	MR. CEDILLO: May I proceed, your Honor	1	A. No well, in terms of in a court?
5	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Cedillo.	5	Q. Yes.
6	MR. CEDILLO: Thank you.	6	A. If you consider depositions testimony,
7	Q. Doctor, you had mentioned that the book		I've given depositions.
	that we brought out, the third edition, you said	8	Q. But in court. Now, have you testified
	something about probably more lawyers have been		for Lorillard Tobacco Company Kent, or were you
1	buying it than doctors?	1	testifying for Hollingsworth & Vose?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. The latter.
12	Q. And you mentioned that the last chapter,	12	Q. The latter. So for Kent cigarettes, for
	one is written from a plaintiff's lawyer perspective		Lorillard Tobacco, have you been a person who has
	and one chapter is written by a defendant lawyer	14	testified on behalf of the original Kent cigarette?
15	perspective. Is that correct?	15	A. I don't think so.
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	Q. But you have testified against the
17	Q. I gather, then, we can safely conclude	17	original Kent cigarette. Correct?
18	that you, Doctor, have been involved in	18	A. Yes, sir.
19	asbestos-related litigation as part of your work	19	Q. Okay. And we're going to explore that,
20	these four decades?	20	sir. Let's do that now.
21	A. I have.	21	When you testified against the
22	Q. Okay, sir.	22	Kent original Kent cigarette, sir, what time frame
23	Now, when did you begin your involvement	23	are we talking about?
24	in asbestos-related litigation, Doctor?	24	A. I believe the last time I testified in a
25	A. I'd say probably the first case that I	25	Kent case was 1997.
	Page 4888		Page 4890
1	Page 4888 saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was	1	Page 4890 O All right sir. And your opinions were
	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was	1	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were
2	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case.	2	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified
2 3	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the	3	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter?
2 3 4	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work	2 3 4	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making
2 3 4 5	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury	2 3 4 5	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely
2 3 4 5 6	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a
2 3 4 5 6 7	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available. Q. And have you testified in the past	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk about that first. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available. Q. And have you testified in the past regarding Kent cigarettes with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk about that first. Okay? A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available. Q. And have you testified in the past regarding Kent cigarettes with the asbestos-containing filter material, what we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk about that first. Okay? A. Sure. Q. What were some of those reasons for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available. Q. And have you testified in the past regarding Kent cigarettes with the asbestos-containing filter material, what we've called the original Kent cigarette? Have you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk about that first. Okay? A. Sure. Q. What were some of those reasons for the opinions that you were giving at that time, sir,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available. Q. And have you testified in the past regarding Kent cigarettes with the asbestos-containing filter material, what we've called the original Kent cigarette? Have you testified in original Kent cases before, Doctor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk about that first. Okay? A. Sure. Q. What were some of those reasons for the opinions that you were giving at that time, sir, specifically?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available. Q. And have you testified in the past regarding Kent cigarettes with the asbestos-containing filter material, what we've called the original Kent cigarette? Have you testified in original Kent cases before, Doctor? A. I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk about that first. Okay? A. Sure. Q. What were some of those reasons for the opinions that you were giving at that time, sir, specifically? A. Well, one had to do with crocidolite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	saw that was a litigation case was 1981, though I was neither deposed in it nor did I testify in that case. Probably around '82 or '83, I had the first depositions done and testified in some work comp cases. And then in 1985 was the first jury trial that I testified in. Q. Okay. And, Doctor, since the '80s through today, have you involved yourself in litigation on both sides of the docket, the plaintiff and the defense side? A. I have. Q. And have your opinions on asbestos and asbestos-associated disease, have they changed over the years, Doctor? A. Sure. They've been refined from a number of issues as more information became available. Q. And have you testified in the past regarding Kent cigarettes with the asbestos-containing filter material, what we've called the original Kent cigarette? Have you testified in original Kent cases before, Doctor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. All right, sir. And your opinions were what in the 1997 time frame when you testified against the Kent original filter? A. Using certain information and making certain assumptions, I believed that it was likely that the crocidolite from the Kent filter was a contributing factor to the patient's mesothelioma. Q. All right, sir. Let's explore. Obviously I say obviously because I brought you here have you changed your mind? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And we're going to explore why you changed your mind. But before we do that, I want to explore what your rationale was, what reasons did you have back in the '90s when you were testifying against the original Kent cigarette. Let's talk about that first. Okay? A. Sure. Q. What were some of those reasons for the opinions that you were giving at that time, sir, specifically?

1 were that using -- making some calculations based on

- 2 a study that had been published, I believe in 1995,
- 3 by Dr. Longo, that making certain assumptions and
- 4 calculations, I came to the conclusion that more
- 5 likely than not this person would have an amount of
- 6 asbestos in their lungs that's above background.
- 7 All right, sir. The jury has heard
- 8 about Dr. Longo and his Cancer Research study
- 9 publication.
- 10 A.
- 11 Q. You're familiar with that study, are you
- 12 not?
- 13 A.
- 14 And you're familiar with the syringe Q.
- 15 experiment that was the basis for those calculations
- 16 and that study. Correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Now, what was it about the syringe
- 19 experiment that you took to support your conclusion
- 20 in the '90s that Kent, original Kent, could
- 21 contribute to cause mesothelioma?
- 22 Well, I assumed that from the experiment
- 23 was a reasonable approximation of what an individual 23 of my text in experimental animal studies, that
- 24 would get from smoking the cigarette.
- 25 I then made the assumption about fibers

1 an elevated content of asbestos.

Doctor, do you recall how Dr. Longo

Page 4893

Page 4894

- 3 treated bundles of fibers in his study?
- He counted them as a single structure, 5 yes, sir.
- O. Okay. And did that inform the opinion 7 you held in the '90s regarding original Kent as a
- 8 causal relationship to mesothelioma?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. How?
- 11 I considered that the bundles of fibers
- 12 then might break up into additional fibers once they
- 13 were deposited in the lung tissue, and that that
- 14 would result in an even higher burden than -- than
- 15 was determined from just counting the structures.
- Doctor, did any animal studies factor in 16
- 17 to assist your conclusions back in the '90s that Kent
- could be a contributing factor in mesothelioma?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And in which way, and describe that for
- 21 us, please.
- 22 Yeah, that's talked about in Chapter 10
- 24 studies had suggested that somewhere between
- 25 65 percent and 100 percent of commercial amphibole

Page 4892

- 1 fibers, of which crocidolite is one, would be
 - 2 deposited. That which was breathed in, almost all of
 - 3 it was deposited in the lungs of the rats. And so I
 - 4 was using that as part of my calculations.
 - All right, sir. And was there a
 - 6 particular study involving mesothelioma in women that
 - 7 informed your opinion in the '90s that Kent was a
 - 8 contributing factor to mesothelioma, the original
 - 9 Kent cigarette?
 - 10 Yeah. We had done a study of malignant
 - 11 mesothelioma in women published in 1997, so we
 - 12 completed it by 1996. And that study -- in that
 - 13 study we had among our 60-something cases -- I think
 - 14 it was 62 cases we had of mesothelioma in women,
 - 15 three of them had a history of smoking Kent
 - 16 cigarettes. So we thought, well, that's high
 - 17 compared to what the market share of Kent was. So
 - 18 that disproportionate number suggested there might be
 - 19 an association. And actually, I believe, as I
 - 20 recall, in the chapter we said that this -- that this
 - 21 needs to be further investigated in an
 - 22 epidemiological study.
 - 23 All right, sir. So have we discussed
 - 24 the factors that were in play when you were of the
 - 25 opinion in the '90s that the original Kent filter

1 that would be deposited in the lung, including the

- 2 clusters of fibers, that clusters could then break up
- 3 into larger numbers of fibers.
- Then I made some assumptions about the
- 5 percentage of the asbestos that would actually be
- 6 deposited in the lungs, and then about how long it
- 7 would take to clear those fibers from the lungs.
- And all of those assumptions and 9 conclusions and calculations that you've generally
- 10 described, sir, they were all driven by the Longo
- 11 study?
- 12 MR. MAIMON: Objection, leading. Sorry.
- 13 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 14 Okay. Tell us the basis that you were
- 15 using for these calculations that led to your support
- 16 of the theory that Kent was a contributing factor in
- 17 causing mesothelioma.
- 18 Yeah. I took the numbers from Dr. Longo
- 19 about the release of fibers, the numbers of fibers
- 20 that were being released, and then did the
- 21 calculations about how much would then be deposited
- 22 based on somebody smoking a pack a day, say, for four
- 23 years from 1952 to 1956, and about how long it would 24 take to clear those fibers out of the lungs, and
- 25 concluded that more likely than not, you would have

Page 4895 Page 4897 1 could be a contributing cause to someone's 1 lung. For some lung cancers and some mesothelioma, 2 mesothelioma? 2 they'll take out the whole lung as part of the 3 A. Yes. 3 treatment. And then we have the lung tissue which we 4 All right, sir. 4 can study in that circumstance. 5 Now, did that opinion change, Doctor? And then the other way is if a patient 6 6 dies and an autopsy is done, then we can take the 7 Q. And when did it change? 7 tissue from the autopsy and perform the procedure 8 Well, I wouldn't say it was overnight. 8 looking for the asbestos. 9 I think there were several things that influenced it. 9 And who determines whether somebody 10 First of all, prior to my testifying in 10 after death is going to have a section of the lung 11 that case in 1997, we had done fiber analysis on one 11 tissue taken so that a fiber burden analysis can be 12 case and found -- in which their only known exposure 12 conducted? 13 was smoking Kent cigarettes, and we found no 13 MR. MAIMON: Objection. 14 14 crocidolite present in the lungs. THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase. 15 Okay. Let's stop right there. 15 Well, you're familiar with the medical Is that case one that was -- that 16 16 records of Mr. Argento, are you not? 17 appeared in the published literature? 17 A. I am. 18 Eventually, yes. 18 O. Was a fiber burden analysis conducted on 19 Q. Eventually it did? 19 the lung tissue of Mr. Argento? 20 A. Yes. 20 A. 21 O. And the jury may have seen that. That's 21 Q. Could it have been done? 22 why I interrupted you. But let's break that down a 22 A. Sure. 23 little bit. 23 Are you aware of why it wasn't? 24 Did you perform a fiber burden analysis 24 MR. MAIMON: Objection. 25 on the lung tissue of the woman who was the subject 25 A. No. Page 4896 Page 4898 1 of that case where it was claimed that her only known 1 THE COURT: Overruled. 2 2 exposure to asbestos was to a Kent cigarette MR. CEDILLO: When he says objection, 3 between '52 and '56? 3 don't answer. A. I did in one case, but that was not the 4 THE COURT: I understood the delay over 5 case that I was testifying in. The case I was 5 here. The objection is overruled and he answered. 6 testifying in did not have a fiber analysis done. He did. Either way. All right. 7 7 Q. Yes, sir. That was my fault. The So the tissue is sent to your 8 question I asked was incorrect. 8 laboratory, and then you conduct a fiber burden Did you do a fiber burden analysis on a 9 analysis. Correct? 10 woman who claimed that her only known asbestos 10 A. Correct. 11 exposure was to a Kent cigarette? 11 Ο. And you did that for a woman who claimed 12 A. Yes, sir. 12 that -- or it was claimed that her only known And, again, tell us what the fiber 13 exposure was to Kent cigarettes. Correct? 14 burden process -- what is it that you physically are 14 A. Yes. 15 doing when you perform a fiber burden? 15 Now, you're familiar with the specific Yes, it's dissolving the lung tissue 16 type of asbestos that was the filtering agent in the 17 away, and then looking with the light microscope and 17 filter from '52 to '56. Correct? 18 the electron microscope to determine the amount and 18 Yes. Α 19 type of any asbestos present. 19 O. And what was that, sir? 20 20 Q. Okay. So how do you get the lung tissue A. Crocidolite. 21 21 to -- to work it to end up putting the particles Okay. And when you did the fiber burden 22 under a microscope? How do you get it? 22 analysis, you broke down the tissue and you put 23 Well, there's several ways. One is if 23 the -- the mineral particles under your microscope, 24 the patient has a surgical procedure where they 24 what kind of asbestos did you find, if any? 25 remove part of the lung, or in some cases the whole 25 A. Amosite.

- Q. 2 whatsoever?

1

- 3 A.
- 4 Okay. Did this experiment have any

Did you find any crocidolite,

- 5 impact on the opinion that you formed about whether
- 6 or not Kent really could be a contributing factor in
- 7 causing mesothelioma?
- To a degree. It did not contribute to
- 9 the cases in which the only known exposure was to
- 10 Kent because that woman had another known exposure
- 11 that accounted for the amosite.
- 12 O. All right, sir.
- 13 Now, so you conducted that particular
- 14 fiber burden. Have you had occasion to conduct other
- 15 fiber burdens on persons who claimed that a Kent
- 16 cigarette was their source of asbestos exposure?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 How many times, sir? O.
- 19 Since that case I testified in in 1997,
- 20 I mentioned I had already done one. I have done
- 21 three additional cases.
- 22 All right. And what were your results
- 23 in the three additional cases of fiber burden
- 24 analysis on people who claimed that their exposure to
- 25 asbestos came through an original Kent filter?
- Page 4900
- 1 In all four cases in which the only
- 2 known or claimed exposure was through Kent
- 3 cigarettes, we did not find any crocidolite in the
- 4 tissues.
- And what did -- did that inform your
- 6 opinion to the point where you started rethinking
- 7 your conclusions from the 1990s?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How, sir?
- 10 Well, I mean, as a pathologist, as
- 11 somebody who studied asbestos-related diseases for a
- 12 lifetime, then I believed, and continue to believe,
- 13 that what you find in the lung is most informative
- 14 about the causation of disease. And so -- and our
- 15 criteria for causation means if you find an amount of
- 16 asbestos in a lung tissue that's different, elevated
- 17 above what you expect to find in my lung or your
- 18 lung, then we consider that to be causative of -- of
- 19 asbestos-related mesothelioma.
- 20 If we don't, then I don't believe you can
- 21 say that asbestos was a contributing factor in those
- 22 cases.
- 23 Now, Doctor, when you are performing
- 24 these fiber burdens, what period of time did that
- 25 span, these fiber burdens on the four Kent smokers?

- The first one was mid-1990s, and I think
 - 2 the last one was done by the early 2000s.
 - Okay. Did anybody at Lorillard Tobacco
 - 4 Company know that you were doing these fiber burden
 - 5 analyses? Did they have any input with you, or
 - 6 direction, or were you reporting to anyone at
 - 7 Lorillard when you were doing these fiber burden
 - 8 analyses?

9

- MR. MAIMON: Objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 Not Lorillard, no.
- 12 Okay. Now, when you did these fiber
- 13 burden analyses, what kind of equipment did you use,
- 14 your microscopes, and so forth? Is it possible that
- 15 you weren't using the right equipment to be able to
- 16 detect what was there?
- 17 A. I don't think so. The microscope that I
- 18 use today was -- was purchased by the Durham VA
- 19 Medical Center in 1992. So I've been using that for
- 20 the last 24 years. That microscope was moved over to
- 21 Duke when I retired from the VA and became full-time
- 22 at Duke in March of 2007. And we had published
- 23 studies about our findings of -- of crocidolite
- 24 asbestos, and we found a number of cases with
- 25 crocidolite in their lung tissues in elevated amounts
 - Page 4902

- 1 in that period since 1992.
 - Q. And I mean, as far as the equipment that
 - 3 you're using, sir, is it outdated? I mean, do you
 - 4 still have a carburetor on it where there's others
 - 5 that have fuel injection to them? Or I mean, is
 - 6 there any criticism that maybe you just don't have
 - 7 the right equipment to be able to pick up what's
 - 8 there?
 - 9 No. I mean, the size of fibers that we A.
 - 10 are interested in has not changed since I started
 - 11 doing this with the electron microscope out at
 - 12 Research Triangle Park in 1981. And we switched over
 - 13 to a different microscope, also the same type,
 - 14 scanning electron microscope, as I mentioned, in
 - 15 1992. And I still use the same procedures during
 - 16 that time. The microscope annually undergoes
 - 17 maintenance procedures, routine maintenance, where
 - 18 they come in and make sure it's operating like a new
 - 19 one. And so I don't think that's an issue.
 - 20 All right, sir.
 - 21 Now, these Kent smoker fiber burden
 - 22 analyses that you've just described, did you publish
 - 23 in the medical or scientific literature on -- on
 - 24 these studies that you conducted, sir?
 - 25 A. Yes.

Roggii - direct		
Page 4903	Page 4905	
1 Q. And where would we find that in the	1 Honor.	
2 literature?	2 THE COURT: So far you've stuck to the	
3 A. Well, we published it twice, actually.	3 published study.	
4 The first time was it was sort of an afterthought,	4 MR. MAIMON: The way the question is	
5 a mention in the 2002 study we did of the	5 phrased is my concern.	
6 1,445 cases. We mentioned in there that we had	6 MR. CEDILLO: I will represent to you	
7 analyzed four cases whose only known exposure was to	7 that I have instructed him on the Court's rulings,	
8 Kent cigarettes, and had not found any crocidolite in	8 and that doesn't mean I'm not going to try again and	
9 the lungs. That was like a paragraph in the	9 maybe have a proffer or whatever, but I can ask a	
10 discussion.	10 more specific question that will make sure that he	
Then in 2009, we actually wrote a paper	11 doesn't volunteer anything.	
12 on crocidolite and mesothelioma. And we we	MR. MAIMON: Sure.	
13 reported our our results and observations that	13 THE COURT: Okay.	
14 we'd made about crocidolite and mesothelioma, and	MR. CEDILLO: Because I'm aware of the	
15 again repeated that we analyzed four cases whose only	15 Court's ruling, and I wasn't trying to back-door it.	
16 known exposure was through Kent cigarettes and did	MR. MAIMON: I didn't think Mr. Cedillo	
17 not find increased crocidolite in any of those cases.	17 was trying to back-door it. I was just concerned	
18 Q. All right. So to summarize this	18 that the witness, in responding to such an open-ended	
19 section, sir. Did your fiber burden analyses on	19 question, would volunteer those things.	
20 smokers who claim their asbestos exposure through the	20 MR. DEFEO: Maybe there's two front	
21 original Kent cigarette, how did that impact your	21 doors.	
22 current opinion on whether or not the original Kent	22 MR. CEDILLO: I'll tie it down, your	
23 cigarette could contribute to cause mesothelioma?	23 Honor.	
24 A. Well, as I mentioned, the last time I	24 THE COURT: Okay.	
25 testified for a plaintiff in a Kent case, I had done	25 (End of sidebar.)	
Page 4904	Page 4906	
1 one analysis on a patient whose only known exposure	1 MR. CEDILLO: May I proceed, your Honor	
2 was to Kent. And the subsequent three cases where we	2 THE COURT: Certainly.	
3 still did not find any crocidolite cast a	3 Q. Dr. Roggli, I want to break up my	
4 considerable doubt on whether or not smoking Kent	4 questions to you on Dr. Longo into the methodology	
5 could result in enough exposure to cause an	5 employed, and then the calculations that he employed	
6 individual to get mesothelioma.	6 and your reassessment of those calculations that	
7 So that was that was an	7 you've already testified about very generally. Okay?	
8 important consideration in my change of opinion.	8 A. Yes, sir.	
9 Q. All right, sir.	9 Q. So let's start with the methodology.	
Now, let's talk about Dr. Longo. What	10 Did you form a subsequent opinion regarding	
11 was it about your further review of Dr. Longo that	11 Dr. Longo's methodology in the study that you	
12 caused a change in the opinion from the one you held	12 conducted? And I want you to be within four corners	
13 in the 1990s?	13 of the study that came out in Cancer Research. Okay	
14 MR. MAIMON: Objection.	14 A. Yes, sir.	
15 THE COURT: Sidebar.	15 Q. All right, sir. Did you reassess his	
16 (At sidebar.)	16 methodology?	
MR. MAIMON: I'm going to object, your	17 A. Yes.	
18 Honor, to the extent this is an invitation to the	18 Q. And what what did that entail, sir?	
19 witness to talk about the unpublished studies which	19 A. Well, initially, it was after the last	
20 has been that's the subject of the Court's	20 trial that I testified in for a plaintiff in Kent	
21 rulings. I have reviewed some of the witness' prior	21 cigarettes. The cross-examination made me realize	
22 testimony about this, and he has certain criticisms	22 that my assumption that using the syringe test on	
23 and comments about what's been found in unpublished	23 40-year-old Kent cigarettes, that that was similar to	
24 data.	24 smoking cigarettes, is probably not a good one. And	
25 MR. CEDILLO: I see the concern, your	25 I had not really, I guess, known that, or thought	

- 1 that through enough at the time of that trial in
- 2 1997. I mean, there was subsequent information that
- 3 I got probably around 2001 that led me to realize
- 4 there were other problems in Dr. Longo's analysis
- 5 that --
- 6 Q. Well, Doctor, let me ask you to stick
- 7 with the syringe analysis and the methodology -- I'll
- 8 call that the methodology.
- A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Let's stay with that and go just real
- 11 tight on Q and A in this area. Okay?
- 12 A. Sure.
- Q. What was it that you gained an awareness
- 14 that had to do with the syringe and -- and the method
- 15 that he used in conducting the study that led to the
- 16 publication of the Cancer Research article?
- 17 A. Well, just the questions that I was
- 18 asked on cross-examination made me realize that the
- 19 method that was similar to smoking was not a good
- 20 one. That left me with considerable doubts about
- 21 using the syringe method.
- And then some other things he did with
- 23 the methodology was the indirect method of analyzing
- 24 a filter, which I didn't know at the time of that
- 25 case, but I did subsequently learn, I think around

- 1 It probably was not a realistic comparison.
 - 2 Q. And why would that be, sir?
 - 3 MR. MAIMON: Objection.
 - 4 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - 5 A. I think it has to do with the physics of

Page 4909

- 6 pulling the syringe down to draw air through it is
- 7 not the same as -- as the individual puffing a
- 8 cigarette. The way that you had to -- my
- 9 understanding from his methodology, to -- to push the
- 10 filter down so that it would fit into the syringe had
- 11 the potential for damaging the filter and releasing
- 12 things that otherwise would not have been released.
- 13 And also the fact that the cigarettes were not off
- 14 the shelf as they were smoked, but they were 40 years 15 old.
- 16 Q. In 1995 they were 40 years old.
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. All right, sir.
- Now, the second category I heard was the
- 20 methodology he used to do the counting.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. You talked about it as a direct
- 23 method -- indirect method.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And I assume there's a direct method.

- 1 2001, from Berman and Crump's study for the
- 2 Environmental Protection Agency, that that procedure
- 3 has the ability to increase the fibers that you're
- 4 detecting by one or two orders of magnitude. That's
- 5 a factor of 10 to 100.
- Q. All right.
- 7 A. And then the other thing that I came to
- 8 subsequently realize is that his counting of the
- 9 clusters that were part of his methodology, instead
- 10 of that resulting in even more fibers in the lung,
- 11 probably would result in less in the lung because it
- 12 was the realization from -- again from the Berman and
- 13 Crump analysis, that these clusters have a diameter
- 14 so large that they're not going to be deposited in
- 15 the lower respiratory tract if they get there.
- 16 Q. Let's break that down then. I heard
- 17 three general categories in the reanalysis that you
- 18 did on the methodology.
- 19 The first one has to do with the
- 20 assumption that the syringe experiment simulated the
- 21 smoking -- the act of smoking a cigarette. Correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And what conclusions did you reach upon
- 24 further study about that assumption?
- 25 A. It probably was not a good assumption.

- Page 4910
 1 Tell us a little bit about that. What's the
- 2 difference?
- 3 A. Well, this is the methodology that the
- 4 EPA has established for looking at water samples or
- 5 air samples to see about the amount of asbestos. And
- 6 a direct method is one where you had the air go
- 7 through the filter, or the water go through the
- 8 filter, and then you take that filter and you look at
- 9 it directly under an electron microscope and count
- 10 the fibers.
- The indirect method is you use the
- 12 filter the same way, but now you take that filter,
- 13 you dissolve it in material. You resuspend the
- 14 particles that were on the filter, and put that on a
- 15 new filter, and then look at that under an electron
- 16 microscope. And it sounds like, well, what's the
- 17 difference. But the EPA determined, oh, that can
- 18 increase the number of fibers that you see because
- 19 the process of extracting them from -- from the
- 20 original filter can break them up into smaller fibers
- 21 and into more fibers so that you've greatly increased
- 22 the numbers. It can cause clusters to break up, for
- 23 example. So that was -- that was a problem that I
- 24 learned about subsequently.
- Q. All right, sir.

Page 4911 Page 4913 1 So we've talked about the method of 1 defendants, for Lorillard, or for anybody? 2 counting using the indirect method led you to 2 No, I think they were independent. 3 reassess the earlier opinion that you had then. 3 Q. And was that in the medical and Yes, sir. 4 4 scientific literature? All right, sir. And then I think the A. Eventually they published their findings 6 in 2008 in the scientific literature. 6 third one that I've heard had to do with how he 7 treated the presence of bundles. What were you doing And you took the work that they were 8 with the bundle part of his testing when, in the 8 doing there, and how did that inform your new opinion 9 '90s, you were testifying that Kent could lead to 9 then, sir? 10 cause mesothelioma? 10 A. Well, one was that -- that the use of 11 A. Well, I was assuming that those would be 11 the indirect methodology will increase the fiber 12 deposited in the lung, and then -- in the lung and 12 count. And the other that those clusters that had 13 the lung environment where the fluids that were 13 been counted probably never would get into the lung 14 present there could then break up into smaller 14 in the first place. 15 fibers, and those could be distributed in the lung 15 Why would they not get into the lung in 16 and actually increase the exposure. 16 the first place, sir, the clusters? 17 Q. All right. That's what you thought in 17 Well, there is a size of particles in 18 the '90s? 18 general that can be deposited in the lower 19 A. Yes. 19 respiratory tract. That is, the lung where your gas 20 And then what happened to make you 20 exchange takes place, where the alveoli are. And Q. 21 think -- or rethink that position? 21 that's usually -- 5 microns is the -- is the typical Well, then I was reading the Berman and 22 size. That, and fibers and structures below that. 23 Crump's analysis and realized -- it was almost a 23 For mineral fibers, they tend to line up 24 "duh" moment. Why hadn't I thought of that before? 24 along the direction of air flow, so their diameter is 25 Those structures would be too large to even be 25 the most important factor. And so an individual Page 4912 Page 4914 1 fiber can be 20, 30, even 100 microns long. If it's 1 deposited in the lower respiratory tract. Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the 2 thin enough, it lines up like a straw in the air and 3 pronunciation. It's my ears, it's not your 3 just goes right down deep into the lung. If you've 4 pronunciation. You're referring to a published 4 got a cluster of them like a ball, and it's more than 5 article by two authors? 5 5 microns across, then it's either going to be A. Yes. 6 deposited in the nose, in the hairs in the nose in 7 Q. What --7 the upper respiratory tract, or it will impact high No, that was -- they subsequently 8 up in the airways, the windpipe and the bronchi. 9 published their work in 2008. 9 All right, sir. 10 Q. I see. 10 And this -- this work by these two 11 But what they did around 2001 is that 11 individuals assisted you in reassessing your opinion 12 they put together an analysis for the Environmental 12 about the Kent filter that you had in the mid-'90s? 13 Protection Agency, and that became available to 13 A. Yes. 14 anybody that wanted to look at it. 14 O. All right, sir. 15 15 And what was the name of that analysis, Now, we've been talking about Q. 16 sir? 16 Dr. Longo's testing and his methodology. Did you 17 A. I don't recall the title of it, but it 17 come to any new awareness or conclusions regarding 18 was looking at fiber indices, is what they eventually 18 the calculations? 19 published about. 19 A. Yes. 20 20 O. And who were the authors again, please? O. Okay. Let's talk about that. 21 21 A. Wayne Berman and Crump, Kenny Crump. What was it about his calculations that 22 Berman and Crump? 22 you began to question? Q.

It's actually the calculations that I

24 did based on what his fiber counts were. And two

25 things that had changed is my assumption about the

23

23

24

A.

Q.

Yes.

25 Crump, were they doing this for a group of

And to your knowledge, did Berman and

Page 4917 Page 4915 1 amount that's deposited in the lower respiratory 1 calculations that changed, which the witness has 2 tract in humans and the clearance of the fibers from 2 already clarified it. So the question as phrased is 3 the lungs. And the first one in that regard, the 3 improper. 4 deposition, I assumed that the studies in the rats 4 MR. CEDILLO: I'll -- I can always reask 5 that 65 to 100 percent of the respirable fraction of 5 and be clear, your Honor. 6 the fibers get deposited in the lower respiratory THE COURT: Just to be clear, this 7 tract. 7 witness did testify with regard to calculations, his 8 But Berman and Crump said, ah, not so 8 calculations. 9 with humans. It's about 20 percent, or one-fifth as MR. CEDILLO: Right. But I want to be 10 much of what the rats get. I don't know why. 10 clear, your Honor. Longo had calculations, and then 11 Physiologically there's a difference. 11 he put them to the test with new knowledge and 12 So that assumption that essentially 12 reached the conclusion that his calculations 13 100 percent of the fibers get deposited in the lower 13 overestimated deposition rate and underestimated 14 respiratory tract was wrong. 14 clearance. That's what he's already said. 15 Secondly, Berman and Crump pointed out 15 MR. MAIMON: No, that's not what he 16 two components of clearance. There's a rapid 16 said. Longo had data, had numbers, and then he made 17 component that gets rid of a certain percentage of 17 calculations which he says both overestimated 18 fibers, and then a much slower component. And so I 18 deposition rates and underestimated clearance. It 19 was taking into account the slower component of wasn't Longo's calculations. 20 clearance, which is 10 to 20 years, which means, 20 THE COURT: That's how I understood his 21 let's say you breathed in a thousand fibers, then 10 21 response. 22 to 20 years later, if that's your half-life, you 22 MR. CEDILLO: I'll rephrase. 23 would have half as many, you would have 500 fibers THE COURT: Yes. 23 24 still in the lung. So that's what I was assuming. 24 (End of sidebar.) 25 But that was incorrect because there's a rapid 25 THE COURT: Please rephrase. Page 4916 Page 4918 1 component which gets rid of a fraction of the fibers 1 Q. Dr. Roggli, in the Cancer Research 2 before you get to that long, slow clearance 2 article, Dr. Longo presented certain calculations 3 component. 3 regarding the release of the fibers based on the All right. If I -- I'm going to attempt Q. 4 methodology he employed to test those 40-year-old 5 to summarize what you're talking about in terms of 5 cigarettes. Correct? 6 calculations. And the way that I can process it is A. Yes. 7 that there was an overestimation of what ends up in 7 All right, sir. What did you do to 8 your lung in terms of fiber count, and -- and an 8 determine any change of your opinion based on 9 underestimation, or undercounting of what gets 9 Dr. Longo's calculation? 10 cleared. Have I got that more or less accurate 10 Well, I think there's several things A. 11 there? 11 that I think about that. 12 A. Yes. 12 First, as I mentioned, after that last 13 Okay. So in the under -- in the 13 trial I testified in, my assumption that this was 14 overestimation, you did further research and reached 14 representative of the way to smoke cigarettes was 15 the conclusion that Dr. Longo's numbers were doing 15 probably not a good one. 16 what in terms of its calculation? 16 Secondly, even if you did assume that 17 MR. MAIMON: Objection. 17 this is similar to the smoking, then it's going to 18 THE COURT: Sidebar. 18 reduce significantly the amount of fibers that are 19 (At sidebar.) 19 going to end up in the lung by -- by, number one, 20 MR. MAIMON: My objection, your Honor, 20 considering the methodology being the indirect method 21 is the witness has already clarified that it wasn't 21 technique; number two, counting the clusters in; and 22 Dr. Longo's calculation. It was his calculations 22 number three, my overestimation of deposition; and 23 based on Dr. Longo's numbers. And, therefore, the 23 five -- and four, my underestimation of clearance. 24 question keeps perpetuating a miscomprehension or 24 So those are all directions of reducing

25 the amount that was in the lungs. And so my

25 misleading of the jury that it's somehow Dr. Longo's

1 conclusion was, once I had done the new calculations,

- 2 that this is not going to be a significant level.
- 3 Q. All right. And when you say your
- 4 overestimation of deposition, you're not talking
- 5 about questions and answers that are asked of a
- 6 witness outside the courtroom and we show a video.
- 7 What do you mean by "deposition"?
- 8 A. Oh, yes, I'm sorry. Yes. It just means
- 9 deposited in the lung tissues.
- 10 Q. We've got some budding law students
- 11 after all these weeks in trial. I want to make sure
- 12 we're on the same track.
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. All right. And the conclusions you
- 15 reached back in the '90s using the methodology and
- 16 the conclusions of Dr. Longo, when you put the new
- 17 data to perform calculations, taking into account
- 18 these things you've talked about, what was your
- 19 result?
- 20 A. It was below what we considered to be an
- 21 elevated amount of crocidolite in the lung tissue
- 22 concentrations. And -- and, of course, it was my
- 23 opinion that what calculations I did from Dr. Longo
- 24 weren't really relevant because we weren't finding
- 25 elevated crocidolite when we actually looked in the
 - Page 4920

- 1 lung tissues.
- 2 Q. All right. And that's covered in the
- 3 first reason we talked about?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 O. All right. Now, that's on the
- 6 calculation that resulted in the overestimation.
- 7 Let's talk about the underestimation of
- 8 the clearance amount, and what you're talking about,
- 9 half-life and all that. Let's break that down --
- 10 A. Sure.
- 11 Q. -- in layman's terms so that I can get
- 12 it. Okay?
- What was involved in this calculation
- 14 you performed to conclude that there is an
- 15 undercounting or an underestimation of what gets
- 16 cleared by the human body?
- 17 A. Yeah, I believe that that was assuming
- 18 that the half-life of clearance would be 10 to
- 19 20 years. And so that if I made a calculation about
- 20 this is the amount of asbestos that would have been
- 21 deposited, and the person last smoked Kent cigarettes
- 22 in 1956, and we're looking at him in 1996, 40 years
- 23 later, how much crocidolite would you expect to find
- 24 in the lungs. And that would be -- if you consider
- 25 the half-life to be ten years, that would be four

- 1 half-lives later. If you considered 20 years, that
- 2 would be two half-lives later.
- Q. And assuming a latency period of
- 4 mesothelioma of 30 to 40 years, is that a reasonable
- 5 assumption, sir?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And if -- if the half-life is clearing
- 8 every ten years or so, what is the effect of a fiber
- 9 that ends up being cleared in relation to causation
- 10 of disease, Doctor?
- 11 A. Well, most of the clearance
- 12 takes -- well, clearance goes into several different
- 13 compartments. One of the compartments that it goes
- 14 to, it is removed from the lungs, it goes to the
- 15 lymphatics and goes to the lymph nodes.
 - Another place that it goes to would be
- 17 the pleura. And another place it goes to would be
- 18 removed from the lungs entirely, and that's probably
- 19 where the fast clearance component is involved where
- 20 the fibers that were breathed in land on the surface
- 21 of the airways that are covered with this mucus
- 22 blanket. And what we have in the lining of the
- 23 airways are cells that have hairs on the surface
- 24 called cilia, which beat rhythmically in a direction
- 25 which is removing the mucus upwards and outwards from
- 920 |

Page 4922

Page 4921

- 1 the lung. So that fast clearance compartment relates
- 2 to the fraction of the fibers that land on the mucus
- 3 blanket and then get removed from the lungs by that
- 4 normal clearance mechanism.
- 5 Q. And in your under -- in your conclusion
- 6 regarding underestimation, you had focused previously
- 7 on the ten-year half-life going forward and not
- 8 adequately addressed the rapid clearance process?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. What led you to consider the rapid
- 11 removal process before you reached the conclusion of
- 12 just what the deposit may have been?
- A. I think I realized that that was an
- 14 error, again, in reading the Berman and Crump
- 15 analysis in 2001 they talked about reminded me of the
- 16 two compartments of clearance.
- 17 Q. And, Dr. Roggli, if a fiber gets removed
- 18 either through the rapid clearance or the half-life
- 19 clearance, does that fiber contribute to cause
- 20 disease?

- 21 A. No
 - Q. And so that is another reason why
- 23 you -- you changed your position on whether or not
- 24 Kent, an original Kent filtered cigarette could
- 25 contribute to causing mesothelioma, Doctor?

1 Α. Yes.

2 All right, sir. Q.

3 And then on the issue regarding bundles.

- 4 I think you told us that back in the '90s you thought
- 5 that a bundle would get into the lung tissue, and
- 6 then once there, it would break up so that what was a
- 7 bundle is now a whole bunch of individual fibers. At
- 8 least that's the way I heard it. Am I anywhere near
- 9 the ballpark?
- 10 A. Yes. sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. And what was it that made
- 12 you -- did you change your opinion about that?
- That, again, was in the Berman and Crump
- 14 analysis in 2001 that many clusters would have
- 15 diameters too large.
- Was that sort of the aerodynamics of the 16 Q.
- 17 fiber?
- 18 Yeah. The cluster has different
- 19 aerodynamic features than a fiber. And one of the
- 20 things I talk about is that the -- an individual
- 21 fiber tends to line up with the direction of air
- 22 flow. And so just like an arrow, we can penetrate
- 23 deep into the lungs. But a cluster is more irregular
- 24 so it's going to bounce around and move around and
- 25 not behave the same way a fiber would

Page 4924

- 1 aerodynamically.
- 2 Additionally, you've got tars and resins
- 3 attached to the clusters, and actually to the
- 4 individual fibers, that might alter their
- 5 aerodynamics and keep them from penetrating deep into
- 7 Just to give you an example, you can
- 8 think about an arrow that has the three feathers on
- 9 it and that makes it go in a straight line. If you
- 10 take one of those feathers out -- I don't know if you
- 11 ever did that, but I did as a kid -- take one of the
- 12 feathers off the arrow and shoot it. Now it's going
- 13 to go in a crazy motion because it's not
- 14 aerodynamically stable. And the same thing would
- 15 happen if you have a fiber that has a significant
- 16 amount of tar or particulate material attached to it.
- 17 It would then move erratically and would more likely
- 18 impact the wall of the bronchus before it got deep
- 19 into the lung.
- 20 And Dr. Roggli, in your field, sir, as a
- 21 doctor, as a scientist, as a researcher, what does it
- 22 mean to follow the science?
- 23 Well, I think it means that if the
- 24 information that's published in scientific
- 25 literature, or that from your own personal scientific

1 observations changes, then -- then your opinions

- 2 about a certain theory has to change. And that's the
- 3 very nature of science. We test hypotheses, and if
- 4 we find out that the science doesn't support it, then 5 we reject it.
- Ο. And did you follow the science, sir, in 7 the change of your opinion regarding the original
- 8 Kent filter and this research?
- I believed that I was following the
- 10 science when I testified for the plaintiffs in 1997;
- 11 and subsequently I realized there were a lot of
- 12 assumptions that I made that I think are incorrect.
- 13 And then when I added to that the findings of
- 14 analysis of the lung tissue samples and not seeing
- 15 crocidolite, that it probably was incorrect.
- And, Doctor, have you published in the 16
- 17 scientific and medical literature about your
- 18 assessments regarding the Longo study that led you to
- change your opinion?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. When did you publish that, sir?
- 22 Yeah, I didn't go into great detail
- 23 about the Longo study, but in the 2009 article on
- 24 "Crocidolite and Mesothelioma," we quoted the 1995
- 25 Longo study as being one that indicated that

Page 4926 1 crocidolite could be released from smoking Kent

- 2 cigarettes, and then pointed out that -- that our
- 3 analysis of lung tissue samples of people whose only
- 4 known exposure was to smoking -- or only known
- 5 exposure was through Kent cigarettes did not support 6 that.
- 7 Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 up 8 there, sir?
- A. I don't see it. What is it?
- 10 Q. It's -- I believe it's a 2008 article.
- 11 A. No, I don't have it.
- 12 O. PX-006. It was only marked for
- 13 identification, I believe during Dr. Moline. Let me
- 14 show it to you.
- 15 Is that the -- well, this is the Cancer
- 16 Research article. Correct?
- 17 Yeah, this is Dr. Longo's original 1995 A.
- 18 article.
- 19 Q. All right. I thought I had the 2008
- 20 article which you just referenced.
- 21 MR. BERGER: It hasn't been marked yet.
- 22 MR. CEDILLO: It has not been marked
- 23 yet. Okay. I apologize, your Honor.
- 24 (LTC-11, Marked for Identification.)
 - Let me hand you what we've marked as Q.

- 1 Lorillard Tobacco Company Exhibit 11, marked for
- 2 identification as 11. Can you tell us what this is,
- 3 sir?
- 4 A. Yeah, this is the article we published
- 5 in Ultrastructural Pathology in 2008 called
- 6 "Crocidolite and Mesothelioma" which I was referring
- 7 to.
- 8 Q. And you're one of the authors here, sir?
- 9 A. Yes, I am the senior author, the third
- 10 author.
- 11 Q. And this is where you pointed out your
- 12 criticism of Dr. Longo's Cancer Research study?
- 13 MR. MAIMON: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How does this treat -- or what reference
- 17 do you make regarding Dr. Longo in Lorillard
- 18 Exhibit 11, sir?
- 19 A. Well, since we were -- since we were
- 20 studying crocidolite and mesothelioma, and since the
- 21 Kent cigarette issue had come up a number of times,
- 22 that's one possible source of crocidolite we were
- 23 finding. So we addressed that and pointed out that
- 24 one source of possible crocidolite exposure with
- 25 crocidolite-containing filters of Kent Micronite
- Page 4928
- 1 cigarettes produced in the 1950s, and we cite the
- 2 Longo article. And then we pointed out, "During the
- 3 four years these filter cigarettes were on the
- 4 market, of the 15 patients with crocidolite as the
- 5 only commercial amphibole fiber, only three had a
- 6 documented history of smoking and were of sufficient
- 7 age for exposure during the relevant years. All the
- 8 others were either lifetime nonsmokers or 13 years of
- 9 age or younger. In the past, though, we have not
- 10 identified crocidolite fibers in lung tissue samples
- 11 from four individuals who described exposure to
- 11 from four marviduais who described exposure
- 12 crocidolite from Micronite filter cigarettes."
- 13 MR. MAIMON: Can we approach?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes, sidebar.
- 15 (At sidebar.)
- 16 MR. MAIMON: I'm going to ask for an
- 17 instruction to the jury, your Honor. Mr. Cedillo
- 18 asked a leading question: "This is the article where
- 19 you voice your criticisms of Dr. Longo." There's not
- 20 a single criticism of Dr. Longo, not only in the
- 21 section that he read, but in the entire article. And
- 22 yet he's representing to this jury, and Dr. Roggli
- 23 answered yes, not only to this cite. No. 26 is a
- 24 citation to -- to Longo, but there's not a single
- 25 criticism in here. It's improper.

- Page 4929

 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, he can argue
 - 2 that and he can cross him all he wants. The paper
 - 3 says, here's what Longo said about it, and then he
 - 5 says, here's what Longo said about it, and then it
 - 4 says, I've done all these studies and haven't found
 - 5 anything. That's a criticism.
 - 6 THE COURT: He's already testified to
 - 7 it. He's read it and it is a criticism. If you
 - 8 don't consider it a criticism, you can cross-examine
 - 9 him. The Court considers it a criticism and finds it
 - 10 is an appropriate question.
 - Thank you.
 - 12 (End of sidebar.)
 - 13 Q. And, sir, that was in 2008. Correct?
 - 14 A. Yes.
 - 15 Q. Did anyone at Lorillard Tobacco Company
 - 16 know about your work in 2008 leading to what you
 - 7 published at that time, sir?
 - MR. MAIMON: Objection. How does this
 - 19 witness --

21

- 20 THE COURT: Rephrase, please.
 - Q. Did Lorillard ever approach you and ask
- 22 you to write this, or did you ever make them aware
- 23 that you were doing this work that led to this
- 24 publication, sir? That's my question.
- A. No, they never approached me. And if we

Page 4930

- 1 were working on this crocidolite/mesothelioma article
- 2 at a time that a case was active, I may have
- 3 mentioned it to them. I have no recollection.
 - Q. All right, sir.
- Now, this has all been part of the
- 6 subject area of your involvement in asbestos-related
- 7 litigation, Doctor. That was the topic that
- 8 introduced all of these subtopics we've been
- 9 discussing. Let's wrap up that part of it by my
- 10 asking you whether you were compensated for the work
- 11 that you do in asbestos-related litigation.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And what is your rate of compensation?
- 14 A. For testifying in court it's \$600 an
- 15 hour, with a 36-hour -- with a 24-hour cap of \$3,600.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so you never -- \$600 an hour,
- 17 and you said 3,600 cap?
- 18 A. For 24 hours, yes.
 - Q. So what you're doing is you're getting
- 20 paid \$600 for six hours, six times six is 36.
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. So your travel time, your
- 23 being here, reviewing, being in court, traveling back
- 24 and forth, if that takes you 24 hours portal to
- 25 portal, you only charge for six.

19

- 1 Α. Correct.
- 2 Q. And you do that for every engagement, or
- 3 is that a special rate you're giving some people?
- No, that's the same for -- for all cases 4
- 5 that I testify in.
- O. All right, sir. 6
- 7 And I think we covered this. You
- 8 testify for both plaintiffs and defendants, sir?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 10 And do you charge the same fee, the same
- 11 rate whether it's for a plaintiff case or a defense
- 12 case?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 All right, sir.
- 15 Let's talk about a few subjects that I
- 16 hope to cover quickly with you. I would like to talk
- 17 to you about fiber size for a moment. Okay?
- A.
- 19 O. And we'll come back to Dr. Longo, but
- 20 we're going to save the best for last. Okay?
- 21 A. Sure.
- 22 Q. On fiber size. What needs to happen for
- 23 asbestos fibers to contribute to cause mesothelioma,
- 24 Doctor?
- 25 Well, the fibers have to be deposited in A.

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 O. And we talked about this clearance, so

Page 4933

- 3 that if it does get in, it doesn't mean it stays in
- 4 the lung, does it, sir?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 And you've talked about the certain ways O.
- 7 that the body has to protect itself from asbestos
- 8 fibers getting into the lung. What are macrophages,
- 9 sir?
- 10 Macrophage is what I call the Pac-Man
- 11 cell of the lung, or the garbage collector of the
- 12 lung. Its job is anything that's a foreign material
- 13 that lands on the lung surface where those delicate
- 14 alveoli are that are involved in gas exchange,
- 15 whether it's a bacteria, a virus, a coal particle,
- 16 coal dust particle, or an asbestos fiber, a
- 17 macrophage's job is to react to that and try to eat
- 18 it and digest it. Some things it's very good at
- 19 eating and digesting, some things not so good at 20 doing it.
- 21 O. What does it do with it after it's eaten
- 22 and digested? Where does it go?
- 23 Well, the macrophage can stay right
- 24 there in the lung at that spot, and it has contained
- 25 the particle. The particle is not going anywhere.

Page 4932

- 1 the lower respiratory tract. They have to migrate to
- 2 the pleura, and they have to stay there, I believe,
- 3 for long periods of time.
- And do they need to be a certain size
- 5 and shape to do this migration?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what is that generally, if
- 8 you know?
- The fibers that are pathogenic, able to
- 10 cause disease, are the ones that are more than
- 11 5 microns, and the Berman and Crump study show it's 11 aerodynamic diameter that we discussed already.
- 12 probably ones over 10 microns in length that cause
- 13 disease. So that length is an important factor in
- 14 disease causation. The main important thing about
- 15 diameter is that the fiber is thin enough that it can
- 16 penetrate deep into the lungs. For example, you
- 17 could have a fiber that's 50 microns long, but if
- 18 it's 5 microns in diameter, it won't get deep into
- 19 the lungs.
- 20 O. You're going to cough it up, spit it
- 21 out, do something with it.
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 All right, sir. So in asbestos
- 24 exposure, not every fiber that you're exposed to gets
- 25 actually inhaled, does it, sir?

- 1 The macrophage can die and release the particles, and
- 2 then another macrophage would come to get the
- 3 particles again.
- 4 Or the macrophage can get into the
- 5 lymphatics and actually make its way into the lymph
- 6 nodes. Or it can get on what we call the mucociliary
- 7 escalator, the blanket of mucus that's lining your
- 8 bronchial tubes, and can ride upwards and outwards
- 9 from the lung and then be coughed up.
- 10 All right, sir. And we talk about the
- 12 And you mentioned this a little bit.
- 13 But if a fiber ends up being coated with something
- 14 like tar, how would that affect the aerodynamic
- 15 diameter of that fiber?
- 16 Yeah, it can -- actually, the effect is
- 17 the diameter would be increased, because instead of
- 18 lining it up along the air flow and penetrating deep,
- 19 then it moves more erratically and will tend to
- 20 impact higher up in the respiratory tract.
- 21 All right.
 - Dr. Roggli, this jury has heard about
- 23 the different types of asbestos. I sometimes have
- 24 called it the different flavors that it comes in.
- 25 You're familiar with the different fiber types that

- 1 make up asbestos. Correct, sir?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Now, my question to you is, can all
- 4 asbestos fibers, asbestos fiber types, can they all
- 5 cause mesothelioma in humans, Doctor?
- 6 A. Yeah, the ones that are used
- 7 commercially, certainly amosite and crocidolite can
- 8 cause disease. For chrysotile, it's not clear
- 9 whether it's the chrysotile, itself, or its
- 10 contaminant, another type of asbestos contaminant
- 11 that can cause the disease. But chrysotile dust from
- 12 Canada in sufficient doses can cause mesothelioma,
- 13 yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Is there any type of asbestos
- 15 that is known not to cause mesothelioma in people,
- 16 sir?
- 17 A. That's a good question. I'm not aware
- 18 of one, though.
- 19 Q. Okay. In your lab, sir, do you have a
- 20 group of what you call control patients for your
- 21 mesothelioma studies?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And why do you have control patients?
- 24 A. Well, as I mentioned earlier, everybody
- 25 has some amount of asbestos in their lung, so it's

935 Page 4937

- A. Tremolite is the most common fiber type
- 2 that we find in our control group.
- Q. Now, we talked a little bit earlier
- 4 about the 1,445 cases from your lab that went into
- 5 your publication, sir. I'm calling that the 1,445
- 6 case study. Okay?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Does this article on the 1,445 cases,
- 9 does it state which asbestos fiber type was most
- 10 commonly found in people with mesothelioma?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. And what was that, sir?
- 13 A. Yes, we found that -- our finding from
- 14 1993 that amosite was the main type of asbestos that
- 15 I was worried about being that we found it because we
- 16 had so many insulators and shipyard workers in that
- 17 regional study. But now when we have 268 cases of
- 18 the 1,445 where we actually had done fiber analysis
- 19 on them, that amosite was still the predominant fiber
- 20 type across all the occupations that we studied.
- 21 (LTC-12, Marked for Identification.)
- Q. Doctor, let me hand you Lorillard
- 23 Tobacco Company Exhibit 12 that I ask that you
- 24 identify, please.
- 25 A. Yes, this is a copy of our studies

Page 4936

Page 4938

- 1 not sufficient just to do an analysis and say, hey,
- 2 there's asbestos present. You have to quantify the
- 3 amount and then compare it to a population that has
- 4 no known exposure to asbestos and no evidence of any
- 5 asbestos-related disease. And that's our control
- 6 group
- 7 Q. All right, sir. And what's the purpose
- 8 of having the control group?
- 9 A. To compare your cases with to see if
- 10 they have an elevated or increased amount of asbestos
- 11 in their lungs.
- 12 Q. Now, the jury has heard, and I think
- 13 you've mentioned the term "amphibole asbestos."
- 14 A. Yes sir
- Q. What are we talking about there?
- 16 A. Yeah, I think there's fine minerals that
- 17 are a member of the amphibole group. The ones used
- 18 to any extent in this country were amosite and
- 19 crocidolite. And then there's three noncommercial
- 20 amphiboles, and those are tremolite, actinolite, and
- 21 anthophyllite.
- Q. And what's the most common amphibole
- 23 asbestos fiber type that you found in lung tissue
- 24 from the control patients in your lab, the ones that
- 25 don't have meso?

- 1 published in Ultrastructural Pathology in 2002 called
- 2 "Malignant Mesothelioma and Occupational Exposure to
- 3 Asbestos: A Clinicopathological Correlation of
- 4 1,445 Cases."
- 5 O. And in there we would find, for example,
- 6 your conclusion about amosite being the most common?
- A. I believe so, in the discussion, yes.
- 8 Q. And this is -- this is now, what, about
- 9 ten years old or so?
- 10 A. It was 2002, so it's 14 years old.
- 11 Q. Fourteen years old. Has amosite
- 12 continued to predominate in the results of your lung
- 13 fiber burden analyses, sir?
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 Q. What's been your experience with finding
- 16 crocidolite?
- 17 A. We found that it's increasing in the
- 18 number -- in the percentage of cases that have it.
- 19 In other words, crocidolite was found two to three
- 20 times as often in the second half of the study as in
- 21 the first half of the cases that we looked at and
- 22 examined. It didn't matter which disease you were
- 23 looking at, it was true for all of the disease we
- 24 studied, lung cancer, asbestosis, and mesothelioma.
 - Q. And, Doctor, is that strange or unusual

1 that you would be finding an increase in crocidolite?

- Yeah. And let me clarify. The
- 3 crocidolite level was not necessarily increased,
- 4 itself, concentration, but we were finding it in more
- 5 patients. So it was two to three times as many
- 6 patients in each category that we were finding
- 7 crocidolite as before.
- And, no, when we did that analysis, we
- 9 were -- I was aware that crocidolite was used in
- 10 asbestos cement pipe in this country up until the
- 11 mid-1990s; whereas, amosite was forbidden to be put
- 12 in new insulation products that were sold after 1972.
- Doctor, in the exhibit that we marked,
- 14 the "Crocidolite and Mesothelioma," it's Lorillard
- 15 11, that we talked about earlier.
- Α. Yes.
- 17 Q. You published on the crocidolite
- 18 increasingly being identified in asbestos-related
- 19 mesotheliomas in your lab, do you not, sir?
- 20 Yes. We actually published an article
- 21 before that which goes into detail called "25 Years
- 22 of Fiber Analysis, What Have We Learned." And that 22 fiber burden studies been done, Doctor?
- 23 was the first time we made the observation that, hey,
- 24 we're seeing crocidolite more often in these days
- 25 than we did earlier.

Page 4940

- Q. And when you find crocidolite in lung
- 2 tissue that you examined, and these fiber burdens
- 3 that you talked to us about, sir, does it appear by
- 4 itself, alone?

1

- Well, the interesting thing we found in
- 6 this study which is Exhibit 11 is that crocidolite
- 7 most often correlated with finding amosite in the
- 8 tissues. In fact, it was a very statistically
- 9 significant correlation between finding amosite and
- 10 finding crocidolite in the tissues.
- And do you have an explanation, sir, for
- 12 that correlation between amosite and crocidolite?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 What is that, sir?
- 15 Two basic explanations is that Dr. Chris
- 16 Wagner had published decades ago, when asbestos was
- 17 being shipped from South Africa, if somebody ordered
- 18 amosite, for example, to be put in insulation
- 19 products, if crocidolite was what they had available,
- 20 that's what they would ship. It got mixed up.
- 21 People didn't care so much back in those days what
- 22 type of fiber type it was.
- 23 The other was there were some insulation
- 24 products that went on buildings, for skyscrapers.
- 25 There was a spray stuff called Limpet spray that was

1 sprayed on the girders of the high-rise buildings,

- 2 and that contained both amosite and crocidolite, and
- 3 that was a source of insulation products that had
- 4 both in it.
- Q. And these studies that you published on,
- 6 you have done the fiber burden analysis for -- to
- 7 support the findings and the conclusions that you
- 8 publish on. Is that correct, sir?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 10 And are fiber burdens done to determine
- 11 the cumulative exposure that you might find in a
- 12 person whose lung tissue you're studying?
- 13 They are.
- 14 And what would you consider, Doctor, the Q.
- 15 most objective evidence of past significant exposures
- 16 to asbestos?
- 17 Finding an elevated level of the fiber A.
- 18 type in the lung tissue samples.
 - By conducting the burden analysis.
- 20 A. Correct.

21

1

- O. Okay. And how long have lung tissue
- 23 The people who were really the pioneers
- 24 of this work were publishing their initial studies in
- 25 the early '70s, 1970s.

Page 4942

- Q. And, Doctor, what are pathology reports?
- 2 Well, a pathology report would be an
- 3 analysis by a pathologist of the individual case
- 4 where they are describing the results of findings and
- 5 examination of tissues for some other individual that
- 6 they're sending the report to.
- 7 And, Dr. Roggli, did you review the
- 8 pathology reports for Mr. Argento's diagnosis in this
- 9 case?
- 10 A. I did.
- O. 11 And did you review the pathology slides
- 12 in this case?
- 13 A.
- 14 What are pathology slides, please?
- 15 Well, what happens is the surgeon, as in
- 16 this case, would go in and take a biopsy sample of
- 17 the tumor, then send it to the laboratory. And then
- 18 the laboratory, a pathologist or somebody, a trainee
- who is being supervised by a pathologist, will take
- 20 that sample and cut it into pieces and put it
- 21 in -- in different containers that they've carefully
- 22 labeled to say where each piece came from. If the
- 23 surgeon sends multiple samples, then each one of
- 24 those would be treated as a separate sample. And

37 (Pages 4939 - 4942)

1 blocks, which is just the tissue which is embedded in

- 2 wax. And then the technicians use a very sharp razor
- 3 to cut very thin sections. They're typically about
- 4 5 microns thick, and puts those on a glass slide,
- 5 stains them, and then that's what the pathologist
- 6 looks at under the microscope as a slide.
- 7 All right. Then, if Mr. Argento -- if
- 8 the pathology on Mr. Argento had slides for you to
- 9 look at, why didn't you do a burden analysis on -- on
- 10 what was on the slides?
- 11 Because in this case all they -- all
- 12 they obtained was tumor tissue. And we believe, and
- 13 I have published about this, is you really need to
- 14 have lung tissue samples to analyze to determine what 14 if it's easily crumbled, the material, that means it
- 15 the asbestos content is. And that you don't learn
- 16 anything by analyzing tumor tissue, nothing useful.
- 17 Was there sufficient lung tissue
- 18 preserved to enable you to do a fiber burden analysis
- 19 if you had wanted to in this case?
- 20 A. There was not.
- 21 O. And to your knowledge, I think I've
- 22 asked you, you don't know of any fiber burden
- 23 analysis that's ever been performed on Mr. Argento's
- 24 lung tissue. Correct?
- 25 Correct.

Page 4944

- All right, sir. Q.
- 2 Let's talk quickly about asbestos uses,
- 3 sir.

1

- 4 As part of your work and your research
- 5 on asbestos and asbestos-related diseases, have you
- 6 studied the historical uses of the different asbestos
- 7 fiber types in the United States?
- A. Yes.
- And have you studied the uses of amosite Q.
- 10 in the United States?
- 11 Α.
- 12 O. And how long has amosite been used in
- 13 the U.S., sir?
- Well, it was in insulation products
- 15 since -- since at least the '50s. And I think it
- 16 went back well before that, as well.
- 17 And how long was it used? When did it Q.
- 18 peak?
- 19 A. The peak for using -- of importing
- 20 asbestos of any type in the United States was 1973.
- 21 Q. And quickly, how was the amosite used in
- 22 the United States?
- 23 Mainly in insulation products. Most of
- 24 the amosite that was imported in the U.S. went into
- 25 what they call the half-rounds, which they would take 25

- 1 two half-rounds and put them around a pipe. That
- 2 would be the pipe insulation.
- And then blocks, blocks of insulation
- 4 that would go around these huge boilers that
- 5 were -- that were producing steam in an industrial
- 6 setting. So that was the main place. Then there
- 7 were a few other products that amosite went into.
- 8 But that was the main -- main uses of amosite.
- What does it mean, Doctor, when you talk
- 10 about asbestos being friable?
- 11 Friable is a term that I think that
- 12 the -- that the government, OSHA, NIOSH, EPA, used.
- 13 It has to do with whether it is easily crumbled. And
- 15 can easily be dispersed into the air upon use.
- 16 Friable versus nonfriable, in which not so much is
- 17 released.

19

- 18 O. Thank you, sir.
 - Now, this use that you've described of
- 20 amosite for insulation and use on pipes, and so
- 21 forth, sir, did that produce a use that was friable
- 22 or nonfriable?
- 23 That's friable. That's a friable A.
- 24 product.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, have you studied the use of

Page 4946

- 1 crocidolite in the United States?
 - 2 Α. Yes.
 - 3 How long was crocidolite used in O.
 - 4 products in the U.S.?
 - Again, I think that at least to the
 - 6 1950s, and probably well before that it was used.
 - 7 And then as late as the mid-1990s was still used in
 - 8 asbestos in the pipe.
 - 9 The 1990s? Q.
 - 10 A. Yes.
 - 11 O. All right.
 - 12 Was crocidolite used in insulation
 - 13 material, as well?
 - A. The insulation material I mentioned, the
 - 15 Limpet spray, that actually intentionally had amosite
 - 16 and crocidolite in it. And then there was
 - 17 contamination of -- of -- of crocidolite into amosite
 - 18 products when they shipped something other than what
 - 19 was ordered.
 - 20 Q. All right. I next want to discuss with
 - 21 you Mr. Argento's diagnosis.
 - 22 Sure.
 - 23 Okay? What information did you review
 - 24 regarding Mr. Argento's medical history, sir?
 - Well, I looked at the pathology

- 1 materials that I received, of course. I looked at
- 2 the pathology report that went along with
- 3 that -- those pathology materials. I looked at the
- 4 histories and physicals, discharge summaries,
- 5 consultation reports, the surgical report. The
- 6 report of the surgeon when he took the biopsy sample
- 7 And the radiology reports.
- And what information did you review
- 9 regarding Mr. Argento's work history?
- The -- of course, I had subsequently
- 11 received deposition transcripts, I think that we've
- 12 discussed. But, also, there was some information
- 13 that was present actually in the medical records.
- 14 And based on your review of these
- 15 materials, do you have a diagnosis regarding
- 16 Mr. Argento?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What is it, sir?
- 19 I believe he had a malignant pleural
- 20 mesothelioma.
- 21 Now, let's talk about Mr. Argento's work
- 22 history for a moment. Okay? What's your
- 23 understanding of Mr. Argento's work history?
- 24 Well, his work history, I think it
- 25 included years at -- at different institutions,
- Page 4948
- 1 15 years at one institution, and then 15 years with
- 2 Hoffmann-LaRoche. And at that latter institution, he
- 3 was involved with -- with insulation materials that
- 4 included removing insulation and -- and that he
- 5 actually had been trained and approved to work with
- 6 insulation. He had gotten a certificate to do that.
- 7 He also had some exposure, I think that
- 8 was claimed, from working with lawn products.
- And he had some exposure that was claimed
- 10 through talc exposure, that first 15 years that I
- 11 mentioned, at a -- at a job site that I've forgotten
- 12 the name of now, that he worked for. It starts with
- 13 an "S," I think.
- 14 Then he had the claim from smoking Kent
- 15 cigarettes.
- All right, sir. I believe you do have
- 17 Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 in front of you there.
- 18 Exhibit 2. I do. A.
- 19 Q. And I believe that is some records that
- 20 indicate part of his work history, including the
- 21 occupation with the Hoffmann-LaRoche Company for 21 Hoffmann-LaRoche in the 1970s and 1980s? What's your
- 22 15 years. Is that correct, sir?
- 23 A. It does, yes.
- 24 All right. Now, Doctor, have you as Q.
- 25 part of your research, have you conducted studies on

- Page 4949 1 the types of occupations and the types of industries
- 2 where mesothelioma occurs most often in the United
- 3 States?
- 4 Yes. That's the -- one of the main
- 5 topics of our study on the 1,445 cases, which is LTC
- 6 Exhibit 12.
- Q. All right. In that study, what did you
- 8 do in terms of grouping the members of that study
- 9 into occupations or industries, sir?
- 10 Yeah. We found that there were 12
- 11 industries that the cases fit into or six occupations
- 12 that 90 percent of the cases fit into. And then we
- 13 also had a significant group that were what we call
- 14 household contacts of asbestos workers. That is, for
- 15 example, somebody who, say a housewife who lived in a
- 16 house where a ship worker was and washed his clothes.
- 17 So we had those groups, and that's how we categorized
- 18 the cases.
- 19 O. Why, sir, did you go to the trouble of
- 20 grouping the 1,445 patients, why did you go to the
- 21 trouble of grouping them by occupation or by
- 22 industry?
- 23 A. Well, one is to see what is the most
- 24 common circumstances where we're actually seeing
- 25 mesothelioma. And two is to see what sort of -- what

- 1 sort of categories or -- or job descriptions were
- 2 accounting for most of the cases we were seeing.
- 3 Thank you, sir.
- 4 Now, you've got the study in your hand,
- 5 and we're not going to go through it page by page, or
- 6 anything like that, close to that, sir, but can you
- 7 tell the men and women of the jury whether the
- 8 information that you used in this study came from
- 9 that database of the mesothelioma patients that we
- 10 discussed earlier?
- A. Yes. The information was stored there. 11
- 12 Again, it was my experience with the cases, and it's
- 13 a convenient way to store the information, so I used
- 14 that, yes.
- 15 Q. And the 12 industries with the highest
- 16 incidence of mesothelioma, you put them in a table in
- 17 that study, did you not, sir?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Okay. And what is your understanding of
- 20 the work that Mr. Argento did when he was at
- 22 understanding of what work he did, and where would he
- 23 fit in that industry chart?
- 24 Well, he did maintenance work at that A.
- 25 institution, and that would be what I would call a

Page 4951 Page 4953
1 chemical company. 1 down, Roman.

- 2 Q. Okay. And from your study of his work
- 3 history, did you see that he did pipe insulation
- 4 repair, removal, and maintenance?
- 5 A. I did.
- 6 Q. Okay. And you looked at his medical
- 7 records as well, did you not?
- 8 A. I did.
- 9 Q. And in the medical records, did you see
- 10 references to him telling his doctors about his
- 11 occupation and where he worked and where he was
- 12 exposed to asbestos?
- 13 A. I did.
- 14 Q. And did that inform your opinion, as
- 15 well, sir?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. For example, in Lorillard Exhibit No. 2,
- 18 the record of Dr. Vachani. I believe that's in
- 19 evidence.
- MR. CEDILLO: Roman, can we put that up,
- 21 please.
- 22 Q. Dr. Vachani, the report states on
- 23 January 11 of 2012, "Mr. Argento also wanted to
- 24 clarify his prior asbestos exposures. He believes he
- 25 was exposed to asbestos during his employment at

- 2 Q. Dr. Roggli, what type of asbestos fibers
- 3 are known to have been used for insulation products
- 4 in the 1950 to 1970 time period when you were dealing
- 5 with hot liquids and chemicals in the pipes that were
- 6 involved?
- 7 A. Well, I think that after 1956, a great
- 8 majority of the insulation out there contained
- 9 amosite and chrysotile asbestos. And that, for some
- 10 of the types of insulation out there, they were only
- 11 amosite.
- 12 Q. And Dr. Roggli, based on your review of
- 13 the case materials that you examined, did Mr. Argento
- 14 work directly with pipe insulation at
- 15 Hoffmann-LaRoche?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And based on the review of his testimony
- 18 from his deposition, was Mr. Argento also around
- 19 people who worked on the pipe insulation --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- at Hoffmann-LaRoche?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And by referencing your table with the
- 24 industries and occupations and your 1,445 article,
- 25 you mentioned to us that the industry he would have

Page 4952

- 1 Hoffmann-LaRoche where he was employed for 16 years
- 2 and was a supervisor of various sections, including
- 3 the insulation department."
- 4 And, Doctor, with that in mind, what is
- 5 your understanding of the type of insulation products
- 6 that Mr. Argento worked with at Hoffmann-LaRoche?
- 7 A. That would be pipe covering insulation.
- 8 Q. Do you recall Mr. Argento describing
- 9 cement and half-moon pipe insulation?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And do you recall him mentioning a
- 12 powdered product that was used and mixed?
- 13 A. I think I would call that the cement,
- 14 same as the cement.
- 15 Q. And for that pipe insulation that he
- 16 worked on, did Mr. Argento describe what was running
- 17 through those pipes?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what did -- what do you recall he
- 20 was describing, sir?
- 21 A. It was typically hot materials, such as,
- 22 he mentioned the steam, I think it was alcohol and at
- 23 least one other material that ran through the pipes
- 24 that was hot.
- 25 MR. CEDILLO: Okay. You can take that

- 1 been working on was the oil and chemical?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And what number is that on your list of
- 4 12, sir?
- 5 A. On our list of 12, we have them listed
- 6 in decreasing numbers of mesotheliomas that we saw,
- 7 and so the fifth highest numbers of mesotheliomas
- 8 were in the oil and chemical. We've had 88 of our
- 9 cases were in the oil and chemical industry.
- 10 Q. Now, can a worker be in more than one of
- 11 the 12 categories, Doctor?
- 12 A. Yeah, they can. And we try to put them
- 13 in the predominant category in that circumstance.
- 14 Q. Okay. And where is maintenance on that 15 list?
- 16 A. Well, that's in Table 2 under
- 17 occupations. And under occupations there was a
- 18 maintenance listed that accounted for 90 cases of
- 19 mesothelioma. It was the third most common
- 20 occupation that we saw in mesothelioma cases.
- 21 Q. All right. Let's break that down.
- 22 You just gave -- did you give a
- 23 percentage as part of that answer?
- 24 A. No
- Q. Let me ask you about the percentage. If

- 1 oil and chemical is the number five out of 12 in the
- 2 industry on that list that you have, from your study,
- 3 what percent of those type of workers had pleural
- 4 plaques?
- 5 A. Yeah. That's in a separate table we
- 6 looked at. And in oil and chemicals, I believe it
- 7 was 78 percent of them had pleural plaques.
- 8 Q. And on Table 2 that you mentioned, what
- 9 was the occupation with the highest incidence of
- 10 mesothelioma?
- 11 A. The pipefitter was number one, followed
- 12 by boilermaker, and then maintenance worker.
- 13 Q. Now, based on Table 2, what occupation
- 14 would Mr. Argento have been in during the years he
- 15 worked at Hoffmann-LaRoche?
- 16 A. Maintenance.
- 17 Q. And maintenance is number three on that
- 18 list?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what percentage of those patients in
- 21 that case study that were on the maintenance, number
- 22 three on the list, what percentage of those patients
- 23 had pleural plaques?
- 24 A. Eighty percent.
- Q. Maybe I've got ahead of myself. Can you

Page 4957

- 1 exposure of any disease we see from being exposed to
- 2 asbestos.
 - Q. Okay. And, Doctor, do you know of any
- 4 case report of a person with mesothelioma whose only
- 5 exposure was to Kent cigarettes that had pleural
- 6 plaques?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Have you ever found pleural plaques in
- 9 any of your cases of mesothelioma when people said
- 10 that the only exposure they had to asbestos was the
- 11 Kent cigarette?
- 12 A. Never.
- 13 Q. In your opinion, Doctor, what was the
- 14 cause of Mr. Argento's mesothelioma?
- 15 A. I believe it was caused by asbestos
- 16 exposure.
- 17 Q. And where -- do you have an opinion on
- 18 where that exposure would have taken place?
- 19 A. Yes. I think that most likely, based on
- 20 the information that I had of deposition, what he
- 21 told his doctors, that most likely it was from
- 22 exposure to insulation products when he worked for
- 23 Hoffmann-LaRoche for those 15 years.
- Q. Now, you understand that Mr. Argento is
- 25 also claiming that he had a talc exposure that had

Page 4956

- 1 tell us, again, please, what the pleural plaque is?
- 2 A. That is the most common marker that we
- 3 see in asbestos exposure. What it is is the scarring
- 4 of the pleura. Mainly a localized area of thickening 5 and scarring of the pleura. The vast majority of the
- 6 cases are caused by asbestos, especially when they're
- 7 bilateral, both sides of the chest, are caused by
- 8 asbestos. And if they had been there for 30 years or
- 9 more, then you often see calcification in them which
- 10 makes them more dense and makes it easier for the
- 11 radiologist to see.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, from your review of the
- 13 medical records of Mr. Argento, did he have calcified
- 14 pleural plaques?
- 15 A. He did. There was a CT scan that showed
- 16 it was a small amount, but the radiologist believed
- 17 it was a definite amount of pleural thickening with
- 18 calcification bilaterally, which he suggested was
- 19 caused by asbestos.
- Q. And, Doctor, in your opinion, is there a
- 21 correlation between heavy exposure in an occupational
- 22 setting and the presence of pleural plaques?
- A. Yeah. The more that you're exposed, the
- 24 more likely you are to get plaques. But plaques
- 25 occur with probably the lowest level of occupational

- 1 asbestos contamination and that that is claimed by
- 2 Mr. Argento to be a cause of his mesothelioma. You
- 3 understand that's in this case. Correct?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 O. Do you have any opinion, whatsoever, on
- 6 whether or not the talc was contaminated and could
- 7 have been a contributing cause?
- 8 A. In this case I don't have the
- 9 information about the contamination of the talc, no.
- 10 Q. And the basis for your opinion that Mr.
- 11 Argento's mesothelioma was caused by occupational
- 12 exposure at Hoffmann-LaRoche, give me again, please,
- 13 the basis for that opinion?
- 14 A. The fact that he had bilateral pleural
- 15 plaques. The fact that he was a maintenance worker.
- 16 The fact that he fit into the industry of oil and
- 17 chemical refineries, both of which have accounted for
- 18 long-term release. Fiber analysis we have done in
- 19 those cases show increased amounts of amosite which
- 20 correlates with exposure to insulation products. His
- 21 own deposition testimony and what he told his doctors 22 about being exposed to insulation. That makes it
- 23 most likely that that was the most important exposure
- 24 causing his disease.
- 25 Q. All right, sir. Now, you also

Page 4961 Page 4959 1 understand, do you not, Doctor, that there's a claim 1 if he has -- whatever his opinion is on that, I think 2 before this jury that Mr. Argento smoked the original 2 that it would inform the jury on what weight to give 3 Kent and that that exposure to that filter 3 the competing experts. That's a function of experts 4 contributed to cause his mesothelioma? You 4 that come in to give competing opinions. 5 understand that that's a position. Correct? THE COURT: This exceeds the scope of A. Yes, sir. 6 6 this witness' area of expertise. His critique of 7 Do you have an opinion, sir, on that 7 Longo is based upon the EPA articles --Q. 8 issue of the Kent being a contributing factor? 8 MR. MAIMON: Berman and Crumb. A. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, I couldn't 10 Q. And what is that opinion, please? 10 remember Berman. And so to ask him this ultimate 11 I think it's unlikely. A. 11 question, again, based upon someone who is not coming 12 O. And why do you think it unlikely, sir? 12 in here to testify, I think it's also a James v. Ruiz 13 Based on our own experience in analyzing 13 situation, so you cannot ask him that question. 14 lung tissue in individuals who have smoked Kent 14 MR. CEDILLO: All right. 15 cigarettes as their only known exposure, and also 15 THE COURT: All right. The objection is 16 based on my reconsideration of the information from 16 sustained. 17 the Longo study published in 1995. 17 (End of sidebar.) Now, sir, based on your reconsideration 18 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 19 of the Longo study, we've already talked about that 19 Please do not answer that question. 20 the reconsideration was the basis of your change of 20 MR. CEDILLO: May I proceed, your Honor? 21 position on that, have you formed an opinion on the 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 reliability or validity of the Longo study in the 22 In your 1,445 study, sir, that's a 23 Cancer Research paper that was published? 23 peer-reviewed article, is it not? 24 MR. MAIMON: Objection. 24 A. Yes. 25 THE COURT: Sidebar. 25 Q. And you did address whether smoking Page 4960 Page 4962 1 (At sidebar.) 1 original Kent cigarettes is a likely cause MR. MAIMON: Your Honor, I have the 2 2 mesothelioma, did you not? 3 expert report served by the defendants in this case 3 A. Yes. 4 for Dr. Roggli specific to the Kent exposure. And he O. And, Doctor, if the original Kent had 5 does not in here give any criticism of what Dr. Longo 5 caused or contributed to cause these mesotheliomas, 6 talked about the reliability. The most that he says 6 would you expect to find crocidolite in the lung 7 is that "Although some studies have suggested fiber 7 tissue? 8 release from smoking Kent cigarettes, analyses of 8 A. Yes. 9 lung tissue samples from four individuals allegedly 9 And did you? Q. 10 exposed to asbestos from Kent Micronite filters 10 A. 11 showed no detectable crocidolite fibers," and then 11 O. Have you ever found crocidolite in the 12 citing to his own work on that. 12 lung tissue in your lab when people had other sources 13 So he doesn't talk about the reliability 13 of crocidolite exposure? 14 of that testing at all in his expert report. 14 You mean other, like, occupational A. 15 And there's absolutely nothing in here 15 exposures? 16 which would hint -- he would not qualify as an expert 16 Q. Yes. 17 in that. So it's beyond the scope of the proffer and 17 A. Sure, yes. 18 it's beyond the disclosure. 18 The fact that you're not finding 19 THE COURT: Okay. 19 crocidolite in people who claim to have smoked Kent 20 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, I think -- I 20 doesn't mean that you can't find crocidolite for some 21 think this is an expert witness. He's already 21 reason. When it's there, you can find it, can't you? 22 criticized Dr. Longo. And as a scientist, as a 22 Yes, we found crocidolite in quite a 23 medical researcher, I think he is entitled to give 23 number of cases. In that article "Crocidolite and 24 his opinion on whether or not Dr. Longo's work 24 Mesothelioma," in 15 cases it was the only commercial

25 amphibole present in increased levels.

25 actually contributes to the scientific literature, or

Roggli - direct Page 4963 1 O. You're familiar with the case report by 2 Dodson and Hammar that was published in 2006. I 3 believe you have that as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7? 3 that her only exposure wasn't Kent cigarettes. In I'm not sure if I have it up here. I 4 fact, her husband worked at that Exxon plant and 4 5 don't have it up here. Let me hand it to you, sir, a copy of 6 Q. 7 it. 7 8 A. Thank you. And my question to you on this, if you 10 take a moment to review it, it was admitted as 11 Exhibit 7. 12 THE COURT: No, it was not offered for 13 admission. MR. CEDILLO: Oh, it was only ID'd. I'm

15 sorry. 16 THE COURT: Correct.

17 Well, here's my question, sir: Would 18 you characterize this article as an epidemiological 19 study?

20 A. No.

21 0. What is it?

22 A. It's a case report.

And do you have any information

24 regarding the subject of this Dodson and Hammar 25 article?

Page 4964

1 A. Yes. 2 And what is your assessment of the work

3 and asbestos exposure histories that the authors 4 provided in that article?

5 MR. MAIMON: Objection.

6 THE COURT: Sidebar.

7 (At sidebar.)

8 THE COURT: Someone bring that article.

9 Yes. The basis for your objection?

10 MR. MAIMON: The basis for my objection,

11 your Honor, is that the way that the question is

12 phrased -- I know that earlier on Mr. Cedillo had

13 asked Dr. Roggli if he had also analyzed lung tissue.

14 And I know that he has. I don't object to him

15 testifying about his own analysis of what he's done.

16 But to be the conduit for hearsay testimony, hearsay

17 evidence about what was told to whom, and all sorts

18 of things like that, I think is improper for an

19 expert to do. So I just wanted to have my concerns

20 raised at sidebar. I don't know what he's going to

21 say.

22 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, I'll establish

23 that he worked on this case. The question is did he

24 form an assessment of the work and occupational

25 histories that the authors here related in the

1 article. And he did. And he's going to, if you'll

2 permit me, tell this jury that they had it all wrong,

5 brought home all kinds of flavors of asbestos, and he

6 found it in the lung tissue.

MR. MAIMON: I don't know about flavors,

8 your Honor, but I do know that Dodson and Hammar

9 documented all asbestos fiber types in their article.

10 So they didn't get it wrong, either. But that's the

11 problem with these type of questions. What

12 Mr. Cedillo is doing, he's testifying, and not Dr.

13 Roggli. If Dr. Roggli wants to testify about what he

14 analyzed from this case and actually did an analysis

15 on what he found, what he concluded to that, I have

16 no objection. But I do have an objection of him

17 starting to talk about whether or not the husband

18 worked at Exxon, because that's not in.

19 THE COURT: Actually, it is. It

20 says --

21 MR. MAIMON: I didn't get it wrong,

22 either.

23 THE COURT: It says for the record on

24 page 683, "The husband of the individual reported

25 herein was reported as working as an engineer in an

Page 4966

1 industry where asbestos was most likely in place."

2 MR. MAIMON: Does it say Exxon? 3 THE COURT: Not where I read.

MR. MAIMON: Again, so that's my -- my

5 objection is to have this witness be a conduit for

6 hearsay testimony, which other Courts have said is

7 improper. If he wants to talk about what he analyzed

8 and compare it to what the article says, I'm prepared

9 to cross-examine.

10 THE COURT: The authors do say, too, Dr.

11 Roggli in here and in their references, Roggli and

12 Benning, 1990, "Asbestos Bodies in Pulmonary Hilar

13 Lymph Nodes," published in Modern Pathology.

Remind me again because it's been a

15 while. This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. We have

16 progressed since then. How did you utilize this in

17 connection with --

18 MR. MAIMON: When we had Dr. Moline on

19 the stand, Dr. Moline referenced this as a learned

20 treatise to support the proposition that crocidolite

21 asbestos has been found in the lungs of mesothelioma

22 patients. She did not say -- and Mr. Cedillo is

23 wrong. Every time he says her only known exposure to

24 asbestos was Kent cigarettes, that's not what it

25 says. It says only documented, historically

Page 4967 Page 4969 1 documented exposure to asbestos. 1 as the asbestos in the filtering agent of the 2 But the authors here acknowledge that she 2 original Kent cigarette? 3 had other exposures because they found it in her lung A. It was not. 4 tissue. So no one is hiding the ball. Nothing is 4 Doctor, are there any epidemiological 5 nefarious here as suggested by the questioning. Dr. 5 studies of mesothelioma in people whose only exposure 6 Roggli looked at the slides, looked at the lung 6 to asbestos was from smoking original Kent 7 tissue, did his own analysis, and came to a different 7 cigarettes? 8 conclusion. That's fair game. What's not fair is to 8 A. No. 9 start talking about the hearsay statements that are Q. Are there any epidemiological studies of 10 contained in pleadings in that case, and depositions 10 pleural plaques in people whose only exposure to 11 in that case, and all sorts of things in that case, 11 asbestos was from smoking original Kent cigarettes? 12 which I'm assuming that they want to start having 12 A. Not epidemiological studies, nor even 13 this witness testify to, and that's what I object to. 13 case reports. 14 MR. CEDILLO: The section, your Honor, And if someone had a bilateral calcified Q. 15 begins with the title where they say that the woman's 15 pleural plaque, what does that indicate to you as to 16 documented exposure was to asbestos from smoking the 16 the level of asbestos exposure that he had? 17 Kent cigarette. He came on board and he clarified. 17 A. Most of the time, it indicates 18 THE COURT: Are you planning on going 18 occupational level of exposure. In fact, we've 19 into pleadings and -published a study recently that shows what's called 20 MR. CEDILLO: No. 20 the positive predictive value of finding plaques in 21 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to stick 21 patients with mesotheliomas is 99 percent. That 22 means 99 percent of the time you'll find elevated 22 to this article? 23 MR. CEDILLO: The article, itself. 23 asbestos content when you do fiber analysis. 24 THE COURT: Go. 24 All right, sir. 25 MR. CEDILLO: Okay. 25 And then you've given us opinions Page 4968 THE COURT: Okay. 1 regarding Dr. Longo's tests that he conducted for his 1 2 2 Cancer Research article, have you not, sir? (End of sidebar.) 3 3 A. Yes. The question is simply do you have an 4 O. And did Dr. Longo have controls in the 4 assessment of the work and asbestos exposure history 5 that the authors provided in this article, sir? 5 testing that he conducted, sir, if you recall? Not that I recall. 7 7 Q. Q. And the title of the article was, Would you need a control from the -- the 8 time period that you're testing the cigarette to make 8 "Pleural Mesothelioma in a Woman Whose Documented 9 Past Exposure to Asbestos Was From Smoking the testing more reliable, in your opinion? 10 MR. MAIMON: Objection. 10 Asbestos-Containing Filtered Cigarettes." THE COURT: Sidebar. 11 Correct? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 (At sidebar.) And we know that can only be the Kent? 13 MR. MAIMON: I thought we dealt with 13 Q. 14 14 this, your Honor. The reliability of the testing. 15 It was between '52 to '56. Correct? 15 Dr. Roggli's -- he didn't even remember whether or Q. 16 not there were controls or not, but now to start 16 A. That's my understanding. 17 eliciting expert testimony on how to reliably do 17 Did you do a fiber-burden analysis or an O. 18 analysis of any kind on the patient who was the 18 cigarette filter release testing is beyond the scope 19 subject of this study, sir? of his, number one, expertise, and number two, 20 disclosure. So we object. 20 A. I did. 21 Q. Okay. And in that fiber burden, what MR. CEDILLO: It's a general question 22 did you find? 22 for a scientist that does these kinds of studies 23 whether or not you should have a control. Is that a 23 A. As did Dr. Dodson, I found amosite to be 24 good thing to have? 24 present. 25 25 THE COURT: Well, he's a scientist that To your knowledge, was amosite ever used Q.

Page 4971 1 does fiber burden, pathology-related studies as 1 a plaintiff group, you expect to see that in part of 2 opposed to smoking filter studies. 2 the disclosure that goes along with the published 3 MR. CEDILLO: Okay. 3 article. 4 4 THE COURT: So the objection is Q. So let's say an article gets disclosed 5 sustained. 5 that it was -- that it was funded by a plaintiff's Before you go, how much further do you 6 group, after it's published with the support of the 6 7 anticipate? 7 plaintiff's group, it's now available for people to 8 MR. CEDILLO: I anticipate finishing 8 use and point to to support opinions, isn't it? 9 before 12:30. A. Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any 10 MR. CEDILLO: Thank you, Dr. Roggli. 11 further direct? 11 That's all I have for you right now, sir. 12 MR. BERGER: No, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Any further direct, Mr. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll take the 13 Berger? 14 break after your direct. 14 MR. BERGER: No, your Honor. Thank you 15 (End of sidebar.) 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: That objection is sustained. 16 We're going to take the lunch break now 17 Please don't answer that question. 17 and then we'll come back and go to the Dr. Roggli, are all of your publications 18 cross-examination of Dr. Roggli. 18 19 19 peer-reviewed, sir? So leave your notebooks here. Remember 20 A. The ones that I have listed as 20 to wear your juror badges. Remember all the 21 peer-reviewed publications are, yes. 21 discussions I've had with you with regard to doing 22 There are publications that you have 22 any research or any discussions about this case. 23 that are not peer-reviewed, and therefore you don't 23 Thank you very much. And a member of my 24 staff will pick you up downstairs at 1:30. 24 list them. That's a better question. 25 Well, no, I mean, chapters in books 25 Thank you. Page 4972 Page 4974 1 (The jury leaves the courtroom.) 1 typically are not peer-reviewed. They're invited. 2 The textbooks I've written, those typically are not 2 THE COURT: Thank you, and we are off 3 peer-reviewed. Some publishers will send out a 3 the record. 4 4 textbook and ask somebody to review it, but most (A lunch recess is taken.) 5 times they're not. And letters to the editor, 5 (The jury enters the courtroom. The 6 following takes place in the presence of the jury.) 6 editorials, there are a number of those I've written. 7 THE COURT: Please be seated. Make sure 7 I'm not sure to what degree those are peer-reviewed 8 either. 8 your cell phones are turned off. If you are bringing Now, it's a big deal to have something 9 back a beverage for the first time, why don't you Q. 10 open it now so we can all hear it and not later on. 10 peer-reviewed, isn't it, sir? It's considered to be important in terms 11 Thank you. 12 of what it adds to our scientific understanding and 12 Cross-examination, Mr. Maimon. 13 MR. MAIMON: Thank you, your Honor. 13 to the medical literature, yes. So if you get something in a journal, it 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MAIMON: 15 15 typically can be used by people to say that it Good afternoon, Dr. Roggli. How are Q. 16 you? 16 informs their opinions. Correct? 17 A. Good. 17 A. Sure. 18 O. This is not the first time you and I 18 And when something is supported by a 19 plaintiff's group so that it can get published, sir, 19 have met. Correct? 20 That's correct. 20 as a medical researcher and scientist, does that Α. 21 21 affect the weight that you would give it? Q. Both in depositions. Correct? 22 A. Yes. Well, yeah. These days, we expect there 23 And you are under oath and giving sworn 23 to be disclosure in the -- in the text. Most Q. 24 testimony when you give depositions. Right? 24 journals will require that. If there is funding, for 25 Sure. 25 example, somebody has done research that's funded by A.

2 correct?

1

3 A. Yes.

O.

- 4 Q. All right. You introduced yourself to
- 5 the members of the jury this morning. You told them

As well as trials before. Is that

- 6 that you are an expert in the field of pathology. Is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Okay. And you mentioned a little bit
- 10 about some of your education and training and talked
- 11 about being board certified in the field of
- 12 pathology. Do you recall that?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. Board certification is not
- 15 something that is peculiar or particular to the field
- 16 of pathology, but all fields of medicine or all
- 17 specialties within medicine have their respective
- 18 boards. Correct?
- 19 A. Specialties, yes, they do.
- 20 Q. And those board certifications, whether
- 21 you're a pulmonologist or an oncologist or a
- 22 pathologist or an expert in occupational and
- 23 environmental medicine, that testing for the level of
- 24 competence that you spoke about earlier this morning
- 25 for the Board of Pathology, that would be the same

Page 4977

Page 4978

- 1 primarily you're called upon to confirm or call into
- 2 question the diagnosis of the patient. Right?
- 3 A. Well, partly. But we are also involved
- 4 with causation. Any time that a surgeon takes a
- 5 biopsy that's potentially a mesothelioma, we're
- 6 always looking to see if the surgeon also obtained
- 7 pleural plaques. If he did, we mention that in our
- 8 report. If the surgeon happens to get lung tissue
- 9 for some reason at the biopsy, we always look for
- 10 asbestos bodies, and if we find them we report that.
- 11 But we are involved with some degree to causation,
- 12 but not specific products.
- 13 Q. Okay. So that, generally speaking, the
- 14 question of whether or not asbestos caused this
- 15 disease or not, in general, that's something you
- 16 might be involved with at the hospital level. Right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. But the question of this product or that
- 19 product or looking into the occupational history of
- 20 this patient, that's not something that you get
- 21 involved in with the hospital. Right?
- 22 A. True.
- Q. In fact, within the context of your
- 24 practice, as a matter of routine, it's not your
- 25 routine to sit down with patients and get an

Page 4976

- ---- -40

- 1 for any board certification. Correct?
- 2 A. I believe so.
- 3 Q. Okay. And I think one of the things
- 4 that you talked about that you are involved
- 5 from -- as a pathologist in the diagnosis of
- 6 mesothelioma. Correct?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And I think you mentioned that part of
- 9 the numbers of cases of mesothelioma that you've seen
- 10 have been people who happen to come to the hospital
- 11 at Duke or at the VA who had mesothelioma; and in the
- 12 course of looking to treat those patients, their
- 13 slides, their pathology, their biopsies would come to
- 14 your laboratory to confirm or question the diagnosis
- 15 of mesothelioma. Correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. When in the hospital setting, when you
- 18 are -- somebody has a biopsy taken, whether it's at
- 19 surgery or at autopsy, and you're called upon to look
- 20 at that, that diagnosis does not call for a
- 21 determination of which product or products they may
- 22 have been exposed to 30, 40 years prior contributed
- 23 to their mesothelioma. Correct?
- A. That's true.
- 25 Q. So within the role of pathologist,

- 1 occupational history. Correct?
- 2 A. Not my routine. I've done it before,
- 3 but not my routine.
- 4 Q. I understand. You may have done it on a
- 5 few occasions. Right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. All right. So for instance -- and
- 8 you've been chosen to be members of -- a member of
- 9 various panels that look at the diagnosis of
- 10 mesothelioma. Correct?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Okay. One of those is the U.S./Canadian
- 13 Mesothelioma Panel. Right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. That, again, is dealing with the
- 16 question of diagnosis of mesothelioma in the patient
- 17 whose sample you're looking at. Right?
- 18 A. Almost exclusively, yes.
- 19 Q. And the question of what particular
- 20 exposure might have caused that mesothelioma, that's
- 21 not something that the U.S./Canadian Mesothelioma
- 22 Panel is involved in. Correct?
- A. Yeah. It comes up, and I can remember
- 24 an occasion in recent time it has.
 - Q. And you're aware of other specialties,

25

Page 4981 1 such as -- the jury heard Dr. Jacqueline Moline who And anything other than asbestos 2 is an expert in occupational and environmental 2 exposure, you have no evidence caused or contributed 3 medicine, you are not board certified in that area. 3 to Mr. Argento's mesothelioma. Correct? 4 Correct? 4 Α. Correct. 5 A. That's correct. Okay. Now, in addition to the report O. You rendered a report August 27, 2013, 6 6 that you issued on August 27, 2013 --7 in this case confirming that Mr. Argento suffered and 7 MR. MAIMON: May I approach, your Honor? 8 died from malignant mesothelioma. Correct? 8 THE COURT: Yes. That's P-130? A. Yes. 9 MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. 10 Q. Okay. And that is a -- mesothelioma is 10 (P-130, Marked for Identification.) 11 a -- is a painful disease, is not? 11 I'm going to hand you what we've marked 12 It tends to be, yes, sir. 12 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 130 for identification. And 13 And you would have expected from looking 13 if you take a look at that, that's a report that you 14 at Mr. Argento's medical records that he would have 14 authored on October 2, 2013. Is that correct? 15 suffered significant pain from his mesothelioma. 15 It says October 3. Close enough. 16 Correct? 16 Q. October -- no, look at the second page. 17 A. Yes. 17 It says October 3, too. 18 Okay. Now, and one of the things that 18 THE COURT: Do you want to check these, 19 Counsel? Mine says October 3. 19 you noted in Mr. Argento's medical records is the 20 presence of bilateral calcified plaques. Correct? 20 MR. CEDILLO: Mine says October 3. 21 A. Yes. MR. MAIMON: Okay. Okay. Can I borrow 21 22 Q. Okay. And based on that and that alone, 22 one of those? 23 the diagnosis of mesothelioma and the presence of 23 MR. CEDILLO: Sure. I'm okay, Judge. 24 bilateral calcified plaques, you're able to determine 24 And in that you indicated, you start off 25 that, more likely than not, his mesothelioma was 25 that letter by saying, "You have requested my further Page 4980 Page 4982 1 opinion concerning the etiology of the mesothelioma 1 caused by prior exposure to asbestos. Correct? 2 2 in the case of Michael C. -- Mr. Michael C. Argento Α. Correct. 3 3 as diagnosed in my prior report dated August 27, Okay. Now, you mentioned some other 4 2013." Correct? 4 potential causes of mesothelioma this morning. Do 5 you recall that? A. Yes. And aside from putting the A. Yes. Q. 7 word "the" twice there, I quoted that correctly, did 7 I think I recalled you talking about 8 I not? 8 radiation therapy. Right? 9 A. Yes. 9 A. Yes. 10 Okay. And when you use the term 10 And that's when somebody has a type of a 11 "etiology," what you're talking about is what caused 11 cancer and they specifically radiate that tumor, 12 there are some cases of mesothelioma that have 12 his mesothelioma. Correct? 13 A. Yes. 13 developed in later years. Right? 14 14 Okay. Now, in order to give an opinion Yes. 15 on this subject, you were provided with -- by the 15 You have no evidence that that played 16 any role in Mr. Argento's mesothelioma. Correct? 16 lawyers who retained you, with material such as Mr. 17 Argento's deposition. Correct? 17 That's correct. 18 A. Yes. 18 You mentioned some other non-asbestos 19 type of materials. Erionite from Turkey, 19 Q. And you are testifying here on behalf of 20 Lorillard Tobacco Company. Correct? 20 fluoro-edenite from Greece, you have no evidence that 21 21 those materials played any role, whatsoever, in Mr. A. 22 Q. And you're testifying here on behalf of 22 Argento's mesothelioma. Correct? 23 Hollingsworth & Vose. Correct? That's correct. But I believe I said 24 Yes. 24 before the fluoro-edenite was from Sicily. A.

Okay. Did you see in Mr. Argento's

25

You're right. Okay.

25

Q.

Roggli - cross Page 4983 Page 4985 A. Correct. 1 testimony his discussion of using joint compound on 1 2 Okay. How many times did Mr. Argento 2 various home renovation projects? Q. 3 Yeah. I forgot to mention that when I 3 use the joint compound? 4 described his exposures. 4 Let's see. I don't recall that, but I'm 5 sure it probably says in here, in his testimony. I Right. And did you see his testimony 6 don't recall it specifically? I think he's saying, 6 about using various lawn products? 7 "You did this work? A. Yes. 8 "The 15 years I was there. I don't know. 8 And did you see his testimony about O. 9 working at Hoffmann-LaRoche? 9 Three times, twice." 10 A. Yes. 10 Is that what you're referring to? 11 Q. 11 Q. Did you see his testimony about working 12 A. In my opinion, that would not be a 12 at the "S" company, the Shulton Company, if I can jog 13 contributing factor. 13 your memory? 14 Okay. So if that testimony was accurate 14 A. Yes, sure. And then did you see his testimony about 15 and that's the extent to which he used the joint 15 16 compound, you would reject that as a cause of his 16 smoking Kent cigarettes? 17 mesothelioma. Correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 A. Correct. 18 Okay. And let's take a look at these. 19 19 And so this would be the potential contributory Okay. And with regard to the Scotts 20 Turf Builder, you understand that at various times 20 asbestos exposures in this case. Those five. Right? 21 Scotts Turf Builder products had -- withdrawn. 21 22 22 One minute. Q. Okay. Now, the jury has already seen 23 23 Mr. Argento's testimony in this case. And I'm going Let's stick with the joint compound. 24 to give you sections of it, and if it's okay with 24 Mr. Argento had malignant pleural 25 you, ask you some questions. Okay? 25 mesothelioma. Correct? Page 4984 Page 4986 A. 1 A. Sure. 1 Yes. 2 (P-131, Marked for Identification.) 2 And that's mesothelioma that arises in 3 Okay. And this is Exhibit 131 for 3 the lining of his lung, in the pleura. Right? 4 4 identification, which is the section of Mr. Argento's Yes, sir. 5 deposition testimony where he talks about the joint There's another type of mesothelioma 6 that arises in the peritoneum, the lining of the 6 compound work that he did. And did you have an 7 opportunity before you wrote your report to read Mr. 7 abdomen. Correct? 8 Argento's testimony about this? 8 A. Yes. 9 I believe so. Q. And that's called peritoneal 10 Okay. Now, it's true, is it not, Dr. 10 mesothelioma. Correct? 11 Roggli, that you reject any potential contribution to 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Mr. Argento's mesothelioma from his use of the joint 12 O. Okay. It's true, is it not, that in the 13 compound? 13 past you have testified that exposure to 14 A. 14 chrysotile-containing products can cause peritoneal 15 So do you believe --15 mesothelioma? Q. We published two cases of -- of 16 A. I may have, but not since 1997, I don't 16 17 individuals with mesothelioma that we believe was 17 believe. 18 related to exposure to joint compound. 18 Q. Okay. And you changed your mind about What I'm asking you, sir, is whether or 19 that. Right? 20 not in Mr. Argento's case, given the history that he 20 Yeah, we did a study, actually, that was 21 gave there, whether or not you reject that exposure 21 published this year, finally published this year. It

22 was originally written by Dr. Oury in 1997, but sat

23 around for all those years until we finally published

Yeah, but from that study we did in 1997

24 it.

25

22 as contributing to his mesothelioma?

24 tell one way or another.

No, I don't have enough information to

So you can't say one way or another?

23

25

Page 4987 Page 4989 1 was sort of an eye opener saying, oh my gosh, we Q. Okay. Are you aware that 2 don't have any cases of mesothelioma in which only 2 Hoffmann-LaRoche was a pharmaceutical company? 3 chrysotile or tremolite were identified. I may have been. I don't recall. Okay. And that was based, again, on 4 O. And is it your testimony that that 5 your lung digestion studies. Correct? 5 business here in New Jersey, Hoffmann-LaRoche, is Correct. 6 equivalent to a chemical plant, a chemical refinery? 7 Okay. Scotts Turf Builder, you rejected In a lot of ways in the sense that the 8 chemical refineries chemically had lots of 8 that as a potential contributing cause to Mr. 9 Argento's mesothelioma. Correct? 9 insulation. There was lots of pipes that were 10 A. Correct. 10 insulated. And to the extent he had lots of pipes in 11 Q. Okay. So that if the lawyers here were 11 the 141 buildings that were insulated that he was 12 to have asked you prior to you coming in to give 12 responsible for, I think it was similar in that 13 testimony, or prior to you writing the report, in 13 respect. 14 this case, was the joint compound or the Turf Builder 14 Well, there were a lot of industries in 15 a cause of Mr. Argento's mesothelioma, you would have 15 that article that you talk about that have a lot of 16 told them it was not. Correct? 16 pipes. Right? 17 A. Correct. 17 A. Sure. 18 Okay. The next discussion there is 18 O. And I misplaced it, but I'll find it in 19 asbestos insulation at Hoffmann-LaRoche. Do you see 19 a bit. 20 that? 20 In any event, you -- you were not aware 21 A. 21 that Hoffmann-LaRoche was a pharmaceutical -- do you 22 22 recall Mr. Argento testifying that -- that Q. Now, earlier today, or right before we 23 broke, you were talking about your article of the 23 Hoffmann-LaRoche was purely a pharmaceutical company 24 1,445 cases that you had reviewed up until that time. 24 when he worked there? 25 Right? 25 I may have at the time that I reviewed Page 4988 Page 4990 1 the deposition. I just didn't recall it. 1 Yes, sir. A. Okay. And those cases primarily came 2 Okay. You accepted Hoffmann-LaRoche as 2 3 from your files. Right? 3 a potential contributory asbestos exposure towards 4 his mesothelioma. Correct? 4 Yeah, they're all from my files. 5 Even though you had coauthors, the cases A. Yes. 6 came from your files. Right? O. Okay. And then you noted, also, that 7 7 Mr. Argento worked at Shulton Company. Correct? A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. What percentage of those cases Yes. 9 were -- came to you through your work as a consultant MR. DUNST: I'm going to object to this. 10 May we approach? 10 in litigation? Well, somewhere -- well, 80 to 11 THE COURT: Sure. 11 12 85 percent, I would say. 12 (At sidebar.) Q. Okay. And you mentioned that you 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 categorized the different cases of mesothelioma first 14 MR. DUNST: Your Honor, in Dr. Roggli's 15 by industry and then by trade. Right? 15 report --16 THE COURT: Which one? 16 Yes. 17 MR. DUNST: Well, as to they're both the 17 O. And for Mr. Argento, you put his 18 same day and they both say essentially the same 18 industry in, I think you said, chemical and oil for 19 Hoffmann-LaRoche? 19 thing. 20 MR. MAIMON: No, one was a diagnostic What we did is when we did those, we put 21 oil and chemical plants together, oil and chemical 21 report, August 27th. 22 MR. DUNST: Well, there's two of these 22 refineries together. 23 reports both October 3rd. Okay. And you categorized Mr. Argento 24 24 under the industry oil and chemicals. Right? MR. MAIMON: This is the only one I'm 25 The oil and chemical part of that, yes. 25 using.

Page 4991 Page 4993 1 fair cross-examination. 1 MR. DUNST: Okay. There's another one. 2 MR. DUNST: No, but I'm basing it, your 2 Let's say it's this October 3rd report. THE COURT: Okay. 3 Honor, from a disclosure point of view. He says 4 "may." "May" is insufficient in order to be able to 4 MR. DUNST: On the very last page, Dr. 5 have an opinion for the jury, and therefore I think 5 Roggli says, "Exposure to asbestos from 6 exploring it on cross-examination may be equally 6 tremolite-containing talc may also have been a 7 improper. 7 contributing factor." 8 MR. CEDILLO: Judge, I'm not a party in I respectfully suggest to the Court that 9 this is insufficient for Dr. Roggli to render any 9 the fight except timing. I'm always the last one, 10 opinions regarding that my client's talc being a 10 and they're always telling me to hurry up. 11 THE COURT: I don't tell you that. 11 substantial contributing factor. He, in fact, did 12 MR. CEDILLO: All of us want to hurry 12 say that he didn't have enough information on direct 13 examination, but certainly here this "may" is the 13 up. Except you. THE COURT: Well, that would be 14 same thing as "possible." 15 15 improper. Get to your point. And he would not have been able to MR. CEDILLO: It's exceeding the scope. 16 testify to that on direct examination; and for 17 Mr. Maimon to cross-examine him about something that 17 I mean, I don't open up the area for discussion I get 18 from him that he has no opinion on it. 18 he wouldn't have been able to testify to on direct, I 19 19 think is wrong. THE COURT: Well, okay. Your opinions 20 with regard to this. So it does not exceed the 20 I don't know what Dr. Roggli is going to 21 scope. It did come up, and so it's proper cross. 21 say, but certainly he's never disclosed anything 22 regarding my client's product, and to go into it at 22 And it also goes to credibility that the witness 23 testified he did not have enough information, so it 23 all I think is improper. 24 THE COURT: You had this report prior to 24 goes to those two issues. 25 All right. Continue. 25 today. Correct? Page 4992 Page 4994 MR. DUNST: Of course. 1 1 (End of sidebar.) 2 THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make 2 THE COURT: You may continue, 3 sure. 3 Mr. Maimon. And on direct examination, you did 4 And so, Dr. Roggli, in your report you 5 inquire with regard to --5 did address asbestos-containing talc at Shulton. MR. CEDILLO: To elicit the response 6 Correct? 7 that he had no opinion one way or the other. But he 7 A. Yes. 8 didn't have enough information and I let it go. I And you accepted this as a potential 9 didn't go there at all. 9 contributory asbestos exposure for Mr. Argento. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And --10 Correct? 11 MR. MAIMON: So this is within the scope 11 A. Assuming it was asbestos-containing 12 of the examination. It's in the scope of -- he 12 talc, yes. 13 didn't say that the pipe insulation at Okay. But in the last -- well, let's 14 Hoffmann-LaRoche was a cause, either, in his report. 14 get -- let's get there in a minute. Ah-ha. Okay. 15 He says, "Exposure to asbestos by applying and 15 The talc can be contaminated with tremolite asbestos. 16 removing pipe insulation is a well-recognized cause 16 Correct? 17 of mesothelioma in the United States." 17 A. That's my understanding. 18 There's a next step here which is 18 Okay. And you've written about that in 19 proper, and in certain circumstances it can and in 19 the past, have you not? 20 certain circumstances it may not. I'm going to 20 A. I have. 21 explore what he put in his report, the basis for it. 21 Okay. And with regard to -- you were 22 The fact that he told this jury he had no information 22 shown some medical records from Mr. Argento, LTC-1 23 at all is belied by his report, it goes to not only 23 and 2, where he talked about asbestos exposure at 24 his credibility, but His whole task here was the 24 Hoffmann-LaRoche. Do you recall those? 25 etiology of Mr. Argento's mesothelioma. I think it's 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Now, there's nothing that you

- 2 know about Kent cigarettes which would reveal to the
- 3 person who was smoking them in the 1950s that they
- 4 contained asbestos. Correct?
- 5 Do you understand my question?
- You mean just by looking at the 6
- 7 scientific literature?
- Q. Sure. The person who was smoking Kent
- 9 cigarettes with the Micronite filter containing
- 10 asbestos between 1952 and 1956, to the best of your
- 11 knowledge -- and you shared with us some of your
- 12 knowledge about what was in there -- was there
- 13 anything about that that would alert the smoker to
- 14 the fact that it contained asbestos?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. And similarly, somebody working with the 16
- 17 product that they called talc, if it had some
- 18 tremolite contamination in it, there's no way that
- 19 the user just by looking at it would know that it's
- 20 contaminated with asbestos. Right?
- 21 Well, you said two different things.
- 22 You said tremolite, then you said asbestos.
- 23 Let me be clear. Q.

Correct.

True.

14 and not even know it. Right?

Yes.

Yes.

24 A. Okav.

4 way. Right?

A.

10 well. Correct?

A.

Q.

A.

Q.

5

11

12

15

19

20

25

25 Q. If Mr. Argento was working near an

1 asbestos-contaminated talc at Shulton, there was no

7 told his doctors that he was exposed to asbestos or

3 that it has asbestos in it if it's not labeled that

2 way a person working with such a product would know

Okay. So that the fact that Mr. Argento

8 was working with asbestos at Hoffmann-LaRoche doesn't 9 mean he wasn't exposed to asbestos in other ways, as

In fact, one of the things that you have

And, in fact, there could be people who 21 had exposures that they knew about which are revealed

13 found is that some people can be exposed to asbestos

Q. Because you could take a look at their

17 lungs and find the asbestos in there when they don't

18 even know that they were exposed. Correct?

22 when you look at their lung tissue, and asbestos

24 revealed on lung digestion. Correct?

That's also true, yes.

23 exposures that they didn't know about it which are

Page 4997 O. Okay. So we have that. And then for

- 2 the Kent cigarettes, you rejected the potential
- 3 contributory asbestos exposure towards his
- 4 mesothelioma. Correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. Okay. Now, we talked a little bit about
- 7 that, or you talked to counsel for Lorillard about
- 8 that before, but it's true, is it not, Dr. Roggli,
- 9 that if the only asbestos exposure that Mr. Argento
- 10 had that anybody could find out about no matter how
- 11 much they investigated -- and we'll talk about lung
- 12 digestion in a minute -- but just by talking to him
- 13 and everybody he knew, was to Kent cigarettes, you
- 14 would still reject it as a contributory cause of his
- 15 mesothelioma. Correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And it's your understanding that
- 18 he smoked approximately a pack and a half a day of
- 19 those Kents. Correct?
- 20 Yes. I think one place in the medical
- 21 records mentioned two packs a day, but I don't know
- 22 if that goes back to that period in time.
- 23 Q. In your report you put a pack and a half
- 24 a day. Right?

Page 4996

25 That's correct. A.

- Okay. And even if he were smoking two 2 packs a day of Kent cigarettes during that period of
- 3 time and that were his only asbestos exposure, you
- 4 would say it played no role and his mesothelioma was
- 5 just spontaneous. Just happened. Right?
 - A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. And if he smoked four packs of
- 8 cigarettes a day, Kent cigarettes with the asbestos
- 9 filter in it, you still would say didn't cause it,
- 10 just spontaneous. Right?
- 11 I've never seen anybody who did that.
- 12 But if there were such a case, probably.
- 13 Okay. Now, you mentioned that you have
- 14 a database where all this information is there.
- 15 Correct?
- 16 A. The information that I indicated, yes.
- 17 Okay. And one of the fields that you Q.
- 18 put in your database is whether or not who sent you
- 19 the case to review. Correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And this would have been a defense
- 22 lawyer who sent you the case. Right?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Okay. And you were asked before whether Q.
- 25 or not you testified both for plaintiffs and

Page 4999

1 defendants. It's true, is it not, first of all, you

1

2 never worked for my firm Levy Konigsberg. Right?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And it's true, is it not, that within

5 the last several years the vast majority of your

6 consultation work has been for the defense. Correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. In the database, so it's reflected who

9 sent you the case, a defense attorney or a

10 plaintiff's attorney. Right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And then it says whether or not the

13 result that you reached was positive for the person

14 who sent it to you. Correct?

15 A. Or negative or neutral.

16 Q. Or neutral. Right. Then you talk about

17 the different exposures there. Right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Within the database -- first of all, do

20 you have the entry of the database for Mr. Argento

21 here with you?

22 A. No.

Q. Okay. Within the database entry, did

24 you include the asbestos-containing talc at Shulton?

25 A. I think so.

Page 5000

1 Q. You think so?

2 A. I didn't write it down as being talc,

3 but I think I included that 15 years at Shulton as

4 part of the exposure, yes.

5 Q. Okay. And as far as you know, the only

6 possible asbestos-containing product that Mr. Argento

7 was disposed to at Shulton was potentially

8 asbestos-containing talc. Correct?

9 A. The only one I heard about.

10 Q. And that's the one in your report.

11 Correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. Now, let's talk a little bit

14 about Mr. Argento's time at Hoffmann-LaRoche. Okay?

15 A. Sure.

16 (P-132, Marked for Identification.)

17 Q. I'm handing you what I marked as

18 Plaintiff's Exhibit 132, and those are the portions

19 of Mr. Argento's testimony which the jury has seen

20 which discussed his work at Hoffmann-LaRoche. And

21 you reviewed this material. Correct?

22 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And if you could take a look at

24 the end of the transcript here, pages 397 and 398.

25 Just let me know when you're there. Okay?

A. Okay.

2 Q. Okay. First of all, in your report, you

3 mention that from 1970 to 1985 he worked for

4 Hoffmann-LaRoche in the maintenance department, paint

5 shop division, where he painted all pipe insulation

6 located in 141 buildings. He also removed and

7 replaced insulation.

8 Did I read that correctly?

9 A. I believe so, yes.

10 Q. Okay. So first of all, one of the

11 things Mr. Argento talked about was when he joined

12 Hoffmann-LaRoche in 1970 he went into the paint

13 department and was painting everything, including

14 insulation. Right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. It's your understanding that he wasn't

17 designated as the pipe painter, he was painting all

18 sorts of things which included pipe insulation.

19 Right?

20 A. Yeah, it was part of his maintenance

21 work.

22 Q. Okay. And he was specifically within

23 the paint shop division of the maintenance

24 department. Correct?

25 A. Yes.

Page 5002

Page 5001

1 Q. Okay. Are painters part of your

2 industries that are at risk for mesothelioma in your

3 1,445 article?

4 A. I think. I have -- I think I've got the

5 article here. I think the painters are under

6 construction industry. I have to look back at it to

7 be sure.

8 Q. Take a look at Table 1, if you could.

9 A. Yeah. So you can see there under C,

10 "Construction includes construction worker, laborer,

11 carpenter, painter, drywall/plasterer."

12 Q. Okay. And so even though this is the

13 types of workers there, what determines whether or

14 not they get mesothelioma is their exposure to

15 asbestos. Right?

16 A. Yes.

17

Q. You could have a construction worker who

18 never touches asbestos and you wouldn't expect him to

19 get mesothelioma. Correct?

20 A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. Now, the -- when you take a look

22 at a history -- so let's go on.

23 The pipe painting, do you believe that

24 Mr. Argento was exposed to a significant dose of

25 asbestos in painting pipe insulation? Just painting

- 1 it?
- 2 A. Just doing the painting part?
- 3 Q. Just doing the painting.
- 4 A. I doubt it.
- 5 Q. Okay. What you believe potentially
- 6 exposed him to significant asbestos was removing and
- 7 replacing insulation. Right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, one of the things -- there
- 10 are a couple of things that you look at as an expert
- 11 when you're evaluating causation from an exposure.
- 12 One is the dose. Right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And the dose is how much asbestos is in
- 15 the air and how long the person is breathing
- 16 that -- that air. Right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then one of the things that you look
- 19 at is fiber type. Right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Because, as you noted earlier
- 22 today, certain types of asbestos are more potent at
- 23 causing mesothelioma than other types. Right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so let's take a look at what

Page 5003 1 wearing a respirator when doing this work?

- 2 A. A mask.
- 3 Q. Okay. And do you recall him testifying

Page 5005

- 4 that he was certified? Right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And OSHA certification requires wearing
- 7 of respirators. Correct?
- 8 A. Not familiar with all the details of
- 9 OSHA certification for that sort of work.
- 10 Q. Is it your understanding that people who
- 11 are OSHA certified to remove asbestos from in place
- 12 wear respirators?
- 13 A. I would be surprised if they weren't.
- 14 But, again, I haven't read the details of that, that
- 15 litigation -- that legislation.
- 16 Q. Given your expertise, you would expect
- 17 it to be a respirator. Correct?
- 18 A. Yes. Some form of protection of some
- 19 type.
- Q. Now, one of the things we want to talk
- 21 about when we're talking about a period like 1970 to
- 22 1985, is, for instance, when did all this happen.
- 23 Correct? That would be important to know. Right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And do you see on page 397

Page 5004

- 1 Mr. Argento said about his work removing and
- 2 replacing mesothelioma -- I mean, asbestos pipe
- 3 covering at -- at Hoffmann-LaRoche. Okay?
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. The first thing, did you notice that he
- 6 talked about the fact that they set up clean rooms or
- 7 pure rooms? Right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And do you notice that he talked about
- 10 it being almost like a cocoon where there would be
- 11 plastic all around? Do you recall that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you recall him testifying about
- 14 wetting down the insulation before it was ever cut?
- 15 Do you recall that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you recall him testifying that
- 18 anything that was cut off would be placed in an
- 19 enclosed plastic bag? Right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's important to you because if
- 22 it's not enclosed, later on it has the potential to
- 23 release more asbestos later on. Right?
- 24 A. Sure
- 25 Q. And do you recall him testifying about

Page 5006 1 and 398 that Mr. Argento testified that he was only

- 2 in charge of removing insulation into the 1980s when
- 3 he became part of the insulation department?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 O. Okay. Now, it's true, is it not, that
- 6 the decrease -- that asbestos was banned from use in
- 7 insulation products starting in 1972?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So that whatever was being
- 10 replaced and put back on to those pipes after 1972
- 11 should not have been asbestos at all. Right?
- 12 A. Depends.
- 13 Q. Okay. Asbestos -- there was pipe
- 14 covering that was put back on those pipes the way
- 15 that Mr. Argento described it that would not have
- 16 been asbestos in the 1980s. Correct?
 - A. It depends.
- 18 Q. Depends on what?
- 19 A. It depends on -- my understanding is
- 20 that whatever companies have in their inventory was
- 21 not forbidden. They did not totally have to throw it
- 22 away. I was never told that was the case. So if
- 23 some companies had old insulation in inventory, they
- 24 could have used it even if it had asbestos in it even
- 25 in the 1980s. They couldn't buy insulation

17

Page 5007

- 1 after '72, my understanding, that had asbestos in it,
- 2 but if they had it in their inventory they could use
- 3 it.
- 4 Q. So in order for the new insulation that
- 5 Mr. Argento put on in the 1980s that contained
- 6 asbestos, it would have had to have lasted from 1972
- 7 into the 1980s, not been used up, and then he would
- 8 have had to put that on. Correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And do you recall his testimony that
- 11 whenever he would do that he would be in a clean room
- 12 and he would be wearing the suit that he talked
- 13 about, the protective clothing, and the respirator?
- 14 Right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so it would be important for
- 17 you when assessing what contribution, if any, his
- 18 exposures at Hoffmann-LaRoche had to his mesothelioma
- 19 to know whether or not the products that he was
- 20 putting on contained asbestos. Right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. And, now, you talked a little bit
- 23 about that amosite was used in insulation products.
- 24 Correct?

1

25 A. Yes.

- Page 5008
- Q. Okay. And the fiber type that he might
- 2 have been exposed to at Hoffmann-LaRoche would be an
- 3 important consideration for you. Correct?
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, it's true, is it not, Dr.
- 6 Roggli, that you have no evidence of what type of
- 7 fiber was in any of the insulation material that Mr.
- 8 Argento came in contact with at Hoffmann-LaRoche?
- 9 Correct?
- 10 A. No direct evidence. That's true.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you know that he was taking
- 12 out asbestos. Correct?
- 13 A. Yes
- 14 Q. Another word for taking out asbestos is
- 15 abatement. Right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You know that records are maintained of
- 18 abatements pursuant to regulations?
- 19 A. They are currently. I'm not sure about
- 20 how far back that goes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Do you know that when contractors
- 22 go in and do abatements, they analyze bulk samples?
- 23 They take sampling and determine whether or not what
- 24 I'm taking out is asbestos; and if it is, there are
- 25 special regulations to take it out. Right?

- A. Currently they do that, yes.
- Q. And part of that analysis is able to
- 3 determine what fiber type of asbestos might be in the
- 4 insulation material that's going to be removed.
- 5 Correct?
- A. Sure.
- Q. Okay. Have you looked into any
- 8 abatement records from Hoffmann-LaRoche during the
- 9 time period that Mr. Argento worked there?
- 10 A. I have not seen such records, no. sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that there are
- 12 some types of insulation that are exclusively
- 13 amosite. Right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you have no evidence in this
- 16 case to support the contention that the type of
- 17 insulation used at Hoffmann-LaRoche was that pure
- 18 amosite. Correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. There were types of insulation
- 21 materials including pipe coverings that had
- 22 chrysotile. Right?
- 23 A. As part of the composition, yes. And
- 24 prior to 1956, I think there were some in which
- 25 chrysotile was the only component.

Page 5010

- Q. Okay. And, again, you don't know what
- 2 type of asbestos fiber was in the -- in the
- 3 insulation that Mr. Argento came into contact with
- 4 Hoffmann-LaRoche. Correct?
- 5 A. From his description of what he did and
- 6 what the company did and what the plant was doing, it
- 7 almost certainly was in that time period it would
- 8 have to be amosite-containing insulation.
- 9 Q. Okay. And the fact that it was hot
- 10 applications. Is that right?
- 11 A. That's part of it, yes.
- 12 O. How hot was it?
- 13 A. I do not know.
- 14 Q. Well, wasn't that one of the factors in
- 15 whether or not to use an amosite-containing covering
- 16 as opposed to a chrysotile-containing pipe covering,
- 17 the temperature range that you're applying?
- 18 A. I'm not familiar with that. I'm more
- 19 familiar with the chemical corrosion of chrysotile
- 20 for the Navy, for example, and shipbuilding you had
- 21 to use amosite.
- 22 Q. Okay. So that, for instance, there was
- 23 some type -- there were types of materials that would
- 24 be going through some of the piping, such as acids or
- 25 caustic fluids that would require an amphibole.

Page 5011 Page 5013 1 out. Do you see that? 1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 2 Yes. Α. 3 Okay. In addition, she talks about 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not Q. 4 cement products being chrysotile. Correct? 4 any of those caustic fluids or acids were going 5 Yes. A. 5 through the piping of a pharmaceutical company in the 6 Q. Okay. And for tremolite, she has "Used 6 1970s and '80s? 7 in the chemical industry as fillers and filters." 7 A. I don't know. 8 Correct? 8 Q. Okay. 9 A. Yes. 9 (P-133, Marked for Identification.) 10 Q. I'm going to hand you what I've marked 10 Q. And "talc fillers." Do you see that? 11 Did I read that correctly? 11 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 133 for identification. 12 Yes. Or is that "filters"? I can't 12 You're familiar with this article. Correct? 13 tell. 13 Um-hum. 14 Maybe "filters." I don't know. Q. 14 And you're familiar with it, it's an 15 A. Okay. 15 article in the American Review of Respiratory Disease Q. There's "fillers and filters." Do you 16 from 1976. Correct? 16 17 see that? 17 A. Yes. 18 A. 18 Q. And this is exactly around the time 19 Can you tell what the talc is? 19 period that Mr. Argento started working over at -- or Q. 20 A. No. It's -- I'm not sure if it says 20 was working at Hoffmann-LaRoche. Right? 21 "filters" or "fillers." 21 22 O. Okay. And for crocidolite, it lists 22. And this is published by an individual "Textiles." Do you see that? 23 23 by the name of Dr. Margaret Becklake. Correct? 24 For crocidolite. "Textiles." Yeah, I 25 see it, yes. 25 Q. And you have recognized Dr. Becklake as Page 5012 Page 5014 1 an expert in asbestos-related diseases. Correct? 1 "Pressure pipes." Right? Q. 2 A. 2 A. Yes. Yes. 3 Okay. And if you take a look on page 3 Q. "Cement products"? 4 191 of the article, there is a table, Table 2. Do 4 A. Yes. 5 you see that? 5 That would be that asbestos cement pipe. 6 Right? And those are pipes that are used as -- for A. Yes. 7 7 sewage and for water to go through underground. And that talks about the varieties of 8 Right? 8 asbestos properties, sources, and usage. Correct? A. Yes. 9 A. Correct. 10 And it talks about the different fiber 10 Q. Okay. And then it talks about felts for Q. 11 plastics. Correct? 11 types of asbestos, the same ones you talked about 12 earlier today. Correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes. 13 Okay. Now, that fiber type -- oh, 14 Okay. And under -- there's one section 14 another issue that you look at is dose. Correct? 15 that's called "Serpentine." Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. A. 16 Q. Okay. And you cannot say here with 16 17 And serpentine, the type of asbestos 17 medical certainty or scientific certainty what Mr. Q. 18 that that has is chrysotile. Right? 18 Argento's dose of asbestos was from working at 19 A. 19 Hoffmann-LaRoche, can you? 20 20 O. Okay. And Dr. Becklake in this article A. Correct. 21 21 in 1976 talks about insulation being the Q. And you don't -- you had no air 22 type -- being under the "Chrysotile" column. Right? 22 monitoring data. Correct? 23 23 A. That's what she says. A. Yes. 24 And she says that at this time, 1976, 24 Q. Q. And if he was wearing a respirator and 25 she drops two asterisks there that it's being phased 25 was wetting down the insulation and working in the

1 pure rooms and bagging the pipe covering as he -- as

- 2 he described in the 1980s, you can't say that he had
- 3 a dose that would cause mesothelioma, can you?
 - I think in consideration of all the
- 5 information available in this case, I think I would 6 say yes.
- 7 One of the things that you have Q.
- 8 testified to before is that wetting down a pipe
- 9 before you cut it can decrease the likelihood of
- 10 breathing in significant fibers of respirable
- 11 asbestos. Correct?
- 12 It certainly reduces the amount of
- 13 exposure, yes, sir.
- Okay. And so you don't -- you cannot
- 15 tell the jury how much asbestos Mr. Argento was
- 16 exposed to at Hoffmann-LaRoche, can you?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Okay. You've also testified in the
- 19 past, have you not, that wearing a respirator mask
- 20 further reduces the likelihood of breathing in
- 21 significant amounts of fibers? Correct?
- 22 It reduces the amount, yes, sir.
- 23 Okay. And if Mr. Argento was wearing a
- 24 mask, is it still your testimony that he was exposed
- 25 to enough asbestos -- you see here that he's talked
- - Page 5016

1

- 1 about always wearing protective clothing?
- I remember him discussing about
- 3 protective clothing, yes.
- Okay. Take a look at page 399, starting
- 5 on line 12. "And did you -- every time that you went
- 6 into one of these plastic cocoons to supervise the
- 7 removal of any insulation, did you follow that
- 8 procedure every time?"
- And he says, "That's the only thing you
- 10 could do."
- "QUESTION: And did you wear the 11
- 12 protective clothing every time?
- 13 "ANSWER: Paper suit. Paper suit every
- 14 time.
- 15
- 16 every time?"
- 17 And he says, "Every time."
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 So not only did Mr. Argento have the
- 21 paper suit on and the mask on, but he would get
- 22 vacuumed off. Is that your recollection?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 If the asbestos used at Hoffmann-LaRoche Q.
- 25 was chrysotile, that would not have caused his

- 1 mesothelioma. Correct?
- If it were only chrysotile, then I would
- 3 not have enough information to say that more likely
- 4 than not it would cause it.
- Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier today
- 6 that there's a possibility that some insulation by
- 7 mistake would have crocidolite where it was meant to
- 8 have amosite. Do you recall that?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Okay. You have no proof or no evidence
- 11 that that was ever the case of anything that happened
- 12 at Hoffmann-LaRoche, do you?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 O. Okay. If that was the case and there
- 15 was some crocidolite in some insulation there, it
- 16 would be true that all you could say is that he -- if
- 17 he also smoked Kent cigarettes and was exposed to
- 18 crocidolite also in the workplace, that both would
- 19 contribute to the crocidolite content of his lung and
- 20 it would not be possible to say the relative
- 21 contribution between the two. Is that fair?
- 22 I would agree with the first part of
- 23 that; but the latter part I don't think more likely
- 24 than not would result in enough in the lung to be a
- 25 contributing factor.

Page 5018

- I'm talking about when you breathe in
- 2 asbestos, it gets into your lung. Right?
- Yes. A.
- Q. And the more asbestos you breathe in,
- 5 the more is there. Right?
- 7 And you can't tell by looking under your
- 8 microscope which fiber came from which product, can
- 9 you, aside from knowing if they're both the same
- 10 fiber type in the products? Right?
- 11 A. Well, that's true. But we've analyzed
- 12 cases in which their only known exposure was through
- 13 smoking Kents and found no crocidolite. But we found
- 14 people who smoked Kents and worked in a working
- "QUESTION: And did you get vacuumed off 15 environment where they're exposed to crocidolite and

 - 16 we did find crocidolite.
 - 17 Do you recall testifying as follows:
 - 18 "All one could say is that if the individual smoked
 - 19 Kent cigarettes" --
 - 20 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, I object.
 - 21 THE COURT: Sidebar.
 - 22 (At sidebar.)
 - 23 MR. CEDILLO: That's improper
 - 24 impeachment, your Honor. He's confronting him with
 - 25 something. There is the question that was asked.

Page 5019 1 Where is he reading from? 1 workplace, that, in my opinion, both will contribute MR. MAIMON: I'll show him the 2 to his crocidolite content of his lung, but it would 2 3 transcript. 3 not be possible to say the relative contribution 4 between the two." 4 MR. CEDILLO: He just puts it up on the 5 5 screen. I don't know where it comes from. Did you testify that way? THE COURT: You are going to provide Yes. In 1996, I believe, which I've 6 6 7 counsel --7 testified earlier this morning that that was the last 8 MR. MAIMON: Of course. 8 case I testified for plaintiffs. 9 9 (End of sidebar.) Okay. And that is exactly --10 (P-134, Marked for Identification.). 10 That case. 11 Dr. Roggli, I'm going to hand you up 11 MR. MAIMON: Can I have the screen up. 12 what we marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 134. And do 12 That is exactly the quote that I have 13 you recognize that as the sworn deposition testimony 13 there that you gave testimony in 1996. Correct? 14 that you gave on January 30th, 1996? 14 A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 15 O. Okay. Now, I'd like to move on in your 16 Q. Okay. And this was a case in which you 16 report to the testimony that you gave 17 appeared as an expert for the plaintiff where the 17 about -- withdrawn. I'd like to move on in your 18 claim was that smoking Kent cigarettes caused that 18 report to the subject of Mr. Argento's work in the 19 gentleman's mesothelioma. Correct? Shulton Company. Do you see that? 20 20 A. Yes. A. Yes. 21 21 O. O. Okay. And turn to page 15. And that is the second paragraph of your 22 Fifteen? 22 October 3, 2013, report. Correct? October 2, I'm A. 23 23 sorry. No, October 3. I'm sorry. At the end of 14. Q. 24 A. Okav. 24 A. October 3 is the copy I have. All right. The second paragraph. Do 25 You were asked in that case about the 25 Q. Q. Page 5020 Page 5022 1 first case that you testified about Kent cigarettes 1 you see that? 2 causing mesothelioma. Do you see that? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Okay. And there you state, "It is my A. Yes. And you were asked, "If that person was 4 understanding that Mr. Argento was employed by 5 occupationally exposed to crocidolite asbestos or 5 Shulton Company and American Cyanamid in Clifton, New 6 worked with products in the workplace that contained 6 Jersey, from 1955 to 1970 as a machine operator, 7 crocidolite asbestos, then the fact that crocidolite 7 material handler, and mechanic on the production 8 asbestos can be demonstrated in his tumor doesn't 8 floor." 9 lead you to conclude that it had to have come from 9 Did I read that correctly? 10 10 the cigarette. I mean, I guess what I'm saying is if A. Yes. 11 you assume that that person worked with crocidolite 11 You then go on to say, "His job 12 asbestos products in the workplace, then you can't 12 responsibilities included working with and working 13 conclude with reasonable certainty that any 13 around others using asbestos-containing talc." 14 crocidolite in his lung came from the cigarette as 14 Did I read that correctly? 15 opposed to the workplace. Isn't that right?" 15 A. Yes. 16 Do you see that question? 16 Q. And those were your words, were they 17 A. Yes. 17 not? 18 Q. And your answer was, "Well, I think that 18 Well, that's what I was asked to assume. 19 there's two answers to that. First of all, regarding 19 It doesn't say that. It says your 20 understanding. Correct? "It is my understanding." 20 that assumption, I have not been provided any 21 information by anybody to corroborate that assumption 21 That's what you wrote. Right? 22 that he was exposed to any crocidolite in the 22 Yes, but usually in the third paragraph 23 workplace. But to answer your question directly, all 23 it says, "assuming this information is correct" then. 24 one could say is that if the individual smoked Kent 24 For some reason I don't have that statement "assuming 25 cigarettes and was exposed to crocidolite in the 25 information is correct" in this report.

Page 5023 Page 5025 Well, let's see what you wrote in this 1 Correct? 1 Q. 2 2 report. Okay? A. Yes. 3 A. Sure. 3 Q. And that's your article. Right? 4 You say, "It is my understanding" --5 5 that's the first three words of the second paragraph. Q. Okay. And in that article you talk 6 about cases from your database where commercial 6 Right? 7 7 amphiboles, amosite or crocidolite, were not found, A. Yes. 8 but tremolite was found in elevated levels. Correct? 8 And then you give the years of Mr. Q. 9 Argento's work at Shulton and his positions. Right? 9 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 10 Okay. And you looked at two possible 11 sources of where that tremolite came from. One was 11 Q. And then you say, "His job 12 responsibilities included working with and 12 chrysotile and the other was talc. Correct? 13 around -- working with and working around others 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. 14 using asbestos-containing talc." And you concluded that the tremolite 15 15 that you found in the lungs of those mesothelioma Did you write that? 16 patients correlated to both coming from chrysotile 16 A. Yes. 17 Okay. And then, if you take a look at 17 and also coming from talc. Correct? 18 Yes. 18 the last sentence of your report, you state, do you A. 19 not, "Exposure to asbestiform tremolite contaminating 19 O. Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier 20 that -- that tremolite can contaminate chrysotile 20 talc may also have been a contributing factor." 21 that comes from Canada. Correct? 21 Do you see that? 22 22 A. Yes. A. Yes. 23 23 And it's true, is it not, Dr. Roggli, Q. Now, to be fair, the sentence before 24 that you address his work at Hoffmann-LaRoche. 24 that when tremolite contaminates chrysotile that 25 comes from Canada, the level of contamination 25 Right? Page 5024 Page 5026 1 Yes. 1 is -- is less than 1 percent. Right? A. 2 It's estimated between a tenth and 1 2 And you say, "Exposure to asbestos from A. 3 applying and removing pipe insulation is a 3 percent, yes. 4 well-recognized cause of mesothelioma in the United So from .01 to .1. Right? One-tenth of 5 States." 5 1 percent up to 1 percent, correct, contamination? Do you see that? 7 7 A. Yes. And despite being at such a low level of Now, in this report, nowhere in this 8 contamination, it's your conclusion that that 9 report do you say that working around or with 9 tremolite at levels of one-tenth of 1 percent to 1 10 insulation at Hoffmann-LaRoche caused Mr. Argento's 10 percent of contamination of chrysotile can cause 11 mesothelioma in patients exposed to those products. 11 mesothelioma. Correct? 12 I probably don't say it directly in this 12 Right? 13 report. That's correct. 13 A. If they're exposed to a high enough Okay. And with regard to the talc, you 14 dose, yes. 15 say, "Exposure to asbestiform tremolite-contaminating 15 Q. Okay. And the same thing would be true 16 talc may also have been a contributing factor." 16 with talc, would it not, that if you have levels of 17 Correct? 17 contamination, the same levels of contamination, a 18 Yes. 18 very low asbestiform content, that contamination can A. 19 And then you cite to an article titled 19 cause mesothelioma in people exposed to enough of the 20 "Tremolite and Mesothelioma" that you and Drs. 20 product. Right? 21 Volmer, Butnor and Sporn published in 2002. Correct? 2.1 A. It could. And I think we reported one 22 A. Yes. 22 such case. It's Case 10 in Table 3. 23 (P-135, Marked for Identification.) 23 Okay. 24 Q. Okay. This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 135. 24 Now, one of the things that you talk 25 That is exactly the article that you cite to. 25 about when you talk about finding elevated levels of

Roggli - cross Page 5029 1 asbestos in the lung tissues of mesothelioma patients I have no idea what the level of 2 is comparing it to controls. Do you recall that? 2 contamination was in that one case we reported. Okay. I'm not talking about the one 4 Q. Okay. And counsel for Lorillard, when 4 case. I'm talking about chrysotile, chrysotile 5 he asked you questions, talked about control patients 5 contaminated, as far as you know, with tremolite at 6 that are not actual patients, are they? They're not 6 about a tenth to 1 percent. Correct? 7 7 patients of yours, are they? A. Yes. They were individuals who died at the 8 O. And that level of contamination is not 9 Durham VA hospital and were autopsied there. 9 too small to contribute to somebody's mesothelioma, Okay. But they're not ongoing people 10 is it? 11 that you take lung tissue out of. They're cases that 11 If they have sufficient doses of 12 you have access to their lung pathology and you use 12 exposure to chrysotile, that's correct. 13 that lung pathology as a control group. Right? 13 Okay. 14 14 A. Yes. (P-136, Marked for Identification.) 15 Q. You would agree with me, wouldn't you, 15 I'm also going to hand up to you what I 16 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 136, and this is 16 Doctor, that it is important that the control group 17 not have people occupationally exposed to asbestos in 17 another article that you authored. Correct? 18 it in order to be an adequate control group? 18 Yes. A. 19 As much as you can do that, yes. O. And the title of this article is 20 Okay. It's true, is it not, that some 20 Asbestos-related diseases -- "Asbestos-Related 21 of the cases in your control group, you don't know 21 Disease Associated With Exposure to Asbestiform 22 what potential exposures to asbestos they had in the 22 Tremolite." 23 past. Correct? 23 Do you see that? 24 24 A. True. A. Yes. 25 25 Q. One of the people who you use as a Q. Okay. And you published this in 1994. Page 5028 Page 5030 1 control was a gentleman, unfortunately, who suffered 1 Correct? 2 from Alzheimer's and wasn't able to give an 2 A. Yes. 3 occupational history. Correct? Q. Okay. And you see on the bottom there's 4 A. Correct. 4 an exhibit tab, Roggli 7. Correct? And to the extent that that person might A. 6 have had a significant occupational exposure to Q. And that indicates that that was marked 7 asbestos, that would bring your control numbers up. 7 at one of your depositions. Right? 8 Right? A. I think it's been marked at several 9 A. It has a potential to do that, but I 9 depositions, but this was from one of them, yes. 10 don't think it did. 10 Okay. And if we can take a look at 11 this, on page 2 -- or at the bottom of page 1, it I understand that. And you also have 12 someone in your control group who served in the U.S. 12 says, "Although tremolite is not mined commercially, 13 Navy. Correct? 13 it is a common contaminant of other mineral deposits, 14 A. I have to look back at the study. I 14 such as chrysotile asbestos, vermiculite, and talc." 15 don't recall that one. 15 Do you see that? 16 Okay. Let's leave that. 16 A. Yes. 17 All right. In any event, you would 17 It then goes on to say a sentence later, 18 agree with me, would you not, Dr. Roggli, that 18 "Additionally, some end products contain these

25 Argento was working in a facility at Shulton that was 59 (Pages 5027 - 5030)

19 minerals, such as cosmetic talc or spackling compound

21 source of tremolite exposure."

Yes.

Do you see that?

which contains chrysotile and may present a potential

It was your understanding that Mr.

20

22

23

24

19 somebody exposed sufficiently to talc contaminated

24 found applicable for exposure to chrysotile

25 contaminated with tremolite. Correct?

21 as a result of that? Correct?

Yes.

22

23

A.

20 with tremolite asbestos could develop a mesothelioma

And that would be at the levels that you

Page 5031 Page 5033 1 making cosmetic talc products. Correct? 1 A. Yes. That's my understanding, yes. 2 2 Q. And, in fact, it's true, is it not, Dr. 3 Okay. Go down to the first full 3 Roggli, that you have written urging doctors not to 4 paragraph, where it says "Collectively." Do you see 4 use talc for pleurodesis -- for a pleurodesis in 5 that? 5 patients with nonmalignant pleural effusions. Right? Correct. 6 A. 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. It says, "Collectively, these studies (P-137, Marked for Identification.) 8 suggest that tremolite asbestos exposure represents a 8 Q. And 137, Plaintiff's P-137 is exactly 9 potential health risk, not only to miners and 9 that letter to the editor that you wrote. Correct? 10 manufacturers of tremolite-contaminated mineral 10 A. Yes. 11 products, but also to those exposed to 11 Q. You wrote it together with Dr. Andrew 12 tremolite-contaminated end products." Right? 12 Ghio, is it? 13 A. Yes. 13 A. Yes From the United States Environmental 14 Q. And that's the same subject that you 14 Q. 15 addressed in the 2002 article, "Tremolite and 15 Protection Agency. Correct? 16 Mesothelioma," that the tremolite asbestos coming A. Correct. 16 17 from the end products, whether they be chrysotile or 17 Q. And you urged here that talc should not 18 talc can cause mesothelioma. Correct? 18 be used for pleurodesis in patients with nonmalignant 19 A. pleural effusions. Do you see that? 20 Turn to page 817 of the article. The 20 Q. A. 21 21 second full paragraph that starts "Women." Q. And you'll agree I put a good picture of 22 Do you see that? 22 you on the screen there? 23 817? 23 A. It's not bad. A. 24 24 Q. 817. O. Not bad. Okay. And you say that on the 25 25 bottom of the left-hand side. "Talc is not a uniform Okay. Page 5032 Page 5034 1 Q. Are you there? 1 substance and varies significantly in size and 2 A. 2 chemical composition with the latter depending on Yes. 3 It says, "Women represent 43 percent, 3 3 geologic origin." Do you see that? 4 out of 7, of the cases in this study versus only 8 4 5 percent of the 153 mesothelioma cases with tissue 5 Yes. Α. 6 asbestos analysis in one of the author's consultation 6 You go on to say, "This sheet silicate Q. 7 files." 7 can be contaminated by asbestos." Correct? 8 8 A. Yes. Do you see that? 9 A. Yes. Q. "And an association between 10 And that gives "VLR." That's Victor 10 carcinogenesis and exposure to asbestos included in Q. 11 talc appears credible." 11 L. Roggli. Right? 12 A. Yes. 12 Do you see that? 13 Okay. It says, "A potential source of 13 Yes. 14 exposure for these three cases was cosmetic talc 14 Okay. And, in fact, later on in this 15 which may be contaminated with tremolite asbestos.' 15 letter to the editor you indicate here that even if a 16 Did I read that correctly? 16 product is, quote unquote, asbestos free, it still 17 A. Yes. 17 shouldn't be used to put in people's bodies because 18 And then at the bottom sentence of 18 the mechanism of cancer induced by asbestos is 19 the -- of the page says, "Furthermore, the finding of 19 similarly pertinent to talc. 20 20 relatively modest elevations of tremolite content in Correct? 21 some of our mesothelioma cases suggests to us that at 21 A. Yes. 22 least for some susceptible individuals moderate 22 And it's true, is it not, that in Q. 23 exposures to tremolite-contaminated dust can produce 23 addition to asbestos causing pleural plaques, talc 24 malignant pleural mesothelioma." 24 can cause pleural plaques. 25 Did I read that correctly? 25 Well, in that regard we talk about that

1 in Chapter 6 of my textbook, that some of the first

- 2 cases of pleural plaques were found in talc miners,
- 3 but we believe that was from contaminated tremolite.
- 4 Okay. Good enough.
- Now, again, if -- and let's go back to
- 6 your report in this case. The information that you
- 7 had when you wrote your report, you had -- withdrawn.
- 8 The information that you had about Mr. Argento's work
- 9 at Shulton is contained in your report. Correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you accepted exposure to asbestiform
- 12 tremolite contaminating talc as a potential
- 13 contributing factor to his mesothelioma. Correct?
- 14 A. For the purposes of this report and
- 15 assuming that it was correct that the talc he was
- 16 exposed to was contaminated by asbestos, then yes.
- 17 And that is what you stated your
- 18 understanding was at the time. Correct?
- A. Yes.
- 20 Okay. And if it was contaminated with
- 21 asbestos, that talc that he was working with on the
- 22 levels that you published upon in "Tremolite and
- 23 Mesothelioma" and he was exposed to it as he
- 24 described, then it would be your opinion, more likely
- 25 than not, that that was a contributing factor to his
 - Page 5036
- 1 mesothelioma.
- 2 MR. DUNST: Objection, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Don't answer. Sidebar.
- 4 (At sidebar.)
- MR. DUNST: Your Honor, this goes back
- 6 to my initial objection. My initial objection is
- 7 that nowhere in Dr. Roggli's report does he indicate
- 8 that based upon a reasonable degree of medical
- 9 probability that my talc was a substantial
- 10 contributing factor to the mesothelioma. He doesn't
- 11 say it in his report. He didn't say it in the one
- 12 question that was asked by Mr. Cedillo. To now be
- 13 able to go into this when it's not disclosed in the
- 14 report ever, I think it's inappropriate.
- 15 And this is the reason I objected before
- 16 because I knew that we were going to get to this
- 17 point, and I don't think any of this should have been
- 18 permitted for the reasons I've indicated. Because I
- 19 know that we're going in this direction. He never
- 20 said that it was a substantial contributing factor.
- 21 He said it "may." That's like a possibility. It
- 22 shouldn't have been allowed, and this shouldn't be
- 23 allowed, either.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 MR. MAIMON: I think this is appropriate

- Page 5037 1 cross-examination. He was called as the expert for
- 2 the defense by Lorillard and H&V to discuss the
- 3 causation in this case. He mentioned one product
- 4 that he says that he believes was a cause. He
- 5 mentioned one that he believes wasn't a cause. And
- 6 he said, "I don't have enough information. I don't
- 7 have any information about the others."
 - We now know that he really does. He said
- 9 it in his report. And so if the information that he
- 10 had is correct, then that was also a contributing
- 11 cause and that is perfectly appropriate
- 12 cross-examination.
- 13 The issue was raised by counsel for
- 14 Lorillard on direct examination. And even if it
- 15 wasn't, your Honor, I've been sitting at counsel
- 16 table many a times when a defendant wants to go
- 17 through all of Dr. Moline's causation opinions with
- 18 regard to every product. And it's in her report, and
- 19 it's in his report, and it may -- so that means there
- 20 are circumstances in which it would be and
- 21 circumstances where it might not be, and that's what
- 22 I'm exploring with him.
- 23 MR. DUNST: Your Honor, there's a
- 24 significant difference in Dr. Moline's report.
- 25 Dr. Moline indicated that those were, in fact,

- 1 substantial contributing factors. She said they were
- 2 substantial contributing factors based upon a
- 3 reasonable degree of medical certainty.
- 4 Nowhere did Dr. Roggli ever say that in
- 5 the report. It has not been disclosed. And for
- 6 Mr. Maimon to be able to go into it now I think is
- 7 inappropriate because it's not been disclosed in his
- 8 report.
- 9 If this was all -- if what is contained
- 10 in the report is all that Dr. Roggli said, that would
- 11 not be permitted to come in if Mr. Cedillo wanted to
- 12 put it in because I would have objected to it at that
- 13 point because it's not based upon probabilities. He
- 14 says it "may." Anything may. Anything is possible.
- 15 That's not sufficient under the standards of New
- 16 Jersey law.
- 17 Now -- now Mr. Maimon is trying to do the
- 18 same thing which couldn't be done if Mr. Cedillo
- 19 tried to do it because it's not -- it's not
- 20 adequately worded. It doesn't go to the standard
- 21 that we have in New Jersey. And -- and I think it's
- 22 important to stress the difference between
- 23 Dr. Moline, because Dr. Moline in her report, she did
- 24 say that each of those were substantial contributing
- 25 factors, and she said that that was based upon

- 2 difference.
- 3 And we're now getting to the point, which

1 reasonable medical probability. There's a big

- 4 is why I objected before because I knew that we were
- 5 going to get here, and none of this should be
- 6 permitted.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 As I indicated previously, The Court's
- 9 ruling, this did come up by way of direct, and the
- 10 cross-examination goes into that, as well as issues
- 11 of credibility. So I'm still allowing it, and you
- 12 can cross-examine on it. Thank you.
- 13 (End of sidebar.)
- 14 THE COURT: You can answer that
- 15 question. The objection is overruled.
- 16 Q. You don't remember the question, do you?
- 17 A. No, if you could repeat it or read it
- 18 back.
- 19 Q. Okay. Let me try it again.
- 20 If the talc that Mr. Argento was working
- 21 with and around in Shulton was, as your understanding
- 22 was in your report, contaminated with asbestos, and
- 23 if it was contaminated with asbestos at a level that
- 24 you assumed in your "Tremolite and Mesothelioma"
- 25 article that chrysotile can be contaminated with

Page 5040

- 1 tremolite and cause mesothelioma, then that, on a
- 2 more-likely-than-not basis, would have significantly
- 3 contributed to his mesothelioma. Correct?
- 4 A. I thought you were going to ask would be
- 5 a potential exposure, which I would have said yes;
- 6 but when you said now more likely than not, I'm
- 7 trying to think if I have enough information to say
- 8 so, because I haven't really been asked to look
- 9 closely at his talc exposures.
- 10 Q. Well, take a look at the last quote on
- 11 the screen: "Exposure to asbestiform tremolite
- 12 containing talc may also have been a contributing
- 13 factor."
- 14 A. Yes, I agree with that.
- 15 Q. You acknowledge that you don't even have
- 16 to think about it being a potential exposure. Right?
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. Because you put that in your report.
- 19 Right?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. And if he was exposed in the way that he
- 22 described that you talked about in your report and
- 23 that you reviewed in his deposition, and if the level
- 24 of contamination was on the level of contamination
- 25 that you have found tremolite asbestos to contaminate 25

1 chrysotile and cause mesothelioma, then that

- 2 was -- would have been, more likely than not, a
- 3 significant contributing cause of his mesothelioma.
- 4 Correct?
- 5 A. Yeah. Typically, I would add to that,
- 6 and would result in a level of tremolite in the lungs
- 7 above background since that's what my reports that
- 8 we're talking about have focused upon, it would be,
- 9 yes.
- 10 Q. All right. And as we said, when the
- 11 surgeons operated on Mr. Argento, they didn't take
- 12 lung tissue. Correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. And taking lung tissue was not
- 15 necessary or important for his care and treatment,
- 16 was it?
- 17 A. That's my understanding.
- 18 Q. Okay. And so that there was no reason
- 19 from a medical point of view to take any lung tissue
- 20 from Mr. Argento. Correct?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 22 Q. Okay.

24

- 23 Let's talk about Kent cigarettes.
 - You testified at trial once that a
- 25 gentleman's mesothelioma was caused by his smoking of

Page 5042

- 1 Kent cigarettes. Correct?
- 2 A. The last time I testified to that was in
- 3 1996 or 1997, yes.
- 4 Q. At trial. Correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that was the case of Mr. Roth.
- 7 Correct?
- 8 A. No. That was the case of Mr. Lesnick in
- 9 Philadelphia was the last one. Roth was prior to
- 10 Lesnick.
- 11 Q. Okay. So the first trial was Mr. Roth.
- 12 Right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And it's true, is it not, that at
- 15 that time you listed six reasons why you believe that
- 16 his mesothelioma was caused by his smoking of Kent
- 17 cigarettes. And I've listed those on the board.
- a Tital
- 18 Right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So some of these you spoke about
- 21 with Mr. Cedillo, but I'd like to talk about all of
- 22 them.
- 23 The first is the diagnostic findings of
- 24 mesothelioma. Correct?
 - A. Yes.

Page 5043 Page 5045 1 Q. And then pleural plaque formation. 1 an important factor in his opinion about the ability 2 Right? 2 to cause mesothelioma. 3 A. Yes. In fact, he has said, and I have his 4 testimony, that when you have mesothelioma in a 4 And then amphiboles found on tissue 5 analysis. Correct? 5 manufacturing setting, exposure to that product, 6 assuming that there's respirable asbestos, he accepts A. Yes. 7 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, can we 7 that that is a cause -- that that is supportive of 8 approach? 8 his opinion that that product causes mesothelioma. 9 THE COURT: Sure. THE COURT: Because we are not in a 10 (At sidebar.) 10 manufacturing setting, is why it's not in this case. 11 MR. CEDILLO: Number 6, your Honor, is 11 So take number six out. I didn't let them get into 12 it. I mean, we've already had this motion. 12 the Talcott study. 13 THE COURT: I didn't even read that far. 13 MR. MAIMON: The other aspect of it, 14 MR. CEDILLO: Yeah, that's why I 14 your Honor, is as follows: He testified on direct 15 objected before we got there. You've ruled that the 15 examination that crocidolite is more potent than 16 Talcott is out, and I certainly didn't go into any of 16 other forms of asbestos. He uses the Talcott study 17 that. I stayed away from the things that are out so 17 and the other information to say that the crocidolite 18 that I wouldn't be opening them up here, and now he's 18 asbestos that went into the Lorillard cigarettes was 19 going into them. 19 the most -- even more potent than regular crocidolite 20 MR. MAIMON: Well, I absolutely intend 20 asbestos, and he uses all these statistics. So I 21 on going into it. 21 think that it's absolutely important and vital that 22 THE COURT: What did you say? You 22 we be able to explore this witness' testimony. It's 23 not only regular crocidolite, but the crocidolite 23 absolutely intend? 24 MR. MAIMON: Intend on going into it. 24 that went into their product according to Dr. Roggli 25 THE COURT: After I ruled it out? 25 is even more potent based on that data. Page 5044 Page 5046 1 And if I'm not able to explore his 1 MR. MAIMON: No. Because I want your 2 Honor to hear his testimony about it. And then I 2 opinions on that, your Honor, how can I adequately 3 think that your Honor will hear that this expert has 3 argue to the jury what the basis of that, you know, 4 changing this assumption here versus that assumption 4 testified in the past under oath, and he's testified 5 since he's been working for Kent that his opinions 5 there is important when I'm not able to explore all 6 of the bases for his opinion, especially ones that 6 haven't changed in this regard, and your Honor didn't 7 haven't changed? His opinion up through a year or 7 hear that his opinions changed in this regard. 8 two ago when he made a presentation -- I have a slide THE COURT: Why didn't you bring it out 8 9 when we argued the motion on Talcott? 9 on this -- his presentation about the experience in 10 10 the filter setting shows that this crocidolite is So his testimony for plaintiffs on Kent 11 cigarettes was in 1997. The issue of the Talcott 11 more potent than any other. 12 studies was out there. He has said that he testified 12 MR. CEDILLO: For a factory worker 13 since consistently now for the defendant. Why didn't 13 working with the product in the manufacturing stage. 14 you bring that out when we argued the Talcott motion? 14 Mr. Argento didn't ever do any of that, your Honor. 15 That's all the same reasons why it got taken out. 15 MR. MAIMON: I think I did, and it was a 16 And I mean -- and your Honor, I mean, I object to the 16 different issue with regard to talc. It was an issue 17 way that this is being done. 17 about whether or not we could argue that -- about the 18 THE COURT: So do I. 18 Talcott study for purposes of opening statements 19 about whether or not the H&V -- let me make my 19 MR. CEDILLO: I certainly wanted you to 20 hear that the reason he thinks Longo is junk science 20 proffer, your Honor. 21 is because he knows that he published -- I'm This expert has testified both for 22 sorry -- that he conducted testing that got 1/20th of 22 plaintiffs, but then when he's testified for 23 Lorillard and Kent he hasn't changed his opinion on 23 the result that he published. 24 the significance of it. That the -- that the 24 THE COURT: Um-hum, yeah. 25 MR. CEDILLO: And he had it and he chose 25 mesothelioma experienced in both H&V and Lorillard is

Page 5047 Page 5049 1 not to put it in there. 1 A. Yes. THE COURT: I understand. 2 2 Q. And it was important to you and a basis 3 MR. CEDILLO: And I certainly would want 3 for your opinion that Kent contained crocidolite when 4 Mr. Roth smoked them. Correct? 4 that to be heard. 5 5 A. THE COURT: Thank you. Yes. Anything further? 6 6 O. And it was also important to you that 7 MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. 7 scientific studies showed crocidolite released from 8 He testified on direct examination that 8 Kents during smoking. Correct? 9 there were maybe three bases for his opinion about 9 A. Yes. 10 Kents when he was pro plaintiff. That's not true. 10 Okay. Now, with regard to Mr. Argento, 11 There's a lot more. And it wasn't a factor for him 11 it's true, is it not, that you confirmed the 12 diagnosis of mesothelioma? Correct? 12 to say that the potency of this crocidolite was 13 because it was in the manufacturing setting. He 13 A. Yes. 14 14 believes that this particular type of crocidolite is Q. It's true, is it not, that Mr. Argento 15 more potent than normal crocidolite. It has nothing 15 had pleural plaque formation? Correct? 16 to do with whether it's in a manufacturing facility. 16 A. Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm ready 17 Q. And nobody looked for any amphiboles in 18 to rule. 18 his tissue. Correct? 19 19 So I previously heard a motion with Yes, no analysis was done. 20 regard to the Talcott studies. At that point in time 20 Okay. Now, the tissue that was looked 21 I ruled that we are not going to get into it because 21 at in the Roth case was not lung tissue like you've 22 it dealt with exposure in the workplace. 22 been talking to this jury about, but it was his Having ruled that, you had the 23 pleural tumor tissue. Correct? 24 24 information then with regard to the entirety of his A. Yes. 25 testimony since switching sides on the Kent issue 25 Q. Okay. And you found it significant that Page 5048 Page 5050 1 there was crocidolite asbestos found in his tumor 1 from the plaintiff to the defense. Now while he's on 2 the witness stand, putting up a slide, frankly, is 2 tissue, did you not? 3 inappropriate. I should have been put on notice. Yeah. I'm not sure whether it was tumor 4 Certainly, counsel should have been put on notice. 4 tissue or just pleural tissue, but I think it was Dr. 5 This is not the time. So take number six out or take 5 Longo's lab that did the analysis and I assumed that 6 it was correct. 6 the entire slide down. 7 7 MR. MAIMON: Okay. Could I have just a Q. And you relied on that. Correct? 8 8 couple minutes to do that, Judge? A. Yes. 9 THE COURT: Sure. 9 Q. We'll talk about Dr. Longo in a bit. 10 (End of sidebar.) 10 You, yourself, have analyzed pleural tissue in MR. MAIMON: May I, your Honor? 11 mesothelioma patients in the past. Correct? 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. 12 Well, one occasion I think I was asked 13 13 to do so by a physician. MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Put it back on. 14 O. David Kern. Does that ring a bell? 15 Q. Okay. Dr. Roggli, in the Roth case you 15 Yeah, I think it was Dr. Kern. And we 16 testified that you confirmed the diagnosis of 16 did it because we were asked to do so, and we 17 mesothelioma. Correct? 17 determined it was not -- I could not determine it 18 A. Yes. 18 was. 19 Q. It was also important to you that there 19 Q. And you found asbestos in the pleural 20 tissue that you looked at. Correct? 20 was pleural plaques formation in the Roth case. 21 Correct? 21 A. 22 Yes. 22 Q. You found chrysotile asbestos in the A. 23 23 tissue that you looked at. Right? It was also important to you that the 24 analysis had been done on some of Mr. Roth's biopsy 24 A. 25 25 tissue and found amphiboles. Correct? Q. You could have looked at Mr. Argento's

- 1 pleural tissue. Correct?
- A. I could have.
- 3 Now, you assumed in your -- withdrawn.
- 4 Back to your report. The second paragraph. Are you
- 5 there? The last sentence.
- A. Yes.
- 7 It says, "Finally, Mr. Argento claims to Q.
- 8 have smoked Kent cigarettes with the Micronite filter
- 9 at the rate of at least a pack and a half per day
- 10 from 1953 to 1956." Do you see that?
- 11 A.
- 12 And that would be at a time when those
- 13 filters contain asbestos. Correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you are aware, are you not, of
- 16 scientific studies showing the release of crocidolite
- 17 from Kents during smoking? Correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that this was
- 20 in the Roth case and that there was a subsequent case
- 21 to Roth. It was called Lesnick. Right?
- 22 A. Lesnick. Yes.
- 23 And you have the transcript of your
- 24 deposition in front of you. Right?
- 25 Do I? Yes.

Page 5052

- Q. And look at pages 7 through 10. Do you
- 2 there describe the reasons why you believe that
- 3 Mr. Lesnick's mesothelioma was caused by him smoking
- 4 Kent cigarettes?
- A. Yes.

1

- Q. You mention there that crocidolite is
- 7 the most potent form of asbestos in causing
- 8 mesothelioma. Correct?
- A. Yes.
- 10 One of the things that is important is Q.
- 11 the thinness of the fiber. Correct?
- 12 That's part of it. It's the overall
- 13 aspect ratio and durability that's important.
- Okay. And crocidolite is -- when you
- 15 say aspect ratio, you mean how long it is comparing
- 16 to how thin it is. Right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Okay. And crocidolite asbestos is a
- 19 long, thin fiber. Correct?
- 20 Α. Typically, yes.
- Q. Okay. Sometimes it can be so thin that
- 22 it can escape detection in the microscope that you
- 23 use in your laboratory. Correct?
- 24 Sometimes. A.
- 25 Q. Okay. And when you -- so crocidolite is

1 the most potent form of asbestos in causing

- 2 mesothelioma. Correct?
- 3 Most potent, yes.
- Okay. And there are different types of
- 5 crocidolite from different parts of the world.
- 6 Correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And is it your opinion that the
- 9 crocidolite that was used in the Kent cigarettes was
- 10 a particularly potent type of crocidolite?
- 11 I think so.
- 12 Q. Okay. So that on the -- on the grades
- 13 of crocidolite, there are some that are more potent
- 14 and some that are less potent, even within
- 15 crocidolite, itself. Right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And it's your opinion that the
- 18 crocidolite used in Kent cigarettes was a
- particularly potent type of crocidolite. Correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. And you're aware, are you not, that one
- 22 of the reasons that the crocidolite asbestos was put
- 23 into the Kent cigarette filters was because of the
- 24 thinness of it? Were you aware of that?
- 25 I was aware because of its

Page 5054

- 1 absorbability, its ability to absorb to its surface
 - 2 and makes it more act as a filtering agent.
 - Q. If Lorillard put up an expert that said
 - 4 that it was the very, very thinness of those fibers
 - 5 that made it a good filtering material, you'd accept
 - 6 that, wouldn't you?
 - 7 A. I have no reason to disagree with it.
 - Q. Okay. And you testified at that time
 - 9 that there were scientific studies that have
 - 10 demonstrated the release of fibers from smoking Kent
 - 11 cigarettes. Correct?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 And in that case you made an estimate,
 - 14 like you told the jury earlier, about the amount of
 - 15 crocidolite asbestos that would be in the lung tissue
 - 16 of a Kent smoker. Correct?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 And you talked about in that case animal
 - 19 studies demonstrating the propensity of crocidolite
 - 20 to reach the pleura. Correct?
 - 21 A.
 - 22 And you also talked about having relied
 - 23 on the identification of crocidolite fibers in tissue
 - 24 samples of Kent smokers with mesothelioma. Correct?
 - 25 Where is that? A.

Page 5055 Page 5057 1 lower and some higher. Right? 1 Q. Page 9, lines 10 through 15. 2 2 Okay. A. Yes. Α. 3 Q. You see that? 3 Q. And the crocidolite in the Kents, how 4 much more potent relative to chrysotile would they 4 A. Yes 5 be? 5 Okay. And you also relied on the fact 6 that in your opinion the background or ambient level A. I would use the 500 times potency number 6 7 for that. 7 of crocidolite in the air is zero. Right? O. Okay. Now, scientific studies 8 Essentially, yes. Okay. And then your own -- you relied 9 9 demonstrating the release of fibers from smoking 10 on your own semiquantitative epidemiologic study of 10 Kents. Do you see that? 11 A. Yes. 11 women with mesothelioma related to the percentage of 12 women with mesothelioma who smoked Kents compared to 12 O. And at that time you relied on various 13 Kent's market share for the relative years. Correct? 13 studies that had been done, the Fullam studies, the 14 Revere studies, something you called the OCF test, 14 A. 15 the Pauley article, and the Longo article. Right? 15 Okay. So I've put on the board all of 16 16 those that we just talked about. Right? A. Yes. 17 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, I'm going to 17 A. Yes. 18 object. The only thing I covered -- sorry. 18 Okay. Let's talk about them one by one. 19 Crocidolite is the most potent form of THE COURT: Sidebar. 20 (At sidebar.) 20 asbestos in causing mesothelioma. Right? 21 MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, it exceeds the 21 22 22 scope, except for Longo. I didn't ask him about any Q. And when you have talked about that in 23 the past, you referred to the Hodgson and Darnton 23 of the others. I could have. I did it on purpose 24 article which says that crocidolite is 500 times more 24 because I wanted to cut it down completely. It 25 exceeds the scope. 25 potent than chrysotile. Correct? Page 5056 Page 5058 1 Yes. MR. MAIMON: The scope of A. 1 2 And Berman and Crump, who you talked 2 cross-examination is in the subject area. The fact 3 about earlier, have given estimates that crocidolite 3 that he chose not to talk about tests that showed the 4 may be a thousand times more potent than chrysotile. 4 release of asbestos fibers that this expert has 5 relied upon in the past was his choice. It doesn't 5 Correct? A. Yeah, I think that their numbers are 700 6 limit me from going into the fact that he has 7 to 800 times, yes. 7 testified in the past that they were reliable and on Okay. We'll fix that. 8 the basis of him saying that asbestos can cause 8 9 mesothelioma. 9 The crocidolite that was used in the MR. CEDILLO: I will redirect him on 10 Kent cigarettes, would those be more towards the 7 or 10 11 800 times or would those be more towards the 500 11 those topics. Okay? 12 times? 12 THE COURT: Absolutely. Well, I don't think you can use the 7 or 13 MR. CEDILLO: Okay. 14 800 times from Berman and Crump because they're based 14 THE COURT: All right. Let's continue. 15 on electron microscopy and based on fibers that are 15 After you finish this slide, we're going 16 10 microns or greater in length. And so there's no 16 to take the break. 17 data on that. 17 MR. MAIMON: Do you want to take it now? 18 So what we have is basically the Hodgson 18 Because this subject area will take a little bit. 19 and Darnton phase contrast microscopy, so that's what 19 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. 20 20 we have to rely upon. (End of sidebar.) Q. Okay. So that dealt with a whole host 21 THE COURT: Members of the jury, we're 22 of people exposed to crocidolite asbestos and given 22 going to take our 15-minute break now so I don't 23 an average of relative potency. Correct? 23 interrupt this line of testimony later on. 24 24 So remember to leave your notebooks here. A. 25 Okay. So that average would have some 25 Wear your juror badges. Remember my instructions. A Q.

Page 5059 Page 5061 1 member of my staff will be down shortly before 3:30 1 fibers aren't packed all that tight into the filter 2 to pick you up. 2 which means that fibers might be released if you 3 (The jury leaves the courtroom.) 3 smoke the cigarettes which you would have to 4 THE COURT: And we are off the record. 4 demonstrate such as the case that was done by Fullam, 5 (A recess is taken.) 5 Revere, and Dr. Longo's laboratory. Do you recall 6 (The jury enters the courtroom. The 6 giving that testimony? 7 following takes place in the presence of the jury.) 7 A. Yes. 8 THE COURT: Please be seated. Make sure 8 Q. In addition, there was the article by your cell phones are shut off. 9 Dr. Pauley. Dr. Cummings was here earlier in the 10 Mr. Maimon, you may continue. Get the 10 trial and he gave some testimony about that. But 11 screen back up. 11 that was study that you had reviewed, a published 12 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 12 study, that showed that filtered cigarettes are 13 Doctor, we are at number 3 with regard 13 capable of disintegrating during smoking and 14 to scientific studies that you testified in the 14 releasing fibers of various types. Do you recall 15 Lesnick case had demonstrated release of fibers from 15 that? 16 smoking Kents. Do you recall that? 16 A. 17 A. Yes. 17 Q. And you relied on that in those cases. Okay. And the first mention up there is Q. 18 Correct? 19 the Fullam studies. Do you recall those? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 20 Okay. And it was your testimony at that 21 O. And, in fact, in 1996 and 1997, when you 21 time, wasn't it, that you can't look at -- even 22 were testifying that Kents caused mesothelioma, you 22 though Pauley wasn't looking at Kent cigarettes or 23 were aware of those studies, were you not? 23 cigarettes that had asbestos filters, you can't look 24 A. Yes. 24 at Pauley in isolation, that you have to look at 25 Fullam, you have to look at Revere, and you have to 25 Q. Okay. And one of the things that you Page 5060 Page 5062 1 had actually seen is you had actually seen the 1 look at the OCF study, and you have to look at what 2 photomicrographs of the crocidolite fibers that were 2 Dr. Longo did. Correct? 3 released from the Kent cigarettes taken in 3 A. Yes. 4 Dr. Fullam's laboratory. Correct? Q. Okay. And then you also take into 5 The electron micrographs. 5 consideration Dr. Longo's published article in 1995 A. 6 Q. The electron micrographs. 6 in Cancer Research titled, "Crocidolite Asbestos 7 And you relied on that finding as part 7 Fibers in Smoke From Original Kent Cigarettes." And 8 of the bases for your opinion at the time. Correct? 8 you have that up there with you. Correct? 9 Yes. 9 A. Yes. 10 And you saw large numbers of electron 10 Q. Okay. If you can get that out. 11 micrographs with those fibers. Correct? 11 You know Dr. Longo. Correct? 12 There were several, yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 Okay. You also made reference at that 13 And you two have published together, Q. 14 time to the studies of Althea Revere which showed 14 have you not? 15 asbestos released from smoking Kent cigarettes. 15 A. We have. 16 Correct? 16 In fact, the jury has seen me hold this 17 Maybe. I don't recall that. A. 17 book up before, The Third Wave of Asbestos Disease, Okay. There's something -- they are 18 Exposure to Asbestos in Place. And there's an 19 called OCF. Do you see that? 19 article in here, "Mineral Fiber Content of Lung 20 A. Yes. 20 Tissue in Patients With Environmental Exposures, 21 Q. And at that time you relied on a test 21 Household Context Versus Building Occupants," by 22 that had been done where the filter of a Kent 22 Victor L. Roggli and William E. Longo. Right?

And this is one of the things that the

25 two of you have published together. Correct?

23

24

A.

Q.

23 cigarette or a Kent cigarette was tapped on some

24 glass, and crocidolite fibers were released; and it

25 was your opinion that what that tells you is that the

Page 5063 1 Honor, with Mr. Cedillo proclaiming that the door is 1 A. Yes. 2 open to do -- how he wants to do whatever he wants. 2 Q. You consider Dr. Roggli an expert in 3 material analysis. Correct? THE COURT: Hey, let's not do that. All 4 right? It's late in the day and it's a Monday. Can 4 Or Dr. Longo. What did I say? 5 we just like get to it already? All right. 5 THE COURT: Longo. Q. Do you consider Dr. Roggli an expert in (End of sidebar.) 6 7 THE COURT: Don't ask Dr. Longo that 7 material analysis? Correct? 8 THE COURT: You said it again. 8 question again. 9 MR. MAIMON: Did I say it again? I'm MR. MAIMON: I won't ask Dr. Longo 10 again. 10 not going to ask whether or not I should sit down. 11 Q. You consider Dr. Longo to be a qualified Q. Dr. Longo is an expert in material 12 analysis. Correct? 12 analyst. Correct? 13 MR. CEDILLO: May we approach, your 13 A materials analysis scientist, yes. 14 And careful scientist. Correct? 14 Honor? Most of the time. 15 15 A. THE COURT: Yes. 16 Q. Okay. You're familiar with his 1995 16 (At sidebar.) 17 article. Correct? 17 MR. CEDILLO: I wasn't allowed to get 18 A. 18 into his assessment of Dr. Longo on whether he's a 19 junk scientist or not, or anything else. He's now 19 O. And in previous testimony you've relied 20 upon it in offering opinions. Correct? 20 going into building up Longo because you guys know 21 A. Yes. 21 each other and you've done this and done that. 22 22 Q. In fact, you were asked this particular I want to make it very clear that I 23 question in 19 -- I think it was -- yes, 1996, at the 23 consider this opening the door, and I'm going to go 24 Roth trial. "Dr. Roggli, in fact, what conclusions 24 in and ask him what he thinks of Dr. Longo because he 25 did you rely upon from" -- I'm sorry. 25 is bringing out that Longo is an expert in material Page 5064 Page 5066 1 sciences. I wasn't allowed to go into that at all, 1 "Dr. Roggli, in fact, what conclusions do 2 and now counsel is opening that door. 2 you rely upon from Dr. Longo in regard the ability of THE COURT: I didn't allow you to go 3 the Kent cigarettes to release asbestos fibers?" 4 into the unpublished studies of Longo. That's what I And you say, "Well, Dr. Longo's 5 didn't allow you to do. Certainly now that 5 quantitative studies and the earlier qualitative 6 plaintiff's counsel has said you consider him an 6 studies indicates that the third point, crocidolite 7 expert in --7 fibers are released from Kent cigarettes during the 8 MR. CEDILLO: Material scientist. 8 smoking process." THE COURT: -- material scientist, on 9 Did I read that correctly? 10 redirect, if you have anything with regard to him not 10 A. Yes. 11 being an expert in that area, that's fine. 11 O. And when you're talking about the 12 MR. CEDILLO: Thank you. I'll go into 12 earlier qualitative studies, you were talking about 13 Fullam, Revere, and OCF. Correct? 13 that. All right. It's open. 14 THE COURT: And any other areas --14 Let's go back to that statement. 15 MR. MAIMON: I don't know that counsel's 15 Q. Qualitative studies. Right? 16 idea of what door has been opened is the same as the 16 A. Yes. 17 Court's. 17 Now, you have the article with you? Q. 18 THE COURT: If there's any information 18 A. Yes. 19 out there that counsel wishes to use that would go 19 Q. Dr. Roggli here talks about the testing 20 into the issue of whether or not Dr. Longo is not an 20 that he did. Do you see that under "Treatments and 21 expert in that area --21 Smoking"? 22 22 MR. MAIMON: I guess we'll cross that A. Dr. Longo? 23 bridge when we get to it. 23 I'm sorry. Dr. Longo -- this article 24 THE COURT: -- or any other areas. 24 talks about the testing that was done. Right? 25 MR. MAIMON: I was just concerned, your 25 Yes. A.

Roggli - cross Page 5069 Page 5067 1 O. Okay. It says, "Two puffs were taken 1 A. I think it dealt with that issue, yes. 2 from each of nine cigarettes." Right? 2 And this does not say anything about Trying to check what sentence you're 3 sonication, this talks about shaking the vial of 4 reading there. Oh, yeah, I got it. 4 liquid. Right? 5 A. Yes. Okay? It says, "Before insertion into 6 the smoker, three of the cigarette filters were 6 O. Okay. By the way, the tissue digestion 7 rolled and three were pinched and then the remaining 7 and analysis of asbestos fibers from the lung tissue 8 three were not manipulated prior to insertion into 8 that you do is also an indirect preparation method. 9 Correct? 9 the apparatus." 10 10 Did I read that correctly? A. In what sense? 11 Yes. 11 Q. Well, the tissues -- the asbestos fibers 12 aren't directly onto a filter. You -- you soak them 12 He then says one sentence down, "After 13 lighting, the plunger was pulled to 30 plus or minus 13 in bleach in order to get rid of the organic 14 milliliters within one to two seconds, and the 14 material. Right? 15 cigarette was extinguished by capping with a 15 A. Yes. 16 preformed aluminum foil snuffer." 16 Q. And then you take a solution of that and 17 17 put it onto your grids. Right? And did I read that correctly. 18 We actually dissolve the tissue in A. 19 O. And milliliter, ml, is the same as a cc. 19 bleach and then all that bleach goes through onto the 20 Right? 20 filter. 21 21 A. Yes. O. Okay. So that's not originally -- my 22 And so to simulate one puff he pulled 30 22 point is it's not originally on the filter. Right? Q. 23 cc's. Right? 23 What's not originally on the filter? A. 24 24 A. Yes. Q. The tissue. 25 Okay. Now, the next paragraph talks 25 Q. A. You can't put the tissue directly onto a Page 5068 Page 5070 1 about what he did after he got the puff out. "The 1 filter. 2 O. I understand that. 2 puff residue inside the smoker was prepared and 3 examined as follows: The plunger was reinserted. 3 A. Yeah. 4 Okay. Good. 4 The syringe assembly was filled with 20 millimeters O. 5 of deionized distilled H2O, capped with paraffin, 5 Dr. Longo did use controls and blanks, 6 did he not? 6 hand shaken, and allowed to stand for 30 minutes." 7 7 A. Yes. Did I read that correctly? 8 Okay. And Dr. Longo, in the last page 8 Yes. Q. A. Okay. "And then after standing, the 9 of the article, left-hand side, first full paragraph 10 syringes were hand shaken, filled with 30 millimeters 10 says, "Our data probably underestimate the amount of 11 with deionized distilled H2O, and then the contents 11 crocidolite released in an actual smoking situation 12 were pulled through a 30-millimeter filter." 12 for three reasons." 13 13 Correct? Do you see that? 14 A. 14 Which page is that? 15 2235. 15 Q. Okay. And that is that consistent with 16 A. Yeah, I see that. 16 what you testified earlier that the smoking was not 17 Okay. And then he gives the three 17 directly onto a filter, but it was washed out and 18 reasons as A, B, and C. Correct? 18 then put onto a filter which would indicate an 19 indirect preparation method. Correct? 19 A. 20 20 O. A was These tests only smoke -- "These A. Correct. 21 tests examined only smoke from the first two puffs, Q. Now, you're aware, are you not, that the 22 and there was still substantial release of asbestos 22 writings by Berman and Crump about the indirect prep

24

25

23 method were dealing with high-intensity sonication,

24 wherein you're sonicating materials that might be in

25 clusters of asbestos? Right?

23 during the second puff."

Yes.

A.

Did I read that correctly?

Page 5071 1 Q. In fact, Dr. Roggli estimated -- I'm 1 A. 2 sorry. "Dr. Longo estimated that the asbestos from

3 the first two puffs of the cigarette represented less 4 than .001 percent of the crocidolite that was in the

5 filter." Correct? I'm reading on 2234, top of the

6 right-hand side. 7

A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. In any event, this was only from 9 two puffs, correct, his data?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Go to 2235, B, "The numbers given in

12 conformance with EPA counting rules reflect

13 structures and not fibers." Correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And that's those aggregates that you

16 talked about earlier this morning. Right?

17 A. Yes.

And now he says here that overall 18.7

19 percent of the structures were aggregates rather than

20 individual fibers. Right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. You have no idea, do you, what

23 percentage of those -- withdrawn.

What is the maximum diameter that would

25 be able to be inhaled and get to the outermost area

2 O. And these are the -- that's the

3 crocidolite that he found. Right?

4 Yes.

5 Okay. And there are fibers and there

6 are clusters there. Right?

Yes.

7 Well, I mean, that's pretty much stuck

8 together. You would have to say the whole thing is a

Page 5073

Page 5074

cluster.

So you're saying that all of this is 10 Q.

11 stuck together?

12 That's what it looks like from the

13 picture. All the fibers are touching each other. I

14 don't see any fiber sitting out free from the rest of

15 the group.

Do you know how they are together? This 16 Q.

17 is on a -- magnified 3000 times. Right?

18

19 O. And this is a picture on the filter.

20 Right?

21 A.

22 Q. Do you know whether or not these are one

23 fiber or fibers laid one over the other or whether or

24 not they're actually stuck together?

25 They look like they're stuck together.

Page 5072

1 of the lungs?

2 A. About 5 microns.

3 Q. Okay. You have no idea what percentage

4 of that 18.7 percent were larger than 5 microns in

5 diameter, do you?

True.

7 Okay. Here he talks about those

8 aggregates being sometimes three, and oftentimes

9 hundreds of fibers. Correct?

10 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And so out of -- so we know that 11

12 for 81.3 percent of what he found, those were fibers

13 and not structures. Correct? By doing reverse math.

14 Yes, by his indirect method, that's A.

15 correct.

16 Q. Okay. And out of that 18.7 percent, you

17 have no idea how many of them would be above or below

18 5 microns in diameter. Correct?

A. Yeah. Again, certainly, he can say from

20 Figure 4 above there that there is a 10-micron bar,

21 and that cluster there is hugely bigger than 5

22 microns in diameter.

Do you know what -- can I have the Elmo,

24 please? That's the picture you're referring to.

25 Right?

But you don't know, do you? Q.

2 I can't say with 100 percent certainty.

3 MR. MAIMON: Let's go back to the desk,

4 please.

1

Now, you also talked about making

6 estimates of crocidolite burdens in the lung tissue.

7 Do you recall that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And what you did back then is you

10 started with Dr. Longo's numbers of 132 million

11 fibers for one pack per day for a year. You applied

12 the 65 percent. You applied a half-life. And then

13 you used the dry weight of the lung as an average.

14 Right?

15 Yes. A.

16 Q. Okay. Now, when you do your lung

17 digestions, I just want to make sure we're clear,

18 you're not looking at the whole lung, are you?

19 A. No.

20 O. In fact, when you do a lung burden study

21 and you're looking at someone's lungs, you're not

22 looking at 99.95 percent of the person's total lung

23 tissue, are you?

24 A. 99.95?

25 Q. 99.95.

- 1 A. I'm not sure how you get to that number.
- 2 (P-138, Marked for Identification.)
- 3 Q. 138 is your trial testimony on
- 4 September 21, 2015. Is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And this was in a case in Pennsylvania.
- 7 Correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And if you take a look at page 127.
- 10 A. I'm there.
- 11 Q. Line 22.
- 12 "QUESTION: So when you do a lung burden 12
- 13 study and you're not -- your look at someone's lung
- 14 tissue, you're not looking at 99.95 percent of that
- 15 person's total lung tissue. Correct?"
- And your answer was, "Correct." Right?
- 17 A. Yeah, based on the line before I said
- 18 it's about .5 percent, and that would be true, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so when you take a look
- 20 at -- and you told us you bleach it and then you
- 21 count the asbestos fibers there. Do you recall that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. You count a certain number of fibers on
- 24 a grid. Right?
- 25 A. Certain number of fields or certain

- 1 fall onto the floor, some of the fibers remain
- 2 unbreathed in the air, that any fibers that would be

Page 5077

- 3 released from a filter in a smoking product would be
- 4 deposited directly into the lungs."
- 5 That was your testimony at the time.
- 6 Correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you said, "And clearance, that would
- 9 probably follow the same clearance pattern that you
- 10 see for crocidolite once it's deposited from any
- 11 other source." Right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you have the same testimony. You
- 14 haven't changed your mind about that, have you?
- 15 Crocidolite clears from the lung at the same rate and
- 16 in the same way no matter whether or not it comes
- 17 from a Kent cigarette or from an asbestos in a pipe.
- 18 Right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- Now, you said that the -- the change in
- 22 your opinion about depositing and clearing came from
- 23 a Berman and Crump publication? Right?
- 24 A. I believe so.
- Q. Now, you know, don't you, that Berman

Page 5076

1 number of fibers, whichever comes first.

- Q. Okay. And the numbers that you count
- 3 aren't the total number of asbestos fibers in the
- 4 lung. Right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. You extrapolate in order to get what you
- 7 consider to be the total number of asbestos fibers in
- 8 the lung. Right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, you also have testified in
- 11 the past, haven't you, Doctor, that there's a
- 12 difference -- or there was a difference in your mind
- 13 between looking at, like, an occupational setting
- 14 where somebody is in an atmosphere that might have
- 15 some asbestos in it and somebody actually putting a
- 16 Kent cigarette, filtered cigarette to their mouth and
- 17 breathing it in directly as far as the ability to
- 18 deposit particles and asbestos in the lung. Right?
- 19 A. Yes. I think I agreed there might be
- 20 some differences there.
- Q. And you've stated that, "All that I can
- 22 say is that as opposed to breathing asbestos in a
- 23 workplace situation where a number of people are
- 24 sharing the same air and some of the fibers are
- 25 breathed by different individuals, some of the fibers

- Page 5078 1 and Crump, their work for the EPA has been rejected
- 2 by the EPA. Correct?
- A. A bin model based upon their analysis,
- 4 which was not -- actually, the bin model was not made
- 5 by Berman and Crump, but by someone else, was
- 6 rejected by the EPA, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And Berman and Crump have
- 8 consulted for the asbestos industry, especially Kenny
- 9 Crump, over many decades. Is that correct?
- 10 A. I don't know about his consultation
- 11 history.
- 12 Q. Are you aware that he testified in front
- 13 of OSHA on behalf of the Asbestos Information
- 14 Association, a trade group that was opposing OSHA
- 15 regulations in 1972?
- 16 A. I may have heard that one time. I don't
- 17 recall, as I sit here today.
- 18 Q. Okay. Their numbers -- and they're
- 19 talking about depositing of asbestos in the
- 20 lungs -- was not dealing with a situation where
- 21 you're breathing it directly from a filtered
- 22 cigarette. That was occupational settings. Correct?
- 23 A. Yeah. I don't think -- I don't recall
- 24 them dealing with the issue of breathing straight
- 25 from a cigarette, no.

Page 5079

- 1 Q. Okay. You have relied in the past of 2 animal studies that show that within ten days after
- 3 initial exposure crocidolite reaches the pleural
- 4 space in animal studies. Correct?
- 5 A. Yes. That was -- I think we had a
- 6 three-week exposure, and then within ten days after
- 7 the end of that exposure we identified crocidolite in
- 8 the pleural space, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that was one of the bases for
- 10 your opinion when you testified that exposure to
- 11 Kent -- crocidolite asbestos from Kents could cause
- 12 mesothelioma. Correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And then you relied on
- 15 identification of crocidolite fibers in tissue
- 16 samples of people who had smoked Kents with
- 17 mesothelioma. Correct?
- 18 A. Yeah. There's -- there were three
- 19 cases, apparently, that I don't -- that -- the Brown
- 20 case, I think, was one that I analyzed and did not
- 21 find any crocidolite.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. The Roth case was one that Dr. --
- 24 Q. Longo.
- A. Dr. Longo, yes.

Page 5080

- 1 Q. I got it right.
- 2 A. -- Dr. Longo analyzed and found
- 3 crocidolite, a crocidolite fiber, at least a
- 4 crocidolite fiber in the pleural tissues.
- 5 And then the Szymczak case, I think, one
- 6 that Dr. Churg analyzed, and I don't know if that was
- 7 smoking only or if there was also occupational
- 8 exposure in that case.
- 9 Q. In any event, you were
- 10 asked by -- talked about the cross-examination by the 10
- 11 lawyers for Lorillard. Do you recall that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were asked by them in deposition
- 14 that even if you, yourself, did digestion on five
- 15 former Kent smokers with mesothelioma and failed to
- 16 find elevated crocidolite levels, whether you could
- 17 exclude Kents as a causative factor in the next case
- 18 that came to you. Do you recall that?
- 19 A. In 1996?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- A. I don't recall that specific question,
- 22 no.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 (P-139, Marked for Identification.)
- Q. I'm giving you your deposition testimony

1 from the Roth case. Do you recognize that?

- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you take a look at page 249.
- 4 Actually, it starts -- yes, 249. You were asked,
- 5 "How many cases would you need to see, how many cases
- 6 of individuals, how many individuals would you need
- 7 to see in which it was claimed that they smoked this
- 8 cigarette and in which it was claimed that it
- 9 released asbestos and which it was claimed that it
- 10 caused or contributed to causing the disease before
- 11 you would be reasonably certain that the cigarette
- 12 did, in fact, play a causal role in the development
- 13 of the disease?"
- And then that was interrupted, and then
- 15 you were asked, "Doctor, you told us, I think, that
- 16 you would need to see more than five to conclude it
- 17 didn't. I want to know how many you'd need to see to
- 18 conclude it?"
- 19 And your answer was: "If you had -- and
- 20 let's just throw out mesothelioma. I don't care
- 21 whether the individual has mesothelioma or not. But
- 22 if you have 40 or 50 patients who smoked Kent
- 23 cigarettes, as much as a pack a day, from 1952 to
- 24 1956, and you show that there is no detectable
- 25 crocidolite in the lungs of any of those individuals,

Page 5082

Page 5081

- 1 then I would say the issue was laid to rest."
 - 2 Do you see that?
 - 3 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Dr. Longo, in the Roth case, did
 - 5 find crocidolite in the pleural tissue. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - 7 Q. And you considered that to be important
 - 8 and a basis upon which to rely that his mesothelioma
 - 9 was caused by his smoking Kent cigarettes. Correct?
 - 10 A. At that time, yes, sir.
 - 11 Q. Okay. And you indicated at that time
 - 12 that if that tissue was tumor tissue, then that would
 - 13 actually understate the amount of crocidolite because
 - 14 the tumor grows and it would be dilute the number,
 - 15 the dilution process would understate whatever
 - 16 asbestos you found. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. Okay. And you had pleural -- there was
 - 19 pleural tissue and tumor tissue for Mr. Argento.
 - 20 Correct?

17

25

- 21 A. Yes
- 22 Q. Okay. Crocidolite is rarely, if ever,
- 23 found in the background population. Is that correct?
- A. In our studies, that's correct.
 - Q. Okay. You were asked some questions

Page 5083 Page 5085 1 about the Dodson and Hammar study? O. You don't recall? 1 2 I did not find crocidolite, but I don't 2 A. Yes, sir. A. 3 Q. Do you have that article with you? 3 recall if I found any other fibers. 4 4 All you remember is you found amosite. 5 5 Right? This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. Do you Q. 6 have that? A. Yes. 6 7 7 A. I think so. Q. They also looked at not only at lung 8 Q. Okay. It indicated that you actually 8 tissue, they looked at lymph node tissue. Correct? 9 looked at the same lung tissue that Drs. Dodson and A. Yes. 10 Hammar looked at? 10 And you mentioned earlier that the lymph 11 A. Yes. 11 nodes are one of the ways in which asbestos can get 12 O. Okay. Now, they found different types 12 out of the lung. Right? 13 of asbestos in the lung tissue. Correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 14 Yes. Did you look at that lymph node tissue? A. Q. 15 Q. They found amosite, tremolite, 15 A. In this case? 16 16 crocidolite, and anthophyllite and chrysotile. Q. No, in the case that we're talking 17 Right? 17 about, P-7. 18 Yes. 18 A. A. I did not. 19 Q. Okay. And even if the only documented 19 O. Okay. They found crocidolite -- most of 20 the fibers that they found in the lymph nodes were 20 history of exposure to asbestos was Kent cigarettes, 21 we know that this person was exposed to other types 21 crocidolite. Correct? 22 of asbestos because they're finding other types of 22 A. Yes. 23 asbestos here -- and we'll get to your analysis in a 23 Q. And you did not look at that lymph node 24 minute. Okay? We agree on that? 24 tissue. Correct? 25 25 Correct. A. Yes. Α Page 5084 Page 5086 And they noted that in the article. 1 Q. 1 Q. You can't say whether or not there was 2 Correct? 2 crocidolite in the lymph node tissue, could you? 3 A. What are you talking about? 3 Well, they found it. They reported it. 4 On the results, page 681. Second 4 You believe them. Right? Q. Q. 5 paragraph, "Transmission electron microscopic 5 A. Sure. 6 evaluation." Okay. And lymph nodes is one of the Q. 7 A. 7 ways in which asbestos can get from the lung or the Yes. Okay. And they counted five asbestos 8 lymph tissue -- the lymphatics is one of the ways 9 fibers and they said that's equivalent to over 20,000 9 that asbestos can get from the lungs to the pleura. 10 asbestos fibers per gram of wet tissue. Correct? 10 Correct? 11 A. Yes. 11 A. 12 Q. Third sentence. 12 Q. And when asbestos gets --13 A. Yes. 13 A. 14 Q. Which would mean how many asbestos 14 Q. From the lungs to the pleura. 15 fibers in the total lung, approximately? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. You have to multiply that by roughly 60. 16 Q. Okay. And when asbestos gets from the 17 Q. So you're talking about 1.2 million, 17 lungs to the pleura via the lymphatics, that asbestos 18 around? 18 can still cause mesothelioma. Correct? 19 A. Yes. 19 If it's of the proper dimensions, yes. 20 Okay. Now, you looked at the lung 20 The fact that it got there through the O. 21 tissue and you found amosite. 21 lymphatics doesn't mean that it's gone and not 22 A. Yes. 22 causing harm. Right? Did you find any of the other types of 23 23 That's true. But the ones they found 24 asbestos that they found? 24 were in lymph nodes so they had already gotten to the 25 A. Don't recall. 25 lymph nodes. They're not going to get back to the

Roggli - cross Page 5087 1 lungs or the pleura. Q. All right. Take a look at the Boulanger No, I understand that. What you find in 2 article, 2014. "Quantification of short and long 3 the lymph nodes is out of the lung. Right? 3 asbestos fibers to asbestos -- to assess asbestos 4 4 exposure: A review of fiber size toxicity." Did I 5 5 read that correctly? It's been cleared out of the lung. Q. 6 Right? A. 6 Yes. 7 7 Q. A. Yes. And if you look all the way at the end 8 O. So asbestos that gets cleared out of the 8 where they have their conclusion, it says, "In view 9 lung via the lymphatics can end up in the lymph 9 of the experimental and epidemiological studies, the 10 nodes. Right? 10 toxicity of SAF, short asbestos fibers, cannot be 11 dismissed." 11 A. Yes. 12 12 O. It can also end up in the pleura. Do you see that? 13 Right? 13 A. And this is on page? 14 14 A. Yes. Page 14 of 18. 15 Q. And if it ends up in the pleura, it can 15 A. Fourteen of 18. And where does it say 16 contribute to the causation of mesothelioma. Right? 16 this, now? 17 17 A. Potentially, Yes. Q. "Conclusions." Okay. You mentioned that it's your 18 Okay. Yes. A. 19 opinion that fibers shorter than 5 microns do not 19 They note the debate in the scientific 20 cause mesothelioma. Did I hear that correctly? 20 community. Correct? 21 21 A. Yes. A. Yes. 22 Q. Okay. You're aware that there are 22 Q. And then it says two sentences later, 23 authors who have published on this subject that take 23 "Based on literature data determining the role of 24 a different point of view than you do. Correct? 24 fiber size and biological effects of asbestos fibers 25 Yes. I think all the ones I'm familiar 25 and our present knowledge on their mechanism of Page 5088 Page 5090 1 with I had criticized for their opinions in my 2015 1 action, it appears that the measurement of airborne 2 review article on the topic. 2 asbestos concentrations limited to fibers with a 3 Okay. Let's just take a look at a 3 length greater than 5 microns leaves out other types Q. 4 of fibers that may also have adverse health effects." 4 couple. 5 (P-140, Marked for Identification.) 5 Did I read that correctly? (P-141, Marked for Identification.) It says, "health adverse effects." It's 6 6 7 Q. 140 is an article by Tomatis and the 7 an error in the print, not in what you said. 8 other is Boulanger. Right? Okay. And even Dr. Dodson, who we 9 A. Yes. 9 looked at his paper before with Dr. Hammar, he has 10 Okay. And both of these, the Tomatis 10 published on short asbestos fibers causing 11 article, 140, was published in 2007; and the 11 mesothelioma. Correct? 12 Boulanger article, 2014. Correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 13 A. Yes. Now, you said that you have published on 14 Q. And both of these articles take a 14 the subject of short fiber -- short asbestos fibers. 15 position contrary to yours that short asbestos fibers 15 Right? 16 are not toxic or don't cause disease. Correct? 16 A. 17 Α. It's been a while since I've seen these. 17 You also presented on that subject at Q. 18 Take a look at Exhibit 140. Let's go to 18 groups. Correct? 19 the conclusion on page 67. Last paragraph. "With 19 A. I have. 20 regard to the former" -- and that's talking about 20 One of the groups that you've made that

21 type of a presentation to is a group called the

It's known as the "Voice of the Defense

22 Defense Research Institute. Correct?

It is.

23

24

A.

Q. 25 Bar." Correct?

21 somebody else's argument -- "several studies provide

22 evidence that fibers of all lengths and diameters

23 play a role in the induction of mesothelioma."

Did I read that correctly?

Yes.

A.

24

25

Page 5091 1 charge a flat fee if somebody wants to just retain 1 A. That's what they call themselves, yes. 2 And you have presented on the subject of 2 you and have you do no work at all. Right? 3 short asbestos fibers at the DRI, the Defense Well, if I -- if they retain me, I do 4 Research Institute. Correct? 4 charge for a retention fee. Whether I do no work at 5 all is up to the person who sends the retainer. Yes. Right. But in other words, they can O. In fact, you actually submitted a 7 have you do absolutely no work and you still earn a 7 manuscript to the people attending the DRI before you 8 submitted it to publication for publishing. Is that 8 retention fee. Correct? 9 right? They send me a retainer fee; and if they 10 I may have. I don't remember exactly 10 don't pay me anything else, then that would be what 11 happens. 11 the order. What actually happened was I 12 prepared -- I was asked to give a topic at DRI. 12 O. And that retention fee is \$700 a case. 13 Because of an article I had read, I thought that the 13 Right? 14 A. Yes. 14 short fiber issue would be a good one. 15 O. And overtime it averages about 500 a 15 Once I wrote my manuscript for the DRI 16 case? 16 publication, I thought this is so good I need to 17 publish it in the regular scientific literature, and 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you've accepted about 13,000 18 then I submitted it to the Archives of Pathology and 19 Laboratory Medicine, which was actually accepted for 19 retentions. Correct? 20 20 publication prior to my presentation at DRI. A. Yes, a little more than that. 21 O. It's about \$6.5 to \$7 million just from Q. One of the types of things that you 22 testify about short asbestos fibers are when you 22 the retention fees. Right? 23 appear on behalf of brake companies or companies who 23 A. Yes. 24 made cars where brake mechanics might have been 24 Q. In addition, when you do do work, you 25 exposed to asbestos from changing brakes and 25 charge at \$700 an hour. Correct? Page 5092 Page 5094 1 clutches. Right? A. 1 Yes. 2 2 A. It is an issue there, yes. And would it be fair to say, Dr. Roggli, 3 Q. And you have testified in the past long 3 that over the last several years you've averaged 4 ago that -- not Longo, but long ago -- that asbestos 4 about \$150,000 from your consulting work in asbestos 5 from brakes are capable of causing mesothelioma. Do 5 litigation? 6 you recall that? A. 7 I think there was one case in 1988, and THE COURT: May I see counsel at 8 I have not testified that way since. 8 sidebar? Okay. You changed your mind about that. 9 (At sidebar.) 10 Right? 10 THE COURT: How much further? MR. MAIMON: I do believe I will be done 11 A. Yes, sir. 11 Q. Okay. And in any event, you have come 12 by 4:30, Judge. 13 together with lawyers representing brake companies 13 THE COURT: We're still bringing him 14 nationally and helped them put together presentations 14 back tomorrow? Is he available to come back 15 for use in courtrooms. Correct? 15 tomorrow? 16 Yes. 16 MR. CEDILLO: He'll come back tomorrow, 17 O. Now, you mentioned earlier -- let's do 17 your Honor. 18 this now. You mentioned earlier that you charge for 18 MR. MAIMON: I don't mind breaking now. 19 your time. Correct? 19 I don't mind either way. 20 A. Yes. 20 THE COURT: Yeah, because I need to Q. And when you're actually away from home 21 speak to the jurors, one juror. 22 and giving testimony, you charge at \$600 an hour with 22 MR. MAIMON: Sure. 23 a cap of \$3,600. Right? 23 THE COURT: All right. Stay here. Get 24 24 off of sidebar for the moment. A. Yes. 25 25 Another way in which you charge is you (End of sidebar.)

Q.

Page 5095 Page 5097 1 THE COURT: So members of the jury, we 1 going to complete the testimony of Dr. Roggli 2 are going to conclude today; but before we do, just 2 tomorrow. And then there is one more live witness 3 stay in your seats. 3 who will expected hopefully to start tomorrow, but So, Dr. Roggli -- I want to make sure I 4 may go into Wednesday. So we are not going to finish 5 didn't say "Longo" -- we'll see you tomorrow morning. 5 by the time that I told you that we would. However, I have worked with the attorneys MR. MAIMON: That's my line. 7 THE COURT: Yes, that's your line. 7 and we earlier had a discussion about when we are 8 Thank you very much. You can leave that there and 8 going to be able to get this case to you. 9 we'll pick it up later. 9 Based upon what I see now, what the 10 (The witness is excused.) 10 attorneys see now, we expect that -- we anticipate 11 THE COURT: So let's go back on sidebar. 11 that we're going to get to closing statements on 12 MR. CEDILLO: The witness is excused, 12 Thursday, the 11th. There is a possibility of 13 your Honor? 13 Wednesday, but I think more likely Thursday. And 14 THE COURT: Yes, I've excused the 14 then submit the case to you. 15 15 witness. And I realize it's not what I promised 16 Mr. Augello, can we see you, please. 16 to you when we met in June. And, certainly, if that 17 (At sidebar with Juror No. 8.) 17 affects any one of you personally in some fashion, I 18 THE COURT: So, Mr. Augello, earlier you 18 have to consider that. And we would need to discuss 19 had indicated to me you wanted to speak to me. And 19 that. Okay? 20 you know I can't speak to you alone, that's why we're 20 So I do know and I promised you, Mr. 21 here with everyone else. 21 Juror No. 1, Mr. Ciriello, that you were going to be 22 22 going on vacation, and I thought you were going to be JUROR: That's awesome. 23 THE COURT: Okay. But we are on 23 leaving on --24 sidebar, so no one else in the courtroom can hear 24 JUROR: Next Saturday. THE COURT: Next Saturday. We are not 25 you. 25 Page 5096 Page 5098 JUROR NO. 8: Okay. It's a 1 going to be here next Friday, never mind next 1 2 Saturday. Okay? 2 question -- well, the jury has a question. We want 3 to know are we going to end this Wednesday? 3 JUROR NO. 1: But the week after I will 4 be gone. THE COURT: That's what I was going to 5 talk to all of you about. Today, I just wanted to THE COURT: Yes, I would never ever do 6 that to you. I mean, you told us and you even came 6 know, I'm bringing you here because I thought you had 7 back and said my vacation. Do not worry about that. 7 a specific personal issue. 8 Okay? 8 JUROR: No, It's for all of us. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you. 9 But if any of you have any particular 10 10 concerns that you want to discuss with me, we can JUROR: All right. I'll go back and sit 11 discuss them today or any time tomorrow. I don't 11 down. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Let's get off the 12 want you to feel embarrassed about it or intimidated 13 by it, because I did present you a schedule and there 13 sidebar. 14 (End of sidebar.) 14 was just unforeseen issues that came up -- again, due THE COURT: So members of the jury, your 15 to me, not the attorneys or the parties. 15 16 So if any one of you want to stay after I 16 spokesperson has brought to my attention an issue 17 release everyone or sometime tomorrow, we can talk 17 that I was actually going to speak to you about 18 today. 18 about it. At any point in time please don't feel 19 So remember when we all convened back in 19 intimidated by the situation. Okay? 20 So why don't we put your notebooks and 20 June, I provided all of you a calendar and I told you 21 that we expected this case to take no longer than the 21 pens back in the envelopes and tomorrow we'll 22 reconvene at 9 a.m. 22 24 days. And we had to take a number of days off due 23 23 to my personal emergencies -- again, not the If anyone wants to stay to discuss any 24 matters with me today, again, with the attorneys 24 attorneys or the parties. 25 Well, after -- I expected that we're 25 present, you can stay. If there's a concern you have

Colloquy -

Cono	Colloquy -			
Page 5099	Page 5101			
1 with our schedule or if you want to stay and talk to	1 MR. CEDILLO: Can we point out to her			
2 me talk to us about it tomorrow, that's fine, too.	2 how much money she would save if she didn't go to			
3 Okay. So we have everything packed?	3 Vegas?			
4 People are smiling and you're laughing so you all	4 THE COURT: Well, I don't know. She			
5 know what's going on over there and I don't.	5 might have a lucky streak.			
6 And if you want to think about it, think	6 MR. DUNST: That's why she's taking the			
7 about it, but if you want to stay, please stay. I'm	7 actuarial exam.			
8 going to open the door now.	8 MR. MAIMON: What time did she say the			
9 Just leave the notebooks on that chair	9 test was?			
10 and I'll make sure they get into chambers overnight.	10 MR. CEDILLO: 6:30.			
11 Okay? Thank you for your patience. And we'll see	11 MR. MAIMON: At night.			
12 you tomorrow morning at 9 a.m., a member of my staff	THE COURT: She has been studying for			
13 will meet you.	13 it. The question is if we do summations it			
14 (The jury leaves the courtroom except	14 doesn't sound like we're doing summations on			
15 for Juror No. 6.)	15 Wednesday. It's more likely on Thursday.			
16 THE COURT: And then there was one. Why	MR. CEDILLO: Right. And they would be			
17 don't you join us at sidebar.	17 deliberating on Friday.			
18 (At sidebar.)	18 THE COURT: On Friday.			
19 THE COURT: All right. So for the	MS. LONG: If we can finish half the day			
20 record, this is Juror No. 6, Amanda Bowsky.	20 on Wednesday			
21 And you have not been here in a while, in	21 THE COURT: Oh, my, you're so			
22 at least 24 days, and so no one in the back can hear	22 optimistic.			
23 you, okay, but I do need to create a record.	MR. CEDILLO: She's not doing cross.			
24 Can you tell us what your concern is.	24 THE COURT: Oh, just laugh.			
25 JUROR NO. 6: On Thursday I have an	25 MR. MAIMON: Yes.			
Page 5100	Page 5102			
1 actuarial exam that I've been preparing for a very	1 THE COURT: Okay.			
2 long time. And then I leave for vacation on Friday.	2 MR. MAIMON: Says that I laugh.			
3 THE COURT: Okay. So when you say	3 What I was going to suggest, Judge, I			
4 Thursday	4 mean, obviously she told us about the vacation ahead			
5 JUROR NO. 6: This Thursday.	5 of time. And I would make the same commitment to her			
6 THE COURT: Thursday the 11th. And you	6 that you made to Juror No. 1 is that we won't make			
7 leave for vacation on Friday the 12th.	7 you lose your vacation. I wouldn't dismiss her yet.			
8 JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	8 I don't see a reason to.			
9 THE COURT: Okay. Where are you going	9 THE COURT: Anything can happen. Right?			
10 on vacation?	MR. MAIMON: Anything could happen. And			
JUROR NO. 6: We're going to Vegas for	11 I mean, she's put in this much time to it.			
12 the weekend and then we're going to California.	12 THE COURT: All right.			
13 THE COURT: Oh, good for you. And this	13 MR. MAIMON: I mean, if it turns			
14 is actuarial exam is on the 11th?	14 out that she's I mean, we have nine.			
15 JUROR: Yes.	THE COURT: I have a feeling that we			
THE COURT: Okay. And what time is that	16 have one more that's going to come up that didn't			
17 at?	17 want to come up today because they were sharing			
JUROR: It's at 6:30 at night.	18 glances back and forth. And that is Juror No. 2,			
19 THE COURT: Okay. And you've been	19 Miss Lockhart, so we may be talking to her.			
20 studying throughout this trial?	20 All right. Is there any objection to my			
JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	21 not releasing her now?			
THE COURT: Okay. Could you excuse us	MR. DUNST: I think we should leave it			
23 for a moment. I need to discuss with counsel.	23 up to her, because I think that we know we know			
24 (Juror leaves sidebar.)	24 that we're not going to get done until at least			
25 THE COURT: Okay.	25 Thursday. So theoretically she's going to be here.			

Colloquy -

	Colloquy -			
	Page 5103		Page 5105	
1	She is not going to be able to deliberate no matter	1	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	
2	what happens. And, plus, you know, I mean, if she	2	THE COURT: All right. We'll see you	
	could deliberate, then it would be a different story;	3	tomorrow morning. Thank you. Let me let you out.	
4	but if she can't deliberate, then I would give her	4	(End of sidebar.)	
	the choice because she may want to spend the rest of	5	THE COURT: All right. We're off of	
- 1	the day Thursday since she's not going to deliberate	6	sidebar and back live.	
7	in a case she's been here for two months already	7	Were we going to work on that issue now	
8	spending more time studying for her actuarial exam.	8	about reading in and there was going to be an	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	9	objection? Something with regard to	
10	MR. MAIMON: That's reasonable.	10	MR. MAIMON: Mr. Orcutt.	
11	MR. DUNST: That would be my	11	THE COURT: Did we finish the Orcutt	
12	THE COURT: Any objection?	12	testimony?	
13	MR. CEDILLO: Not a strong objection,	13	MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor.	
14	your Honor. My instinct was to not do it yet. Let's	14	MR. MAIMON: We have cross. We finished	
15	see what happens. Tomorrow is only Tuesday. We	15	direct.	
16	could pull the trigger, you know, tomorrow or	16	MR. CEDILLO: It's about halfway done.	
17	Wednesday. For now keep her. She may she may	17	THE COURT: Okay. Let me get my Orcutt	
18	make the call closer in time to just stay.	18	binder. I will be right back.	
19	MR. DUNST: I agree.	19	MR. MAIMON: You ruled completely,	
20	MR. CEDILLO: As opposed to release her	20	Judge.	
21	now. It may come down to her call anyway.	21	MR. BERGER: We misunderstood. We	
22	THE COURT: Okay.	22	thought you meant how much time was left on the	
23	MR. CEDILLO: But if you tell her okay,	23	Orcutt video we started already.	
24	now you can stay, she may find that better than	24	THE COURT: No.	
25	Vegas. Let's give her the chance.	25	MR. BERGER: I misunderstand your	
	Page 5104		Page 5106	
1	THE COURT: All right.	1	question.	
2	Miss Bowsky, could you join us again.	2	THE COURT: I apologize. We finished	
3	(Juror returns to sidebar.)	3	page and line designations of Orcutt.	
4	THE COURT: Tell me, where is your	4	MR. CEDILLO: No, your Honor. May I	
5	actuarial test being given?	5	explain?	
6	JUROR: Clark, New Jersey.	6	THE COURT: Yes, because I think Mr.	
7	THE COURT: Okay. And it starts at	7	Berger is telling me something else.	
8	6:30 p.m.?	8	MR. CEDILLO: There is another segment	
9	JUROR: Yes.		of Mr. Orcutt from the Quirin case, but we've worked	
10	THE COURT: I want you to know we		with this, the deposition that we took here.	
11		11	The deposition that we took here centered	
	trial because it has been a significant investment.		on the Longo and Millette studies and photographs,	
	I assure you I will do nothing to interfere with your		and so forth that are not in play. So we'd like some	
- 1	vacation or your test; but if it's okay with you,		very short passages from Mr. Orcutt where he	
	because we just never know what may happen. Perhaps		addressed the Longo pictures that are part of his	
	the testimony coming in will go quicker than I		1995 report.	
	anticipate right now because these are all	17	THE COURT: Okay.	
	projections, would you mind staying with us a little	18	MR. CEDILLO: We have exchanged those	
	bit longer? Again, realizing I'm not going to do		with counsel. When we finished the playing of the	
	anything to impact your taking that test and getting		deposition, because I was concerned about scope	
	out of here in enough time to take that test and your		issues, and so forth, I said, "Heads up, there's	
22	vacation. Is that okay with you?		another segment of Mr. Orcutt that I want read as	
23	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.		part of my direct." And then we exchanged that	
23 24	JUROR NO. 6: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. You're comfortable with that for now?		part of my direct." And then we exchanged that portion with counsel. They have not agreed and they have	

Page 5107 Page 5109 1 objections to it. It's very short, your Honor. So we have an objection to using any of 2 THE COURT: Okay. So I need to see 2 this witness' transcript. This isn't the way it's 3 that. Okay. 3 done, your Honor. You put a witness on once and 4 MS. LONG: We have an objection to the 4 that's your shot. You don't get to go, oh, I don't 5 entire transcript, your Honor, and I would just like 5 like his testimony so now I want to put in his prior 6 to give you some background on this issue. 6 depositions where the other party had no opportunity 7 THE COURT: Sure. 7 to cross-examine. MS. LONG: In early May, Mr. Berger 8 And, again, not an unavailable witness. 9 called me and said, "We want to present Mr. Orcutt by 9 He was willing to come and do a deposition for them. 10 his prior testimony in the Quirin case." And he sent 10 He was willing to come and do trial testimony for 11 me the Quirin deposition. And he said, "If you don't 11 them. As we saw in the video, he's very thankful and 12 agree to that, then we want to do a trial 12 loyal to Lorillard. I'm sure he would if they asked 13 preservation deposition down in North Carolina." 13 make the trip for them. And regardless of whether he I read the Quirin transcript and I 14 would or not, they had their shot. And if Mr. 15 Cedillo didn't ask the questions he wanted to ask, 15 replied to Mr. Berger and I told him, "We don't agree 16 that was a decision he made. I would have conducted 16 to that. We want to do the trial preservation." 17 The week before trial, I went down to 17 the cross different if it was in the middle of trial. 18 North Carolina and took a discovery dep, and then we 18 too, but we live with what we did, what we agreed to 19 did the trial preservation of Mr. Orcutt. And now 19 before trial. And we didn't give them a hard time 20 that we've shown his whole transcript, they could 20 before trial about him being available or 21 have asked him what they want to ask him here at that 21 unavailable. We did them the courtesy of going down 22 video deposition where I would have had an 22 to North Carolina and doing the dep. And now they're 23 opportunity to cross-examine him on the matter. They 23 trying to present the testimony that we wouldn't have 24 did not do that. They chose to ask about certain 24 agreed to anyway. So that's our objection 25 photos and not the published articles. That was 25 MR. CEDILLO: Okay. And you heard Page 5108 Page 5110 1 everything about five different times, your Honor, 1 their choice. They chose to do a trial preservation 2 instead of bringing Mr. Orcutt up here. There was no 2 the same objection over and over again. It doesn't 3 indication in the discovery deposition or the video 3 change the fact --4 THE COURT: It doesn't give it more 4 deposition that he's not able to travel to New York 5 from North Carolina. You saw on the video. He's a weight. Okay. Let's just get to the heart of it. MR. CEDILLO: It doesn't change that 6 healthy man. He's in his 80s, but he can come here. 7 under the rules we can bring him in if it is someone 7 They haven't given any proffer that he's unavailable 8 that was examined by people who had the opportunity 8 to come here. Again, before trial, I made the trip to and the same level of interest. 10 Secondly, what counsel is not telling 10 North Carolina, took the time, prepared to do a 11 cross-examination. Did all that. 11 you is that they made the strategic decision not to 12 And so this would be testimony that we 12 use the Millette and the Longo 2010 and 2012 13 materials at the trial. That was always in the case. 13 haven't had the opportunity to cross-examine on, that 14 they chose how to -- Mr. Cedillo chose how to conduct 14 THE COURT: You mean the unpublished 15 studies? 15 his direct examination. And as he's pointed out many 16 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, the studies that they 16 times throughout this trial, he knows these cases, 17 he's tried these cases before. He certainly could 17 did for litigation and the photographs. And quite 18 frankly, your Honor, that has been the focus --18 have asked about the Longo article in that trial 19 preservation testimony when I had the opportunity to because I do know these cases. That has been the 20 focus that they're going on because they understand 20 cross-examine on the matter. And this is not just an 21 unavailable witness that they're now trying to 21 the -- the junk science that the Cancer Research 22 amounts to. He redid it. He resaid it and came up 22 present via prior testimony. When they made that 23 with all these pictures. And that was what was in 23 offer prior to the trial, we said we don't agree to 24 play at the time that we took the deposition. 24 that. And now in the middle of trial they're trying 25 The availability or not availability, 25 to do something that we could have done before trial.

Page 5111 Page 5113 1 your Honor, is very reciprocal. Mrs. Rose Argento, 1 say, no, bring him to New Jersey. 2 they chose to bring her by deposition. She lives 2 THE COURT: What kind of surgery did he 3 around here somewhere, and I didn't ask them for any 3 have? 4 showing of unavailability. I extended them the 4 MR. BERGER: I don't think he ever told 5 courtesy that they want to bring her by deposition, 5 us. 6 they don't want to bring her live. Fine. I didn't MR. CEDILLO: I think it was the private 6 7 give them a hard time with it at all. 7 kind of --And they were asked -- Mr. Orcutt was 8 THE COURT: Okay. Let's keep it that 9 asked by Ms. Long, the case is going to be tried in 9 way. 10 New Jersey, why can't you make it to trial. 10 MS. LONG: None of this changes the fact He said, "Age and recovering from 11 that Mr. Cedillo had a chance to do his direct. He 12 surgery." 12 chose not to ask about the Longo article. And 13 And she said, "Oh, and I hope you're 13 now -- and robbing me now by putting it in via this 14 recovering well." 14 deposition of the chance to cross-examine on it. 15 So the unavailability rationale was 15 But he made a strategic decision to do a 16 given by the witness. And, yes, we're very grateful 16 video dep before trial. He made a strategic decision 17 that counsel extended us that courtesy, just as we 17 about which studies to question about. They were the 18 were extending them the courtesy as well for 18 parties who moved to exclude the unpublished studies, 19 witnesses that wouldn't have to travel as far. 19 all of them. We prevailed on the published Longo 20 So I think that under the rule we're 20 study, but they moved to exclude all of the studies. 21 allowed to do it, your Honor. 21 That wasn't us, your Honor. We didn't fight on the 22 I'll -- I'll also point out to the Court 22 unpublished studies. We didn't oppose a motion on 23 that when they wanted to do some numbers, 23 the unpublished studies. But that was their motion, 24 calculations that were within the range of what Dr. 24 not ours. So to say we tried to keep them out 25 Longo had done in the '95 article, because they were 25 because we know the Cancer Research article is junk Page 5114 Page 5112 1 within the range even though they weren't part of the 1 science is not an appropriate representation of the 2 article, the Court allowed it. These are the 2 record. 3 What it boils down to is you don't put a 3 photographs that are Dr. Longo used. Certainly, I 4 mean, if within the range is a criterion, we 4 witness on twice, your Honor, and that's essentially 5 certainly fit within the range. 5 what they're trying to do. And, your Honor, we're talking about six THE COURT: How is that, Dr. Reinert, 7 pages of Q and A from the Quirin deposition that go 7 you wanted him in your case-in-chief. We sat 8 through a couple of the photographs that are used by 8 through --9 Longo in the published article. So we're not talking 9 MS. LONG: But that's one party putting 10 about extending --10 him on once and then the other party putting him on 11 THE COURT: You said in the published 11 second. And we needed to put him on in our case. We 12 article? 12 chose to do it by prior video. If they want to bring MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. We're 13 him live to say whatever he's going to say that 14 not talking about, you know, extending this with a 14 wasn't in the video, that's one thing, but this is 15 whole other transcript and go over anything in a way 15 the same party calling the same witness twice, and 16 of rehashing. 16 the second time via prior transcript where we haven't 17 17 had the opportunity to cross-examine. MS. LONG: Well, your Honor, that 18 18 testimony about recovering from surgery was taken two MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, he hasn't even 19 months ago, so if he's -- I don't think that makes 19 gone on once. He's gone on half. And I brought it 20 the unavailability proffer is all I'm saying. 20 up at the end of the week when we were last here 21 THE COURT: How old is he? 21 because I want him to be part of the once. 22 22 MS. LONG: He's in his 80s. And, your Honor, if -- if the thrust of 23 MR. BERGER: 85 or 86. 23 the attack is that Cedillo messed up and should have 24 MS. LONG: That's why we went down and 24 done something, then that's my fault and I should be 25 took the dep. I didn't object to going. I didn't 25 held accountable for it, but you shouldn't hold my

Page 5117 Page 5115 1 client accountable for something. 1 with a Pennsylvania tax stamp. And that's what these The issue of the challenge to the 2 pictures are that Mr. Orcutt is talking about, that's 3 Millette and Longo studies because it's junk science 3 where they come from. 4 and it was an expert challenge, and what they were 4 MR. CEDILLO: They are identified in 5 doing was driven by litigation and we had good 5 words in the article. 6 grounds to ask the Court to consider it. And counsel MR. MAIMON: We don't dispute, your 7 withdrew that and said, no, we're not going to use 7 Honor, Dr. Longo did a study that eventually was 8 him at all so you never had to rule. 8 published. We do not dispute. We've accepted the THE COURT: Okay. So, again, and I 9 representation of counsel that the photographs that 10 realize I may have asked this more than once. This 10 Mr. Orcutt was asked about in the other deposition, 11 testimony that you're seeking to have played to the 11 not the de bene esse for this case -- were from the 12 jury is with regard to pictures that were in the 12 initial study that Dr. Longo did which resulted in 13 published study. Is that correct? 13 the published study. We don't dispute that. 14 14 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. Our complaint is that we've been denied 15 MS. LONG: I don't believe they're in 15 cross-examination of it. 16 the article, themselves, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: So motion to bar the 17 unpublished studies of Dr. Longo came from the 17 MR. BERGER: They are from Dr. Longo's 18 study that was the subject -- the subject of the 18 defendants. 19 19 published article. They are photographs of the MR. MAIMON: Yeah, those are the 20 filter tips and the packs. 20 pictures that Mr. Cedillo showed Mr. Orcutt in his 21 THE COURT: How do we know that? Is 21 de bene esse testimony. 22 that an issue, whether or not they were a part --22 MR. CEDILLO: Because there was an 23 MR. BERGER: It's not. 23 attempt in the discovery not only were they the 24 MS. LONG: It hasn't been proven to us, 24 pictures of Dr. Longo published -- not published but 25 your Honor. 25 prepared for litigation, but also Dr. Millette who Page 5116 Page 5118 1 All right. We accept it. 1 also used Dr. Longo and had a separate set of tests. 2 MR. MAIMON: We've accepted that 2 And those were the subject of motions that were part 3 representation, your Honor. 3 of expert challenges, moving to exclude. You never 4 ruled on that because they withdrew it and said we're 4 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CEDILLO: And one of the pictures is 5 not going to go there. 6 in the -- in the published article, your Honor. THE COURT: But that was after the 7 There is a picture in there. But there are others. 7 deposition. MR. BERGER: Yeah. And in addition to 8 MR. CEDILLO: That happened while we 9 the filter tip pictured in the article, we have -- he 9 were here. 10 has pictures of the packages that the cigarettes he 10 THE COURT: That happened after the 11 tested came out of, as well as from the opened pack 11 deposition took place. 12 you see the tips of the filters. 12 MR. CEDILLO: Yes. 13 13 MR. BERGER: At the time of the THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. BERGER: From the published -- the 14 deposition, the state of the record was the 15 cigarettes that were involved in the published study. 15 plaintiffs had their two experts, Dr. Moline and THE COURT: Okay. You have indicated 16 Dr. Cummings, both had the unpublished 2010 Millette 16 17 that one was included in the published study. 17 study and the unpublished 2012 Longo study in their 18 MR. CEDILLO: Yeah, that I'm certain of. 18 reliance materials. They were at issue in the case

19

20

22

24

25

at the time the de bene esse was done.

MS. LONG: Yes.

21 article in their reliance materials. They were --

23 down and you took a discovery dep first. Right?

MS. LONG: And they had the published

THE COURT: No question. So you went

MR. MAIMON: And during that time frame,

19

22

21 picture?

THE COURT: Okay. Are the other ones

MR. BERGER: Yes. No, the published

20 referenced in the published study or just any old

23 study -- if you remember, in the article he says the

24 cigarettes that I've tested came from two packs, a

25 1952 pack with the Vermont tax stamp and a 1955 pack

Page 5119 Page 5121 1 did anybody get into these issues of the photographs? 1 your Honor, is that this testimony would be 2 cumulative. In the Block videotape that they've 2 MS. LONG: Not of the Longo published 3 article. They did get into the issue of the 3 offered, they showed Mr. Block these exact photos 4 unpublished. 4 that they now want Mr. Orcutt to testify about and MR. CEDILLO: Not here in the discovery 5 asked him do those represent what Kent cigarette 6 deposition, your Honor. That was all her. I didn't 6 would -- would have looked like. 7 ask questions in the discovery deposition. 7 And your Honor ruled about the MS. LONG: Right. I asked about it 8 admissibility of that testimony. Albeit, we weren't 9 because I read his prior transcripts where he talked 9 at those depositions, but under the rules your Honor 10 about the same photo. I probed it in the discovery 10 allows -- you know, that unavailability, Mr. Block is 11 dep. And then on direct, in the video in the trial 11 deceased, and we're stuck with that 12 transcript, Mr. Cedillo spent a lot of time on the 12 cross-examination. So they do have these photographs 13 pictures. But he didn't do the Longo published 13 being questioned and somebody, unfortunately, did a 14 article, so I didn't ask about that. 14 cross-examination, maybe not to our liking, but 15 MR. CEDILLO: Obviously because --15 Mr. Block was produced for trial 16 (A discussion off the record.) 16 testimony -- Mr. Orcutt was produced for trial 17 THE COURT: So could I ask why his 17 testimony. 18 de bene esse, which he was ill, age, recovering from 18 THE COURT: Remind me again -- anything 19 surgery, why you didn't ask about these then? 19 further? 20 MR. CEDILLO: Because, your Honor, that 20 MR. MAIMON: No. And so it would 21 was -- that was my judgment call. The emphasis was 21 even -- even assuming they had done it, it would have 22 on the 2010 and 2012 photographs. They were studies 22 been cumulative of what somebody else said the same 23 that were designed to address all the infirmities 23 thing. And now to deny the cross-examination on 24 that we had challenged Dr. Longo directly on as 24 somebody they already have the testimony on from 25 somebody else we believe even compounds the 25 recently as the Couscouris case. And the entire Page 5120 Page 5122 1 focus in the last two trials in this case have been 1 prejudice. THE COURT: Remind me again, Mr. Orcutt 2 on this new work that Dr. Millette did on Longo 2 3 materials and that Dr. Longo himself redid to try to 3 is who in connection with --4 address it. That was -- that was where the emphasis 4 MR. MAIMON: Sales. 5 has always been in these cases. 5 MR. CEDILLO: He was a salesman that MS. LONG: Your Honor, those were not 6 would go and rotate the stock and was in charge of 7 our trials and they were not Dr. Cummings or 7 making sure that what was on the shelf was sellable. 8 Dr. Moline, so there's no reason they would have 8 And if it was damaged he would remove them and send 9 thought that Dr. Cummings and Dr. Moline would put 9 them back. And I think there's either already or 10 any emphasis on those articles that they didn't put 10 there's going to be testimony that they would be sent 11 on the published article. 11 back because the tag -- the tax tag had value, and 12 So regardless of what Mr. Cedillo's prior 12 they take them back and be able to take them off and 13 experience is, that has nothing to do with this case 13 get a refund for it. 14 because our experts did not at all focus on those 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 articles more than they did the published article. 15 MR. CEDILLO: So that he was involved in MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, their 16 making sure that the product was high quality and 17 experts focus on what they strategically make 17 sellable. And he says that the things that Dr. Longo 18 decisions that they don't have to address because 18 was working with is not anything approximating what 19 they're going to go in another direction. 19 the consumer would be selling. And that's a very 20 THE COURT: All right. I just want an 20 major point in our criticism of Dr. Longo's work. 21 opportunity to look at this real quickly. We'll go 21 MS. LONG: If it's such a key point, I 22 off the record. 22 don't know why he didn't they bring it out in the 23 (A discussion is held off the record.) 23 direct exam. 24 THE COURT: Go back on the record. 24 And, also, they did get out the testimony 25 MR. MAIMON: The only thing I would add, 25 from Mr. Orcutt about how it was important to bring

Page 5125 Page 5123 1 the cigarettes back, and all the stuff about the 1 witness who is unavailable who was there and actually 2 freshness, and the odors, and the heat, and the sun 2 produced or was the engineer behind the production of 3 and the damp. That all came in and he can argue 3 the machine that created the filters and would know 4 about that in closing so he did have Mr. Orcutt on 4 best, you know, what they looked like. 5 that subject. And now this testimony of a salesperson And, again, if it's such a key part of 6 that you could have. I mean, the question does not 7 their case, why didn't they do it so we could 7 allowing this testimony in prejudice to your client 8 cross-examine on it? 8 to the point that it overrides the prejudice to the MR. CEDILLO: And we did do it on the 9 plaintiff who would have been prepared to 10 photographs of the subsequent Longo and Millette 10 cross-examine this witness who may not be able to 11 studies that were prepared for litigation. We did go 11 travel here. And I accept an 86-year-old had 12 into all these topics. We also did it in the Ouirin 12 surgery, might take more than two months to recover, 13 case on the Longo. Did not do it in the deposition 13 Ms. Long 14 of Mr. Orcutt for here as it relates to Longo. 14 MS. LONG: He looks good, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I understand. 15 THE COURT: But I mean, if this is that 16 MR. CEDILLO: And that's why I want to 16 important to you, why can't we produce him by video, 17 use the Quirin. 17 like live? 18 THE COURT: And tell me, with regard to 18 MR. CEDILLO: Well, your Honor, you've 19 Mr. Block, so Mr. Block is already going through 19 heard all my argument. It's certainly within the 20 these photographs in his testimony which the jury 20 range of what was -- everybody was on notice of. 21 will see? 21 There isn't anything -- and if you look at the six 22 MR. BERGER: Yes. 22 pages, there isn't anything that they didn't do with 23 THE COURT: There's a yes? 23 the Millette or Longo later pictures. 24 MR. CEDILLO: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Except those are unpublished 25 MR. BERGER: Yes. 25 studies and that's out of this case. Page 5124 Page 5126 THE COURT: And Mr. Block's role with MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor --1 1 2 Lorillard? 2 THE COURT: Unless they were the same 3 3 pictures that they looked at and there was testimony MR. CEDILLO: He was the guy that made 4 the machine. 4 with regard to that that, you know, may have been MR. BERGER: The chief engineer. 5 recycled in the unpublished data. THE COURT: That's right. So you're MR. CEDILLO: Your Honor, the whole 7 getting that testimony in from your chief engineer 7 unpublished criteria that we've been getting 8 who, as I recall the testimony was there, and they 8 whipsawed with. You're considering unpublished. 9 did the product runs and whatever. So now he was 9 Work that he did in connection with the '95 test that 10 asked to look at the pictures that were either part 10 he chose not to publish because it showed that he got 11 of or referred in the Longo published studies and 11 1/20th of the results when he did it with the 12 give testimony with regard to that. 12 syringe. That is unpublished, and so it's not fair 13 MR. CEDILLO: That's correct, your 13 game? That is exactly why witnesses like Dr. Moline 14 Honor. 14 and Dr. Cummings, if I had been able to confront them 15 15 with that, they would have said, yeah, if it's not THE COURT: And he's unavailable. 16 reproducible, you call it into question. I know 16 MR. CEDILLO: He's dead. 17 exactly what Dr. Roggli would say about that. That's 17 THE COURT: And the plaintiffs have 18 accepted that or they placed their objections on the 18 one of the main reasons he changed his mind when he 19 record. 19 found out that Dr. Longo had the information and 20 So why do you need this in where, you 20 chose not to publish it when it was so diametrically 21 know, I'm really having difficulty struggling with 21 opposed to the results that he did chose to publish. 22 you had the opportunity to ask him, and here are the 22 He's got very strong views on that for that reason. 23 plaintiffs who would have cross-examined on them, and 23 And the reason or the rationale is that it isn't 24 so now we're going to have additional testimony with 24 published? Yeah, he chose not to publish it because 25 regard to photographs that we already have in by a 25 it showed what a piece of junk his work was.

Page 5127 Page 5129 1 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't want to 1 exhibits previously identified but you have not 2 take up time relitigating anew several times over 2 sought admission. All right. You are trying to get 3 this motion that I've already decided. 3 agreement on all the exhibits, like everybody? 4 What I'm asking you is were any of the 4 MR. MAIMON: Yes, your Honor. 5 pictures that he testified to in relation to the MR. CEDILLO: There's others we haven't 6 unpublished studies, were they the same pictures that 6 even offered, yet, Judge, for example, the ones under 7 we're talking about here? 7 the ancient document exception. But we've provided 8 MR. CEDILLO: I do not believe so, your 8 them to counsel. And if I hear counsel correctly, 9 Honor. 9 they may or may not have objection but they do have 10 THE COURT: Okay. Can you make --10 objection about taking time to publish them is what I 11 MR. CEDILLO: They were from -- they 11 heard. If we can at least hear from them as to 12 were from --12 whether they're objecting to the admission or not, 13 THE COURT: Okay. 13 then we know whether you've got work to do with us or 14 MR. CEDILLO: -- other packs. 14 not. 15 THE COURT: I accept that you did not 15 THE COURT: Do you have an understanding 16 ask him. Is there any way that you can make him 16 of that or do you need clarification? 17 available to get this last piece of testimony in live 17 MR. MAIMON: We just have to know which 18 with the pictures obviously in his hands in North 18 exhibits we agree to admission and which ones we 19 Carolina so that counsel can cross-examine him? 19 object to. 20 MR. CEDILLO: I don't know the answer to 20 THE COURT: But I think it went beyond 21 that, your Honor. 21 that. You have other documents that have not yet 22 THE COURT: Why don't you look into 22 been identified? 23 that. 23 MR. CEDILLO: No, in open court here. 24 MR. CEDILLO: Fine. I'll look into 24 We've given them everything to consider. The 25 that. Given the time constraints that we're all 25 question I'm talking about is what Mr. Maimon raised Page 5128 Page 5130 1 under, understandably under, I don't know that I 1 earlier today, that if they agree or if they have no 2 could pull that off this week. I don't know where he 2 objection to admit them over their objection, he 3 is. I don't know how he's doing. 3 doesn't want us taking time to publish them to the THE COURT: Well, and you don't know 4 jury without a sponsoring witness, which I believe 5 unless you try. And in the meantime I'll reserve on 5 I'm entitled to do at least under the ancient 6 that and I'll look at this testimony. 6 document exception. And so that is something that 7 Okay? 7 you would have to take up. 8 8 MR. CEDILLO: All right. THE COURT: Okay. No problem. I'll see THE COURT: So why don't we pick up from 9 everyone at 8:30 tomorrow morning. 10 here tomorrow. We'll stay and do the charges 10 MR. DUNST: Your Honor, there's one 11 tomorrow. If you're able to get a response this 11 other thing. We had moved WCD-17, 18 and 19, which 12 evening, you'll let us know; otherwise we'll start 12 were the 309. And you said we would hold off because 13 with the charges at 8:30 tomorrow morning. 13 Mr. Maimon said there were certain things within 14 MR. MAIMON: Okay. 14 that. 15 THE COURT: There's nothing else I have 15 THE COURT: Right. 16 to do? 16 MR. DUNST: Well, I have not heard 17 MR. MAIMON: Just charges. 17 what's in there. 18 MR. CEDILLO: The only other issue, your MR. MAIMON: I have them with me. I can 18 19 Honor, we have the exhibits that we want to admit 19 go over them with Mr. Dunst. 20 into evidence. And the issue was whether we're going 20 THE COURT: Why don't you do that. 21 to get to publish them or not. But we have never 21 MR. MAIMON: I will. 22 heard from counsel as to what objections they have. 22 THE COURT: Okay, great. 23 MR. MAIMON: We'll let them know tonight 23 All right. So you're going to check 24 what our objections are. 24 with --25 THE COURT: We're talking about the 25 MR. CEDILLO: Mr. Orcutt.

Colloquy -

```
Page 5131
 1
            THE COURT: Yes. I'll see everyone 8:30
 2 tomorrow morning. Thank you.
 3
            (The trial adjourned at 4:52 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                  Page 5132
               CERTIFICATION
 1
2
 3
          I, LINDA M. JORRITSMA, a Certified Court
4 Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify
 5 that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of
 6 the within proceeding as reported by me stenographically
 7 at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.
8
 9
10
11
              Linda M. Jorritana
LINDA M. JORRITSMA, C.C.R.
12
               License No. XI00995
13
14 Dated: August 8, 2016
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

[**& - 1990s**] Page 1

o	107 4825:14,17,20	135 4801:12	5081:23 5116:25
&	107 4825.14,17,20 108 4826:5	5024:23,24	1953 5051:10
& 4799:8,13,16,17	109 4825:21 4827:3	136 4801:13	1955 5022:6
4799:20 4800:3,7	109 4825:23	5029:14,16	5116:25
4802:21,23,25	4829:14	137 4801:13 5033:7	1956 4805:24
4803:3 4838:4,7,10	10:30 4886:22		4892:23 4920:22
4838:13,22 4889:10		5033:8,8	
4982:23	11 4801:9 4868:18	138 4801:14 5075:2	4953:7 4995:10
0	4926:24 4927:1,2 4927:18 4939:15	5075:3 139 4801:14	5009:24 5051:10 5081:24
001 5071:4	4940:6 4951:23	5080:24	1957 4806:13
006 4926:12	110 4829:17		1957 4806:13
01 5026:4		14 4824:20 4825:1,3	
08108 4799:19	115 4829:24	4883:11 4938:10	4826:6,9 4828:18
08903 4800:5	116 4821:17	5019:23 5089:14	1960 4806:13
	4829:24,25	140 4801:15 5088:5	4826:14
1	117 4832:24	5088:7,11,18	1964 4826:15
1 4809:2,4 4994:22	11th 5097:12 5100:6	141 4801:15	1968 4857:5
5002:8 5026:1,2,4,5	5100:14	4989:11 5001:6	1970 4953:4 5001:3
5026:5,9,9 5029:6	12 4801:9 4804:24	5088:6	5001:12 5005:21
5030:11 5097:21	4807:2 4850:14	15 4825:2,5 4853:5	5022:6
5098:3 5102:6	4851:10,13,18	4886:25 4928:4	1970s 4941:25
1,300 4859:8	4852:7 4854:1	4948:1,1,10,22	4950:21 5011:6
1,445 4879:1,5	4879:23 4937:21,23	4957:23 4962:24	1972 4857:5
4880:2 4903:6	4949:6,10 4950:15	4985:8 5000:3	4939:12 5006:7,10
4937:4,5,8,18	4954:4,5,11 4955:1	5019:21 5055:1	5007:6 5078:15
4938:4 4949:5,20	5016:5	5058:22	1973 4840:2,21
4953:24 4961:22	120 4833:5,13	150,000 5094:4	4944:20
4987:24 5002:3	120-19 4833:14	153 5032:5	1976 4841:2,14
1.2 5084:17	121 4833:6	16 4824:25 4825:5	4860:1 4865:15
1/20th 5046:22	121-24 4833:14	4825:19,20 4883:12	5011:16 5012:21,24
5126:11	127 5075:9	4952:1	1979 4865:13
10 4807:11 4824:19	12:30 4971:9	17 5130:11	1980 4841:14
4824:24 4825:3	12th 5100:7	18 4818:12 4819:14	4865:13,16 4876:20
4829:17 4868:15	13 4928:8	5089:14,15 5130:11	1980s 4950:21
4893:22 4908:5	13,000 5093:18	18.7 5071:18 5072:4	5006:2,16,25
4915:20,21 4920:18	130 4801:10 4981:8	5072:16	5007:5,7 5015:2
4932:12 5026:22	4981:10,12	19 4803:21 4816:2	1981 4862:18
5052:1 5055:1	131 4801:10 4984:2	4817:19 4833:5	4888:1 4902:12
5056:16 5072:20	4984:3	4871:17,25 4872:5	1985 4888:5 5001:3
100 4872:17	132 4801:11	5065:23 5130:11	5005:22
4876:15 4893:25	5000:16,18 5074:10	191 5012:4	1987 4866:3
4908:5 4914:1	133 4801:11 5011:9	1950 4953:4	1988 5092:7
4915:5,13 5074:2	5011:11	1950s 4830:4 4928:1	1990 4862:20,23
10022 4799:5	134 4801:12	4946:6 4995:3	4966:12
	5019:10,12	1952 4827:23	1990s 4900:7 4901:1
		4892:23 4995:10	4904:13 4939:11

[1990s - 52] Page 2

4946:7,9	2000 4863:3	2345 4799:14	40 4800:4 4808:9
1992 4866:11	4878:21	24 4833:6 4901:20	4876:2,6 4906:23
	2000s 4901:2		4909:14,16 4918:4
4901:19 4902:1,15 1993 4877:15	2000s 4901.2 2001 4878:22	4930:15,18,24 5096:22 5099:22	4920:22 4921:4
4937:14	4907:3 4908:1	249 5081:3,4	4976:22 5081:22
1994 5029:25	4912:11 4922:15	25 4821:8 4825:19	41 4803:20
1995 4851:2 4891:2	4923:14	4825:20 4939:21	43 5032:3
4909:16 4925:24	2002 4878:23,24	26 4928:23	44-6 4803:22
4926:17 4959:17	4903:5 4938:1,10	268 4937:17	44-7 4804:24
5062:5 5065:16	5024:21 5031:15	27 4979:6 4981:6	46-18 4807:16
5106:16	2004 4866:13	4982:3	48-7 4807:17
1996 4867:12	2006 4876:20	27th 4990:21	480 4800:5
4894:12 4920:22	4963:2	29 4817:17,19	4839 4801:4
5019:14 5021:6,13	2007 4901:22	3	4926 4801:9
5042:3 5059:21	5088:11	3 4808:18,20 4809:2	4937 4801:9
5065:23 5080:19	2008 4912:9 4913:6	4809:10 4817:22	4974 4801:4
1997 4867:13	4926:10,19 4927:5	4981:15,17,19,20	4981 4801:10
4871:17 4873:3	4929:13,16	5021:22,23,24	4984 4801:10
4889:25 4890:2	2009 4903:11	5026:22 5032:3	4:30 5094:12
4894:11 4895:11	4925:23		4:52 5131:3
4899:19 4907:2	2010 5110:12	5059:13	5
4925:10 4986:16,22	5118:16 5119:22	3,600 4930:15,17	_
4986:25 5042:3	2012 4951:23	5092:23	5 4809:3,13 4913:21
5044:11 5059:21	5110:12 5118:17	30 4881:14 4914:1	4914:5 4932:11,18
1998 4805:1,24	5119:22	4921:4 4956:8	4943:4 5072:2,4,18
4806:14,19 4807:6	2013 4979:6 4981:6	4976:22 5067:13,22	5072:21 5075:18
4810:23	4981:14 4982:4	5068:6,10,12	5087:19 5090:3
1:30 4973:24	5021:22	3000 5073:17	50 4932:17 5081:22
2	2014 4866:14	309 5130:12	500 4799:9 4854:5
	4873:7,12 5088:12	30th 5019:14	4915:23 5055:24
2 4808:18,20 4809:2	5089:2	32 4817:22	5056:11 5057:6
4809:7,10 4948:17	2015 5075:4 5088:1	35 4876:16	5093:15
4948:18 4951:17	2016 4798:21	36 4930:15,20	5000 4801:11
4954:16 4955:8,13	4802:4 5132:14	360 4799:18	5011 4801:11
4981:14 4994:23	21 4822:11 5075:4	397 5000:24	5019 4801:12
5012:4 5021:22		5005:25	5024 4801:12
5030:11 5102:18	210-822-6666	398 5000:24 5006:1	5029 4801:13
2,600 4859:6	4799:11	399 5016:4	5033 4801:13
20 4816:2 4881:14	212-605-6200	3rd 4990:23 4991:2	5075 4801:14
4914:1 4915:9,20	4799:5	4	5080 4801:14
4915:22 4920:19	22 4819:15,18,24,25	4 4809:2,7,11	5088 4801:15,15
4921:1 5068:4	4822:11,13 5075:11	4811:8 4823:18	50s 4944:15
20,000 5084:9	2234 5071:5	5072:20	52 4819:14,17,23
200 4865:3 4876:15	2235 5070:15		4826:7,10 4896:3
	5071:11	4,000 4876:8,25	4898:17 4968:15

[53-16 - action] Page 3

53-16 4819:19	5093:21	4894:25 4911:9,18	absorb 5054:1
5418-12 4798:2	700 5056:6 5093:12	4914:12 4919:15	absorbability
4802:8 4837:16	5093:25	4923:4	5054:1
55 4808:18	70s 4941:25	925 4835:3,11	academic 4853:21
56 4798:19 4811:8	72 5007:1	928 4835:12	academy 4853:17
4826:8,10 4896:3	732-545-4717	94 4877:19	accept 5054:5
4898:17 4968:15	4800:6	95 4811:5,10	5116:1 5125:11
56-2 4809:16,18	74 4824:16,17	4854:16 5111:25	5127:15
57 4810:2 4811:16	755 4799:10	5126:9	accepted 4865:4
58-6 4809:17,18	76 4824:18 4841:13	99 4969:21,22	4990:2 4994:8
6	78 4955:7	99.95 5074:22,24	5035:11 5091:19
6 4868:4 4926:7	78212-3135 4799:10	5075:14	5093:18 5116:2
5035:1 5043:11	8	99.95. 5074:25	5117:8 5124:18
5099:15,20,25	8 4798:21 4802:4	a	accepts 5045:6
5100:5,8,11,21	4809:22 4811:8,8	a.m. 4798:21	access 4878:2
5104:23 5105:1	4829:17 4835:11	5098:22 5099:12	5027:12
6.5 5093:21	4868:8 5032:4	abatement 5008:15	accessory 4879:10
60 4819:7,9 4832:24	5095:17 5096:1	5009:8	accommodate
4894:13 5084:16	5132:14	abatements 5008:18	4838:20
60-18 4812:15,17	80 4988:11	5008:22	accomplish 4872:12
600 4854:6 4930:14	800 4799:4 5056:7	abdomen 4986:7	account 4830:22
4930:16,20 5092:22	5056:11,14	abide 4830:5	4831:5 4915:19
61 4819:10 4821:8	80s 4888:7 5011:6	ability 4861:2	4919:17
61-11 4812:15	5108:6 5112:22	4908:3 5045:1	accountable
61-25 4820:4	81.3 5072:12	5054:1 5066:2	5114:25 5115:1
62 4820:21 4894:14	817 5031:20,23,24	5076:17	accounted 4899:11
62-25 4820:8	82 4888:3	able 4808:6 4850:5	4954:18 4958:17
64108-2663 4799:15	83 4888:3	4860:22 4878:14	accounting 4880:1
65 4821:21 4822:9	85 4988:12 5112:23	4901:15 4902:7	4950:2
4822:10 4893:25	86 4880:19 5112:23	4932:9 4979:24	accurate 4818:19,20
4915:5 5074:12	5125:11	4991:15,18 4993:4	4818:23 4847:9
67 4823:18 5088:19	88 4954:8	5009:2 5028:2	4916:10 4985:14
681 5084:4	8:37 4798:21	5036:13 5038:6	5132:5
683 4965:24	9	5045:22 5046:1,5	accurately 4813:11
69 4824:14	-	5071:25 5097:8	4846:22 4850:5
6:30 5100:18	9 4807:10 4820:19	5103:1 5108:4	ace 4878:3
5101:10 5104:8	4820:21,23 4822:3	5122:12 5125:10	acids 5010:24
7	4823:18 4868:10	5126:14 5128:11	5011:4
7 4807:1 4817:22	5055:1 5098:22 5099:12	abnormal 4880:20	acknowledge
4835:12 4868:7	90 4879:22 4949:12	absence 4830:18,18	4967:2 5040:15
4963:3,11 4966:15	4954:18	absolutely 4960:15	act 4850:15 4908:21
5030:4 5032:4	90s 4873:16 4878:20	5043:20,23 5045:21	5054:2
5050:4 5052:4 5052:1 5056:10,13	4890:16 4891:20	5058:12 5093:7	action 5000:1
5083:5 5085:17	4893:7,17 4894:7		action 5090:1
5005.5 5005.17	+073.1,11 4074.1		

[active - analysis] Page 4

2 24 2 2 4020.2	admitted 4062.10	-:- 4014.22 4015.12	amasita 4977.16.21
active 4930:2	admitted 4963:10	aid 4814:22 4815:13	amosite 4877:16,21
actual 4817:1	adults 4881:12	air 4909:6 4910:5,6	4878:9 4898:25
4848:14 4858:18	adunst 4800:6	4913:24 4914:2	4899:11 4935:7
5027:6 5070:11	advance 4863:14	4923:21 4934:18	4936:18 4937:14,19
actuarial 5100:1,14	adverse 5090:4,6	4945:15 5003:15,16	4938:6,11 4939:11
5101:7 5103:8	advertising 4820:14	5014:21 5055:7	4940:7,9,12,18
5104:5	aerodynamic	5076:24 5077:2	4941:2 4944:9,12
ad 4798:4	4923:19 4934:11,14	airborne 5090:1	4944:21,24 4945:7
add 4806:10 4863:1	aerodynamically	airtight 4808:8	4945:8,20 4946:15
4863:6 5041:5	4924:1,14	airways 4882:22	4946:17 4953:9,11
5120:25	aerodynamics	4914:8 4921:21,23	4958:19 4968:23,25
added 4862:24	4923:16 4924:5	al 4798:8 4802:8	5007:23 5009:13,18
4925:13	affect 4934:14	4837:16	5010:8,15,21
adding 4862:15	4972:21	alan 4800:3 4803:2	5017:8 5025:7
addition 4854:11	africa 4940:17	4838:12	5083:15 5084:21
4869:9 4873:23	afternoon 4974:15	albeit 5121:8	5085:4
4876:10 4981:5	afterthought 4903:4	alcohol 4952:22	amount 4859:10
5013:3 5034:23	age 4928:7,9	alert 4995:13	4891:5 4896:18
5061:8 5093:24	5111:11 5119:18	allegedly 4960:9	4900:15 4910:5
5116:8	agency 4908:2	allow 4818:21	4915:1 4918:18,25
additional 4856:10	4912:13 5033:15	4821:6,14 4832:19	4919:21 4920:8,20
4862:2 4876:11	agent 4898:16	4837:2 4862:22	4924:16 4935:25
4893:12 4899:21,23	4969:1 5054:2	5064:3,5	4936:3,10 4956:16
5124:24	aggregates 5071:15	allowed 5036:22,23	4956:17 5015:12,22
additionally 4924:2	5071:19 5072:8	5063:17 5064:1	5054:14 5070:10
5030:18	ago 4857:5 4940:16	5068:6 5111:21	5082:13
address 4961:25	5046:8 5092:4,4	5112:2	amounts 4848:13,23
4994:5 5023:24	5112:19	allowing 5039:11	4857:6 4858:1
5119:23 5120:4,18	agree 4850:20	5125:7	4901:25 4958:19
addressed 4832:14	4879:13 4883:22	allows 4858:10,17	5015:21 5110:22
4873:8 4922:8	4884:3 5017:22	5121:10	amphibole 4893:25
4927:23 5031:15	5027:15 5028:18	alter 4924:4	4928:5 4936:13,17
5106:15	5033:21 5040:14	althea 5060:14	4936:22 4962:25
adds 4972:12	5083:24 5103:19	aluminum 5067:16	5010:25
adequate 5027:18	5107:12,15 5108:23	alveoli 4913:20	amphiboles 4936:20
adequately 4922:8	5129:18 5130:1	4933:14	5025:7 5043:4
5038:20 5046:2	agreed 4832:13	alzheimer's 5028:2	5048:25 5049:17
adjourned 5131:3	5076:19 5106:25	amanda 5099:20	ana 4798:13
admissibility 5121:8	5109:18,24	amber 4799:4	analyses 4901:5,8
admission 4834:1	agreement 5129:3	4802:12 4837:19	4901:13 4902:22
4963:13 5129:2,12	ah 4829:7 4915:8	ambient 5055:6	4903:19 4938:13
5129:18	4994:14	america 4875:6	4960:8
admit 5128:19	ahead 4955:25	american 4842:4,5	analysis 4843:5
5130:2	5102:4	4842:17,20 4874:19	4849:8,12 4856:24
		5011:15 5022:5	4857:1,10,11
	1	-	l

[analysis - argento's] Page 5

4858:25 4859:3,15	animated 4817:1	appeared 4811:23	areas 4843:4
4862:10,11 4868:18	animation 4813:3,4	4815:19 4895:17	4847:24 4854:10,12
4870:13,22 4877:2	4813:5,8,10,21	5019:17	4882:9 5064:14,24
4877:4 4878:9	4814:4,16,18,19	appears 5034:11	argento 4798:5
4879:11 4886:2	4815:7,11,12,23	5090:1	4802:7 4806:5
4895:11,24 4896:6	4817:14,24 4818:5	apples 4827:11	4837:15 4885:19
4896:9 4897:11,18	4818:15	4834:5	4897:16,19 4943:7
4898:9,22 4899:24	annually 4902:16	applicable 5028:24	4943:8 4947:16
4904:1 4907:4,7	answer 4808:21	applications	4950:20 4951:23
4908:13 4911:23	4809:5 4811:17	4870:21 5010:10	4952:6,8,16
4912:12,15 4922:15	4817:21 4818:1	applied 5074:11,12	4953:13,18 4955:14
4923:14 4925:14	4819:16 4898:3	applying 4992:15	4956:13 4957:24
4926:3 4936:1	4954:23 4961:19	5010:17 5024:3	4958:2 4959:2
4937:18 4939:8,22	4971:17 5016:13	appreciate 5104:11	4979:7 4982:2
4941:6,19 4942:3	5020:18,23 5036:3	approach 4830:20	4985:2,24 4988:17
4943:9,18,23	5039:14 5075:16	4849:24 4928:13	4988:23 4989:22
4958:18 4964:15	5081:19 5127:20	4929:21 4981:7	4990:7 4994:9,22
4965:14 4967:7	answered 4898:5	4990:10 5043:8	4995:25 4996:6
4968:17,18 4969:23	4928:23	5063:13	4997:9 4999:20
5009:2 5032:6	answers 4883:23,24	approached	5000:6 5001:11
5043:5 5048:24	4919:5 5020:19	4929:25	5002:24 5004:1
5049:19 5050:5	anthophyllite	appropriate	5006:1,15 5007:5
5063:3,7,12	4936:21 5083:16	4821:14 4929:10	5008:8 5009:9
5065:13 5069:7	anticipate 4971:7,8	5036:25 5037:11	5010:3 5011:19
5078:3 5083:23	5097:10 5104:17	5114:1	5015:15,23 5016:20
analyst 5065:12	antonio 4799:10	approved 4948:5	5022:4 5030:25
analyze 4860:4	anybody 4827:13	approximately	5039:20 5041:11,20
4943:14 5008:22	4867:6 4901:3	4997:18 5084:15	5046:14 5049:10,14
analyzed 4860:17	4912:14 4913:1	approximating	5051:7 5082:19
4903:7,15 4964:13	4997:10 4998:11	5122:18	5111:1
4965:14 4966:7	5020:21 5119:1	approximation	argento's 4834:2
5018:11 5050:10	anyway 4826:3	4891:23	4885:23 4942:8
5079:20 5080:2,6	4840:18 5103:21	archaic 4878:4	4943:23 4946:21,24
analyzing 4848:12	5109:24	archives 5091:18	4947:9,21,23
4880:18 4907:23	apologize 4926:23	area 4821:13	4957:14 4958:11
4943:16 4959:13	5106:2	4842:14 4845:10	4979:14,19 4980:16
ancient 5129:7	apparatus 5067:9	4848:10,18 4852:2	4980:22 4981:3
5130:5	apparently 4806:5	4854:18 4864:22	4982:17,25 4983:23
andrew 5033:11	4828:16,22 4883:2	4865:19 4867:1	4984:4,8,12,20
anew 5127:2	5079:19	4907:11 4930:6	4987:9,15 4992:25
angry 4849:21	appear 4940:3	4956:4 4961:6	5000:14,19 5014:18
animal 4856:5	5091:23	4979:3 4993:17	5021:18 5023:9
4868:16 4869:25	appearances 4802:9	5058:2,18 5064:11	5024:10 5035:8
4893:16,23 5054:18	4837:17	5064:21 5071:25	5050:25
5079:2,4			

[argue - asked] Page 6

1000		100=0 1000 1 5 5 1	
argue 4929:1	articles 4826:16	4897:8 4898:16,24	5015:15,25 5016:24
5044:17 5046:3	4854:15 4877:14	4899:16,25 4900:11	5018:2,4 5020:5,7,8
5123:3	4961:7 5088:14	4900:16,19,21	5020:12 5022:13
argued 5044:9,14	5107:25 5120:10,15	4901:24 4903:20	5023:14 5024:2
argument 4826:13	articulated 4818:9	4910:5 4920:20	5027:1,17,22
5088:21 5125:19	arts 4840:7	4930:6,11 4931:23	5028:7,20 5029:20
arises 4986:2,6	asbestiform 5023:19	4932:23 4933:7,16	5029:20 5030:14
arrived 4825:9	5024:15 5026:18	4934:23 4935:1,4,4	5031:8,16 5032:6
arrow 4923:22	5029:21 5035:11	4935:10,14,25	5032:15 5034:7,10
4924:8,12	5040:11	4936:2,4,5,10,13,23	5034:16,18,23
art 4826:17 4827:10	asbestos 4804:4,8,18	4937:9,14 4938:3	5035:16,21 5039:22
article 4811:11	4804:20 4805:20	4939:10,18 4940:16	5039:23 5040:25
4833:24 4873:9	4806:23 4810:1	4941:16 4943:15	5045:6,16,18,20
4878:25 4879:1	4833:20 4836:2,9	4944:2,5,5,6,20	5050:1,19,22
4907:16 4912:5	4836:15,16 4845:25	4945:10 4946:8	5051:13 5052:7,18
4918:2 4925:23	4846:19,23,24	4949:14 4951:12,24	5053:1,22 5054:15
4926:10,16,18,20	4847:22 4848:13,23	4951:25 4953:2,9	5055:20 5056:22
4927:4 4928:2,18	4854:11,21 4855:2	4956:3,6,8,19	5058:4,8 5060:15
4928:21 4930:1	4856:14 4857:3,6,7	4957:2,10,15	5061:23 5062:6,17
4937:8 4939:20	4858:1,8,12 4859:7	4958:1 4960:10	5062:18 5066:3
4953:24 4961:23	4859:22 4860:4,10	4964:3 4965:5,9	5068:25 5069:7,11
4962:23 4963:18,25	4860:10,23 4862:9	4966:1,12,21,24	5070:22 5071:2
4964:4,8 4965:1,9	4864:11,14 4865:7	4967:1,16 4968:4,9	5075:21 5076:3,7
4966:8 4967:22,23	4865:7,9,10,12,12	4968:10 4969:1,6	5076:15,18,22
4968:5,7 4970:2	4865:22,22,25	4969:11,16,23	5077:17 5078:8,13
4973:3,4 4987:23	4866:24 4867:2,17	4977:10,14 4980:1	5078:19 5079:11
4989:15 5002:3,5	4867:18,20,22	4980:18 4981:1	5081:9 5082:16
5011:12,15 5012:4	4868:9,13,17,25	4983:20 4987:19	5083:13,20,22,23
5012:20 5024:19,25	4869:10,12,15,19,20	4990:3 4991:5	5084:8,10,14,24
5025:3,5 5029:17	4869:23,24 4870:8	4992:15 4994:5,9	5085:11 5086:7,9
5029:19 5031:15,20	4870:8,23 4871:14	4994:11,15,23	5086:12,16,17
5039:25 5055:24	4871:23,24 4872:16	4995:4,10,14,20,22	5087:8 5088:15
5057:15,15 5061:8	4872:24 4876:1	4996:1,3,7,8,9,13,17	5089:3,3,3,10,24
5062:5,19 5065:17	4877:14,17 4878:10	4996:22 4997:3,9	5090:2,10,14
5066:17,23 5070:9	4879:13,18 4880:5	4998:3,8 4999:24	5091:3,22,25
5083:3 5084:1	4880:10,20 4881:1	5000:6,8 5002:15	5092:4 5094:4
5088:2,7,11,12	4881:19,21 4883:13	5002:18,25 5003:6	asbestosis 4862:8
5089:2 5091:13	4883:15 4884:12,17	5003:14,22 5004:2	4867:25 4869:4,5
5108:18 5111:25	4884:20,24 4885:7	5004:23 5005:11	4871:14 4938:24
5112:2,9,12	4885:13,23 4886:7	5006:6,11,13,16,24	aside 4982:6 5018:9
5113:12,25 5115:16	4886:8,13,14	5007:1,6,20	asked 4826:14
5115:19 5116:6,9	4887:19,24 4888:12	5008:12,14,24	4828:2 4878:5,13
5116:23 5117:5	4888:13,20 4891:6	5009:3 5010:2	4896:8 4907:18
5118:21 5119:3,14	4892:5 4893:1	5012:1,8,11,17	4919:5 4928:18
5120:11,15	4896:2,10,19	5014:5,18 5015:11	4943:22 4964:13

[asked - bar] Page 7

4987:12 4998:24	5022:18	australian 4850:24	b.a. 4839:25
5018:25 5019:25	assumed 4891:22	author 4826:9,10,11	bachelor 4840:7
5020:4 5022:18	4915:4 5039:24	4927:9,10	bachelor's 4840:22
5020.4 5022.18	5050:5 5051:3	author's 5032:6	
		authored 4981:14	back 4816:15,19 4817:11 4826:7
5040:8 5050:12,16	assuming 4911:11		
5065:22 5080:10,13	4915:24 4920:17	5029:17	4827:10,23 4829:22
5081:4,15 5082:25	4921:3 4967:12	authors 4867:9,11	4829:25 4834:25
5091:12 5107:21	4994:11 5022:23,24	4912:5,20 4927:8	4852:13,18 4864:1
5108:18 5109:12	5035:15 5045:6	4964:3,25 4966:10	4873:16 4890:16
5111:8,9 5115:10	5121:21	4967:2 4968:5	4893:17 4905:15,17
5117:10 5119:8	assumption 4891:25	5087:23	4919:15 4923:4
5121:5 5124:10	4906:22 4908:20,24	autopsied 5027:9	4930:23 4931:19
asking 4813:9	4908:25 4914:25	autopsy 4897:6,7	4940:21 4944:16
4818:22 4825:2	4915:12 4918:13	4976:19	4973:17 4974:9
4851:23 4930:10	4921:5 5020:20,21	availability 5110:25	4997:22 5002:6
4984:19 5127:4	5046:4,4	5110:25	5006:10,14 5008:20
asks 4817:19 4821:8	assumptions 4890:5	available 4853:11	5028:14 5035:5
aspect 4854:16	4891:3 4892:4,8	4888:17 4912:13	5036:5 5039:18
5045:13 5052:13,15	4925:12	4940:19 4973:7	5048:14 5051:4
aspects 4854:17	assure 5104:13	5015:5 5094:14	5059:11 5066:14
assemble 4822:4	asterisks 5012:25	5109:20 5127:17	5074:3,9 5086:25
assembly 4808:1	athletic 4840:12	avenue 4799:4,10,18	5094:14,14,16
5068:4	atmosphere 5076:14	average 4853:24	5095:11 5096:10,19
assess 5089:3	attached 4924:3,16	5056:23,25 5074:13	5098:7,21 5099:22
assessing 5007:17	attachment 4858:17	averaged 5094:3	5102:18 5105:6,18
assessment 4964:2	attack 5114:23	averages 5093:15	5120:24 5122:9,11
4964:24 4968:4	attacks 4847:18	avid 4831:4	5122:12 5123:1
5063:18	attempt 4857:2	aware 4897:23	background
assessments	4916:4 5117:23	4905:14 4929:22	4839:22 4869:3
4925:18	attending 5091:7	4935:17 4939:9	4891:6 5041:7
assist 4853:12	attention 5096:16	4978:25 4989:1,20	5055:6 5082:23
4893:17	attorney 4823:1	5011:3 5041:21	5107:6
assisted 4914:11	4861:17,18 4999:9	5051:15 5053:21,24	bacteria 4933:15
associated 4854:6	4999:10	5053:25 5059:23	bad 5033:23,24
4865:25 4868:9	attorneys 4799:7,12	5068:21 5078:12	badges 4973:20
4876:1 4877:14	4799:16,20 4800:7	5087:22	5058:25
4880:5 4885:8,13	5096:24 5097:6,10	awareness 4907:13	bag 5004:19
4886:8 4888:13	5098:15,24	4914:17	bagging 5015:1
5029:21	attribution 4872:24	awesome 5095:22	ball 4914:4 4967:4
association 4850:8	augello 5095:16,18	b	ballpark 4923:9
4855:10 4856:4	august 4798:21	b 4798:8,12 4801:7	band 4840:11
4894:19 5034:9	4802:4 4979:6	4802:7 4809:22	banned 5006:6
5078:14	4981:6 4982:3		bar 5072:20
assume 4909:25	4990:21 5132:14	4811:8,8 4837:15	5090:25 5117:16
4918:16 5020:11		5070:18 5071:11	

[based - board] Page 8

based 4812:10	behalf 4802:10,12	benning 4966:12	4979:20,24
4843:25 4852:12,12	4802:15,20,24	berger 4799:14,15	bilaterally 4956:18
4862:13 4879:16	4803:2,5 4824:5		•
	·	4802:22,23 4806:10	bin 5078:3,4
4884:15 4885:2,6	4837:22 4838:3,7,9	4806:11 4807:8	binder 5105:18
4891:1 4892:22	4838:13 4889:14	4808:4,22 4809:10	biochemistry
4914:24 4916:23	4982:19,22 5078:13	4810:14,25 4811:15	4840:1,22
4918:3,8 4947:14	5091:23	4812:4,19,21	biological 5089:24
4953:12,17 4955:13	behave 4923:25	4814:11 4815:15,17	biomedical 4870:21
4957:19 4959:13,16	belied 4831:3	4816:6 4817:8,10	biopsies 4976:13
4959:18 4961:7,11	4992:23	4825:21 4826:4	biopsy 4845:2
4979:22 4987:4	belies 4804:11	4829:22 4833:9	4942:16 4947:6
5036:8 5038:2,13	believe 4816:21	4834:20 4835:6	4976:18 4977:5,9
5038:25 5045:25	4825:14 4827:19	4838:5,6 4926:21	5048:24
5056:14,15 5075:17	4830:6 4847:5	4971:12 4973:13,14	bit 4839:18 4845:14
5078:3 5089:23	4851:3 4871:3	5105:21,25 5106:7	4861:4,6 4877:22
5097:9	4889:24 4891:2	5107:8,15 5112:23	4895:23 4910:1
bases 5046:6 5047:9	4894:19 4900:12,20	5113:4 5115:17,23	4934:12 4937:3
5060:8 5079:9	4920:17 4926:10,13	5116:8,14,22	4975:9 4989:19
basic 4869:4	4932:2 4938:7	5118:13 5123:22,25	4997:6 5000:13
4940:15	4943:12 4947:19	5124:5	5007:22 5050:9
basically 4870:14	4948:16,19 4951:18	berman 4908:1,12	5058:18 5104:19
5056:18	4955:6 4957:15	4911:22 4912:21,22	blanket 4882:23
basing 4993:2	4963:3 4976:2	4912:24 4915:8,15	4921:22 4922:3
basis 4820:9,11	4980:23 4984:9,15	4922:14 4923:13	4934:7
4833:11 4835:20	4984:17 4986:17	4932:11 4961:8,10	blanks 5070:5
4871:6 4891:15	5001:9 5002:23	5056:2,14 5068:22	bleach 4857:21
4892:14 4958:10,13	5003:5 5021:6	5077:23,25 5078:5	5069:13,19,19
4959:20 4964:9,10	5035:3 5042:15	5078:7	5075:20
4992:21 5040:2	5052:2 5077:24	best 4844:14	block 4803:17
5046:3 5049:2	5086:4 5094:11	4931:20 4995:10	4810:6,17 4812:10
5058:8 5082:8	5115:15 5121:25	5125:4	4817:23 4818:3
baylor 4841:1,2,7	5127:8 5130:4	better 4825:10	4828:2 4830:2
4842:10	believed 4890:5	4840:11 4861:5	4837:4 5121:2,3,10
beam 4858:19	4900:12 4925:9	4971:24 5103:24	5121:15 5123:19,19
4860:13	4956:16	beverage 4974:9	block's 4815:19
beat 4921:24	believes 4951:24	beyond 4852:22	5124:1
becaause 5094:20	5037:4,5 5047:14	4858:16 4960:17,18	blocks 4943:1
becklake 5011:23	bell 5050:14	4970:18 5129:20	4945:3,3
5011:25 5012:20	belong 4850:8	bias 4823:24	blood 4844:1
becoming 4816:13	belt 4816:12	big 4827:1,15,16	board 4816:21
began 4914:22	belts 4816:9	4846:12 4851:24	4842:4,5,17,20
beginning 4804:20	bene 5117:11,21	4972:9 5039:1	4843:6 4851:19
4818:12 4833:5	5118:19 5119:18	bigger 5072:21	4967:17 4975:11,14
begins 4967:15	benign 4849:17	bilateral 4956:7	4975:20,25 4976:1
	4868:4	4958:14 4969:14	4979:3 5042:17
			1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1

[board - canadian] Page 9

		T	I
5055:15	4911:14 4920:9	bulk 5008:22	4914:21,23 4916:6
boards 4975:18	4923:6 4954:21	bunch 4923:7	4916:22 4917:1,7,8
bodies 4858:8,12	4971:14 4973:16	bundle 4911:8	4917:10,12,17,19
4867:22 4966:12	5058:16,22	4923:5,7	4918:2 4919:1,17
4977:10 5034:17	breaking 5094:18	bundles 4893:3,11	4919:23 5111:24
body 4859:7	breathe 5018:1,4	4911:7 4923:3	calendar 5096:20
4869:23 4885:16	breathed 4894:2	burden 4856:24	california 5100:12
4920:16 4933:7	4915:21 4921:20	4857:1,10,11	call 4838:23,25
boilermaker	5076:25	4859:3,3,12,15	4846:13 4847:1
4955:12	breathing 5003:15	4861:12 4893:14	4849:7,8,23 4856:7
boilers 4945:4	5015:10,20 5076:17	4895:24 4896:9,14	4858:8 4883:8
boils 5114:3	5076:22 5078:21,24	4896:15 4897:11,18	4907:8 4933:10
book 4866:8,10,19	bridge 5064:23	4898:8,21 4899:14	4934:6 4935:20
4867:6 4869:10	bring 4964:8 5028:7	4899:23 4901:4,7	4942:25 4944:25
4870:12,13,17,20	5044:8,14 5110:7	4901:13 4902:21	4949:13 4950:25
4871:7 4887:7	5111:2,5,6 5113:1	4903:19 4938:13	4952:13 4976:20
5062:17	5114:12 5122:22,25	4941:6,19,22	4977:1 5091:1
books 4854:15	bringing 4974:8	4943:9,18,22	5103:18,21 5119:21
4865:21 4870:7,10	5063:25 5094:13	4968:17,21 4971:1	5126:16
4971:25	5096:6 5108:2	5074:20 5075:12	called 4807:19
borrow 4981:21	british 4850:23	burdens 4899:15	4826:2 4860:7
bottom 5030:3,11	broke 4898:22	4900:24,25 4940:2	4869:3 4870:13,21
5032:18 5033:25	4987:23	4941:10 5074:6	4871:9 4881:21,22
boulanger 5088:8	broken 4860:17	business 4989:5	4883:15 4888:21
5088:12 5089:1	bronchi 4914:8	butnor 5024:21	4921:24 4927:5
boulevard 4799:14	bronchial 4934:8	buy 4821:2 4857:22	4934:24 4938:1
bounce 4923:24	bronchus 4924:18	5006:25	4939:21 4940:25
bound 4805:23	brought 4887:8	buying 4887:10	4969:19 4976:19
bowsky 5099:20	4890:10 4965:5	c	4977:1 4986:9
5104:2	5096:16 5114:19	c 4798:5 4799:1	4995:17 5012:15
box 4800:5	brown 4799:17	4800:1 4982:2,2	5037:1 5051:21
boy 4866:6	4858:9 5079:19	5002:9 5070:18	5057:14 5060:19
brake 5091:23,24	brownconnery.com	c.c.r. 5132:11	5090:21 5107:9
5092:13	4799:19	calcification 4847:1	calling 4836:23
brakes 5091:25	brunswick 4798:20	4956:9,18	4937:5 5114:15
5092:5	4800:5	calcified 4956:13	calls 4809:20
branch 4868:11	budding 4919:10	4969:14 4979:20,24	campaign 4831:1
brand 4807:19	builder 4985:20,21	calculation 4916:16	canada 4851:13,17
4820:13,15,22,24	4987:7,14	4916:22 4918:9	4852:22 4935:12
4821:1	building 5062:21	4920:6,13,19	5025:21,25
break 4813:17	5063:20	calculations 4891:1	canadian 4850:13
4824:23 4886:18,18	buildings 4940:24	4891:4,15 4892:9	4850:23 4851:9
4892:2 4893:12	4941:1 4989:11	4892:15,21 4894:4	4853:7,17 4874:13
4895:22 4906:3	5001:6	4906:5,6 4914:18	4876:12 4877:5
4908:16 4910:20,22		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4978:12,21

[cancel - caused] Page 10

cancel 4883:3	5127:19	5092:7 5093:12,16	categorized 4949:17
cancer 4811:10	carpenter 5002:11	5096:21 5097:8,14	4988:14,23
4831:18,21 4832:3	carpenter 5002.11	5103:7 5106:9	category 4846:12
4832:9 4833:16,21	case 4810:23,23	5103.7 5100.9	4878:12 4909:19
	*		
4833:24,25 4844:25	4826:3 4834:7,24	5111:9 5114:7,11	4939:6 4954:13
4845:1 4847:12,12	4835:25 4852:11,11	5117:11 5118:18	caught 4863:1
4849:25 4854:18	4852:14,15,16,17,20	5119:25 5120:1,13	causal 4893:8
4868:7 4871:15	4854:3,23 4855:1	5123:7,13 5125:25	5081:12
4881:11 4883:2	4855:11,18,21,25	cases 4823:20	causation 4834:5
4891:8 4906:13	4856:2,5,8 4863:10	4850:17 4853:16,24	4848:11,20 4868:3
4907:16 4918:1	4863:22 4866:23	4855:12 4856:20	4900:14,15 4921:9
4926:15 4927:12	4876:8 4879:1	4859:8 4861:12	4932:14 4977:4,11
4938:24 4959:23	4885:19 4887:25	4862:16,17,19,22,25	5003:11 5037:3,17
4970:2 4980:11	4888:1,2 4889:3,25	4863:6,19,19	5087:16
5034:18 5062:6	4895:11,12,16	4866:5 4868:22	causative 4900:18
5110:21 5113:25	4896:1,4,5,5	4876:5,11,13,22,25	5080:17
cancerous 4844:21	4899:19 4903:25	4877:5 4878:8,9	cause 4845:21,25
4844:23	4907:25 4930:2	4879:8,23 4882:1	4846:1,3,5 4871:24
cancers 4846:20,22	4931:11,12 4937:6	4882:10 4888:5,22	4878:10 4879:14
4848:4 4850:2,3	4942:3,9,12,16	4894:13,14 4896:25	4881:9,16 4882:3
4868:8 4897:1	4943:11,19 4953:13	4899:9,21,23	4882:14,23 4883:6
cap 4930:15,17	4955:21 4957:4	4900:1,22 4901:24	4890:25 4891:21
5092:23	4958:3,8 4960:3	4903:6,7,15,17	4895:1 4903:23
capable 4864:22	4963:1,22 4964:23	4904:2 4931:4	4904:5 4910:22
5061:13 5092:5	4965:14 4967:10,11	4936:9 4937:4,8,17	4911:10 4922:19
capped 5068:5	4967:11 4969:13	4938:4,18,21	4931:23 4932:10,12
capping 5067:15	4973:22 4979:7	4949:5,11,12,18	4935:5,8,11,12,15
carburetor 4902:4	4982:2 4983:20,23	4950:2,12 4954:9	4957:14 4958:2,7
carcinogenesis	4984:20 4987:14	4954:18,20 4956:6	4959:4 4962:1,5
5034:10	4998:12,19,22	4957:9 4958:19	4985:16 4986:14
care 4824:14,17,22	4999:9 5006:22	4962:23,24 4976:9	4987:8,15 4992:14
4825:6 4940:21	5009:16 5015:5	4980:12 4984:16	4992:16 4997:14
5041:15 5081:20	5017:11,14 5019:16	4987:2,24 4988:2,5	4998:9 5015:3
career 4846:8	5019:25 5020:1	4988:8,14 5018:12	5017:4 5024:4
4848:19 4859:4	5021:8,10 5026:22	5025:6 5027:11,21	5026:10,19 5031:18
4864:8	5026:22 5029:2,4	5032:4,5,14,21	5034:24 5037:4,5
careful 4849:22	5035:6 5037:3	5035:2 5061:17	5037:11 5040:1
5065:14	5042:6,8 5045:10	5079:19 5081:5,5	5041:1,3 5045:2,7
carefully 4857:17	5048:15,20 5049:21	5108:16,17 5110:19	5058:8 5079:11
4942:21	5051:20,20 5054:13	5120:5	5086:18 5087:20
carload 4833:24	5054:18 5059:15	cast 4904:3	5088:16
carolina 4839:13	5061:4 5075:6	categories 4827:2	caused 4831:18,21
4841:18,24,25	5079:20,23 5080:5	4849:9 4879:8	4845:12 4846:11,20
5107:13,18 5108:5	5080:8,17 5081:1	4884:21 4908:17	4879:18 4880:16
5108:10 5109:22	5082:4 5085:15,16	4950:1 4954:11	4904:12 4956:6,7

[caused - chapters] Page 11

		I	
4956:19 4957:15	4827:1 4828:23	5119:15,20 5120:16	5038:3 5074:2
4958:11 4962:5	4829:16,21,23	5122:5,15 5123:9	certificate 4948:6
4977:14 4978:20	4830:6,9,12,17	5123:16,24 5124:3	certification 4842:3
4980:1 4981:2	4832:6,13,21	5124:13,16 5125:18	4975:14 4976:1
4982:11 5016:25	4833:1,4,10,14,23	5126:1,6 5127:8,11	5005:6,9 5132:1
5019:18 5024:10	4834:4,12,15,17,21	5127:14,20,24	certifications
5041:25 5042:16	4835:2,7,12,16,19	5128:8,18 5129:5	4841:4 4975:20
5052:3 5059:22	4835:22,24 4836:19	5129:23 5130:25	certified 4798:17
5081:10 5082:9	4837:4,23,25	cedillo's 5120:12	4975:11 4979:3
causes 4832:2	4838:24 4839:5	cell 4837:10 4933:11	5005:4,11 5132:3
4880:25 4881:5,23	4840:8,16 4886:5	4974:8 5059:9	certify 5132:4
4882:22 4883:5	4886:15 4887:4,5,6	cellophane 4808:7	cetera 4816:10
4885:3 4980:4	4898:2 4904:25	cells 4844:17	chair 5099:9
5045:8	4905:6,14,16,22	4849:20 4850:10	chairman 4852:10
causing 4856:11	4906:1 4917:4,9,22	4868:12 4921:23	4871:6
4892:17 4899:7	4926:22 4928:17	cement 4939:10	challenge 5115:2,4
4922:25 4958:24	4929:1 4951:20	4952:9,13,14	challenged 5119:24
5003:23 5020:2	4952:25 4960:20	5013:4 5014:3,5	challenges 5118:3
5034:23 5052:7	4961:14,20 4963:14	center 4901:19	chambers 5099:10
5053:1 5055:20	4964:12,22 4965:12	centered 5106:11	chance 5103:25
5081:10 5086:22	4966:22 4967:14,20	certain 4803:15	5113:11,14
5090:10 5092:5	4967:23,25 4970:21	4842:8,9,16 4843:4	change 4863:11
caustic 5010:25	4971:3,8 4973:10	4844:23 4846:17	4895:5,7 4904:8,12
5011:4	4981:20,23 4992:6	4848:8 4860:25	4918:8 4923:12
cc 5067:19	4993:8,12,16	4873:7 4890:4,5	4925:2,7,19
cc's 5067:23	5018:20,23 5019:4	4891:3 4904:22	4959:20 5077:21
cedillo 4799:8,9	5036:12 5038:11,18	4915:17 4918:2	5110:3,6
4801:4 4802:16,17	5042:21 5043:7,11	4925:2 4932:4	changed 4888:13
4803:7,10 4804:3	5043:14 5046:12,19	4933:6 4992:19,20	4890:11,14 4902:10
4805:7 4806:1,7	5046:25 5047:3	5003:22 5075:23,25	4914:25 4917:1
4807:10,13,21	5057:17,21 5058:10	5075:25 5081:11	4922:23 4986:18
4808:24 4809:2,6,9	5058:13 5063:13,17	5107:24 5116:18	5044:6,7,23 5046:7
4809:13,15 4810:7	5064:8,12 5065:1	5130:13	5077:14 5092:9
4810:9,13 4811:1,4	5094:16 5095:12	certainly 4818:23	5126:18
4811:10,13 4812:5	5101:1,10,16,23	4822:23 4845:24	changes 4849:18
4812:17,23 4813:13	5103:13,20,23	4906:2 4935:7	4925:1 5113:10
4813:18 4814:5,21	5105:13,16 5106:4	4991:13,21 5010:7	changing 5046:4
4816:18,23,25	5106:8,18 5108:14	5015:12 5043:16	5091:25
4817:4 4819:22,25	5109:15,25 5110:6	5046:19 5047:3	chapter 4867:17,19
4820:17,21 4821:18	5110:16 5112:13	5048:4 5064:5	4867:21,24 4868:1
4821:21,25 4822:8	5113:6,11 5114:18	5072:19 5097:16	4868:4,7,8,10,15,18
4822:10 4823:10,15	5114:23 5115:14	5108:17 5112:3,5	4887:12,14 4893:22
4823:17 4824:3,13	5116:5,18 5117:4	5125:19	4894:20 5035:1
4824:16 4825:1,5,8	5117:20,22 5118:8	certainty 4884:1	chapters 4854:15
4825:10,14,19,22,25	5118:12 5119:5,12	5014:17,17 5020:13	4868:19,24 4869:2

[chapters - clorox] Page 12

4872:18 4971:25	chosen 4978:8	4894:16 4895:13	claimed 4896:1,10
characteristic	chris 4940:15	4898:13 4900:3	4898:11,12 4899:15
4805:5,16	chronic 4882:2,3,9	4903:8,16 4906:21	4899:24 4900:2
characteristics	chrysotile 4935:8,9	4906:23,24 4909:13	4948:8,9 4958:1
4806:4 4811:21	4935:11 4953:9	4918:5,14 4920:21	5081:7,8,9
4880:4 4884:17	4986:14 4987:3	4926:2,5 4928:1,3	claiming 4957:25
characterize	5009:22,25 5010:16	4928:12 4948:15	claims 5051:7
4963:18	5010:19 5012:18,22	4957:5 4959:15	clarification
charge 4810:10	5013:4 5016:25	4960:8 4962:1	5129:16
4930:25 4931:10	5017:2 5025:12,16	4965:3 4966:24	clarified 4916:21
5006:2 5092:18,22	5025:20,24 5026:10	4968:10 4969:7,11	4917:2 4967:17
5092:25 5093:1,4	5028:24 5029:4,4	4983:16 4995:2,9	clarify 4939:2
5093:25 5122:6	5029:12 5030:14,20	4997:2,13 4998:2,8	4951:24
charges 5128:10,13	5031:17 5039:25	4998:8 5017:17	clark 4800:7
5128:17	5041:1 5050:22	5018:19 5019:18	4802:25 4803:3
charles 4798:8	5055:25 5056:4	5020:1,25 5041:23	4838:10,13 5104:6
4802:7 4837:15	5057:4 5083:16	5042:1,17 5044:11	class 4846:4
chart 4950:23	chrystal 4798:8	5045:18 5051:8	classified 4878:8
cheaper 4804:9	4802:7 4837:15	5052:4 5053:9,18	4881:19
check 4981:18	churg 4854:3	5054:11 5056:10	clean 5004:6
5067:3 5130:23	5080:6	5060:3,15 5061:3	5007:11
chemical 4951:1	cigarette 4806:8	5061:12,22,23	clear 4892:7,24
4954:1,8,9 4955:1	4832:2 4836:1	5062:7 5066:3,7	4917:5,6,10 4935:8
4958:17 4988:18,21	4882:13,19,21	5067:2 5081:23	4995:23 5063:22
4988:21,25 4989:6	4888:21 4889:14,17	5082:9 5083:20	5074:17
4989:6,8 5010:19	4889:22 4890:17	5116:10,15,24	clearance 4882:20
5013:7 5034:2	4891:24 4894:9	5123:1	4915:2,16,20
chemically 4989:8	4896:2,11 4899:16	cilia 4921:24	4916:2 4917:14,18
chemicals 4953:5	4903:21,23 4908:21	circles 4827:23	4918:23 4920:8,18
4955:6 4988:24	4909:8 4922:24	circumstance	4921:11,12,19
chest 4874:19,22	4927:21 4957:11	4897:4 4954:13	4922:1,4,8,16,18,19
4880:13 4956:7	4967:17 4969:2	circumstances	4933:2 5077:8,9
chief 4810:17	4970:8,18 5020:10	4842:24 4844:20	cleared 4916:10
4841:10 5114:7	5020:14 5053:23	4949:24 4992:19,20	4920:16 4921:9
5124:5,7	5060:23,23 5067:6	5037:20,21	5087:5,8
childhood 4881:11	5067:15 5071:3	ciriello 5097:21	clearing 4921:7
chip 4803:16	5076:16,16 5077:17	citation 4928:24	5077:22
choice 5058:5	5078:22,25 5081:8	cite 4928:1,23	clears 5077:15
5103:5 5108:1	5081:11 5121:5	5024:19,25	client 5115:1 5125:7
chose 4807:23	cigarettes 4803:24	citing 4960:12	client's 4991:10,22
5046:25 5058:3	4808:10 4810:12	city 4799:15	clifton 5022:5
5107:24 5108:1,14	4830:4 4831:17	claim 4903:20	clinicopathological
5108:14 5111:2	4832:5 4833:25	4948:14 4959:1	4938:3
5113:12 5114:12	4835:13,25 4886:3	4962:19 5019:18	clorox 4857:21
5126:10,20,21,24	4888:19 4889:12		

[close - concerns] Page 13

close 4851:14	4834:24,24 4837:3	4956:2 5030:13	complete 4842:12
4883:4 4886:17	4844:14 4845:1	commonly 4884:24	5097:1
4950:6 4981:15	4853:13 4855:24	4937:10	completed 4894:12
closely 5040:9	4857:17 4863:17,18	community 5089:20	completely 5057:24
closer 5103:18	4871:22 4902:18	comp 4888:5	5105:19
closing 5097:11	4914:17 4927:21	companies 5006:20	component 4915:17
5123:4	4931:19 4934:2	5006:23 5091:23,23	4915:18,19 4916:1
clothes 4949:16	4961:4 4973:17	5092:13	4916:3 4921:19
clothing 5007:13	4976:10,13 4993:21	company 4798:8	5009:25
5016:1,3,12	5020:9 5038:11	4802:7 4837:16	components 4810:1
cluster 4914:4	5039:9 5092:12	4838:1 4889:9	4915:16
4923:18,23 5072:21	5094:14,16 5102:16	4901:4 4927:1	composition
5073:9	5102:17 5103:21	4929:15 4937:23	4860:14 4870:16
clusters 4892:2,2	5108:6,8 5109:9,10	4948:21 4951:1	5009:23 5034:2
4908:9,13 4910:22	5117:3	4982:20 4983:12,12	compound 4983:1
4913:12,16 4918:21	comes 4812:13	4989:2,23 4990:7	4984:6,13,18
4923:14 4924:3	4850:12 4861:21	5010:6 5011:5	4985:3,16,23
5068:25 5073:6	4934:24 4978:23	5021:19 5022:5	4987:14 5030:19
clutches 5092:1	5019:5 5025:21,25	compare 4857:9	compounds 5121:25
coal 4845:25	5076:1 5077:16	4936:3,9 4966:8	compressed 4819:2
4933:15,16	comfortable	compared 4894:17	compression
coated 4858:9	4850:19 4870:4	5055:12	4813:12 4815:21,22
4934:13	5104:24	comparing 5027:2	4816:3,5,10,13,16
coauthors 4988:5	coming 4807:25	5052:15	4818:14
cocoon 5004:10	4813:25 4816:19	comparison 4909:1	comprise 4851:19
cocoons 5016:6	4821:2 4822:25	compartment	compulsive 4861:4
cohorts 4855:16	4824:25 4829:9,10	4922:1	4875:13
collapses 4813:22	4961:11 4987:12	compartments	computer 4813:4
colleagues 4870:12	5025:16,17 5031:16	4921:13,13 4922:16	4862:20 4878:3
4877:16	5104:16	compatible 4863:5	concentrate 4857:25
collect 4858:20	commencing	compensated	4861:3
4860:13	4798:21	4854:7 4930:10	concentration
collected 4862:16	comment 4813:9	compensation	4939:4
collectively 5031:4,7	comments 4904:23	4930:13	concentrations
collector 4933:11	commercial 4893:25	competence 4975:24	4919:22 5090:2
college 4841:1,8	4928:5 4962:24	competency	concern 4827:7
4842:11 4874:19,21	5025:6	4820:12	4904:25 4905:5
colloquy 4824:18	commercially	competent 4842:7	5098:25 5099:24
column 4878:17	4935:7 5030:12	4842:18 4864:21	concerned 4877:22
5012:22	commitment 5102:5	competing 4961:3,4	4905:17 5064:25
columns 4862:12	common 4846:4	compiled 4861:11	5106:20
come 4809:3	4848:6 4880:14	compiling 4861:14	concerning 4982:1
4813:21 4815:4	4881:11 4936:22	4862:15	concerns 4827:23
4819:5 4822:13	4937:1 4938:6	complaint 5117:14	4831:16 4964:19
4823:21 4825:4	4949:24 4954:19		5098:10

conclude 4887:17	confront 5126:14	consultation	contaminates
4920:14 5020:9,13	confronted 4827:20	4843:15 4876:7,9	5025:24
5081:16,18 5095:2	confronting	4947:5 4999:6	contaminating
concluded 4803:19	5018:24	5032:6 5078:10	5023:19 5024:15
4892:25 4965:15	confused 4848:4	consultations	5035:12
5025:14	confusion 4850:9	4876:14	contamination
conclusion 4891:4	conjunction 4884:9	consulted 5078:8	4946:17 4958:1,9
4891:19 4916:15	connection 4821:3	consulting 5094:4	4995:18 5025:25
4917:12 4919:1	4966:17 5122:3	consumer 5122:19	5026:5,8,10,17,17
4922:5,11 4938:6	5126:9	consuming 4861:2,8	5026:18 5029:2,8
4967:8 5026:8	connery 4799:17	contact 5008:8	5040:24,24
5088:19 5089:8	consensus 4871:22	5010:3	content 4880:21
conclusions 4892:9	consider 4864:21	contacts 4949:14	4893:1 4943:15
4893:17 4900:7	4889:6 4900:18	contain 5030:18	4969:23 5017:19
4908:23 4914:17	4920:24 4922:10	5051:13	5021:2 5026:18
4919:14,16 4941:7	4929:8 4941:14	contained 4831:2	5032:20 5062:19
5065:24 5066:1	5063:2,6,23 5064:6	4933:24 4941:2	contention 5009:16
5089:17	5065:11 5076:7	4953:8 4967:10	contents 4883:13
condition 4807:22	5097:18 5115:6	4995:4,14 5007:5	5068:11
4808:2 4809:22	5129:24	5007:20 5020:6	context 4977:23
4813:11	considerable 4904:4	5035:9 5038:9	5062:21
conditions 4879:10	4907:20	5049:3	continue 4822:13
conduct 4898:8	consideration	containers 4942:21	4840:23 4900:12
4899:14 5108:14	4904:8 5008:3	containing 4869:20	4993:25 4994:2
conducted 4859:13	5015:4 5062:5	4888:20 4927:25	5058:14 5059:10
4879:17 4897:12,18	considered 4893:11	4968:10 4986:14	continued 4800:1
4899:13 4902:24	4919:20 4921:1	4991:6 4994:5,11	4802:5 4837:13
4906:12 4948:25	4972:11 5082:7	4995:9 4999:24	4863:6 4938:12
4970:1,5 5046:22	considering 4918:20	5000:6,8 5010:8,15	continues 4878:10
5109:16	5126:8	5010:16 5022:13	continuing 4803:14
conducting 4858:25	considers 4929:9	5023:14 5040:12	contractors 5008:21
4907:15 4941:19	consistency 4820:23	contains 4850:22	contrary 5088:15
conduit 4964:16	consistent 4812:7	5030:20	contrast 4808:1
4966:5	5068:15	contaminant	5056:19
conference 4840:17	consistently 4821:2	4935:10,10 5030:13	contribute 4891:21
confident 4879:13	5044:13	contaminate	4899:8 4903:23
confirm 4830:18	constraints 5127:25	5025:20 5040:25	4922:19,25 4931:23
4856:6 4976:14	construction 5002:6	contaminated	5017:19 5021:1
4977:1	5002:10,10,17	4958:6 4994:15	5029:9 5087:16
confirmed 5048:16	consultant 4828:8	4995:20 4996:1	contributed 4959:4
5049:11	4828:12 4829:9	5028:19,25 5029:5	4962:5 4976:22
confirming 4979:7	4988:9	5031:10,12 5032:15	4981:2 5040:3
conformance	consultant's	5032:23 5034:7	5081:10
5071:12	4827:21	5035:3,16,20	contributes 4960:25
		5039:22,23,25	

4 22 43 4000 7	10.10 1 10.50 10.20	5015 1 5010 10	5002.0.10.25
contributing 4890:7	4848:1 4859:19,20	5017:1 5019:19	5093:8,19,25
4892:16 4893:18	4860:20 4872:10	5021:13,22 5022:20	5115:13 5124:13
4894:8 4895:1	4873:20 4876:3	5022:23,25 5024:11	corrections 4829:2
4899:6 4900:21	4883:20 4887:15	5024:13,17,21	correctly 4982:7
4958:7 4959:8	4889:17 4891:16	5025:1,8,12,17,21	5001:8 5013:11
4984:22 4985:13	4898:9,10,13,17	5026:5 5027:23	5022:9,14 5032:16
4987:8 4991:7,11	4908:21 4909:17	5028:3,4,13,21,25	5032:25 5066:9
5017:25 5023:20	4918:5 4926:16	5029:6,12,17	5067:10,17 5068:7
5024:16 5035:13,25	4929:13 4931:1,13	5030:1,4 5031:1,18	5070:24 5087:20
5036:10,20 5037:10	4932:22 4933:1,5	5033:6,9,15,16	5088:24 5089:5
5038:1,2,24	4935:1 4941:8,20	5034:7,20 5035:9	5090:5 5129:8
5040:12 5041:3	4943:24,25 4948:22	5035:13,15,18	correlated 4940:7
contribution 4871:8	4958:3 4959:5	5037:10 5040:3	5025:16
4984:11 5007:17	4963:16 4968:11,15	5041:4,12,13,20	correlates 4958:20
5017:21 5021:3	4972:16 4974:19,20	5042:1,4,7,24	correlation 4938:3
contributory	4974:21 4975:2,7	5043:5 5048:17,21	4940:9,12 4956:21
4983:19 4990:3	4975:18 4976:1,6	5048:25 5049:4,8	corroborate
4994:9 4997:3,14	4976:15,23 4977:17	5049:12,15,18,23	5020:21
control 4810:21	4978:1,10,22	5050:6,7,11,20	corrosion 5010:19
4812:6 4820:25	4979:4,5,8,16,20	5051:1,13,17	cosmetic 5030:19
4857:9 4883:14	4980:1,2,16,17,22	5052:8,11,19,23	5031:1 5032:14
4935:20,23 4936:5	4980:23 4981:3,4	5053:2,6,19	cough 4932:20
4936:8,24 4937:2	4981:14 4982:4,12	5054:11,16,20,24	coughed 4934:9
4970:7,23 5027:5	4982:17,20,23	5055:13,25 5056:5	counsel 4819:21
5027:13,16,18,21	4985:1,17,18,25	5056:23 5060:4,8	4824:18 4832:14
5028:1,7,12	4986:7,10 4987:5,6	5060:11,16 5061:18	4981:19 4997:7
controls 4970:4,16	4987:9,10,16,17	5062:2,8,11,25	5019:7 5027:4
5027:2 5070:5	4990:4,7 4991:25	5063:3,7,12	5037:13,15 5048:4
convened 5096:19	4994:6,10,16	5065:12,14,17,20	5064:2,6,19 5094:7
convenience	4995:4 4996:5,10	5066:13 5068:13,19	5100:23 5106:19,24
4863:25 4864:4	4996:18,24 4997:4	5068:20 5069:9	5110:10 5111:17
convenient 4950:13	4997:15,19,25	5070:18 5071:5,9	5115:6 5117:9
converted 4863:3	4998:6,15,19	5071:13 5072:9,13	5127:19 5128:22
conveyor 4816:9,12	4999:3,6,14 5000:8	5072:15,18 5075:4	5129:8,8
copies 4867:6	5000:11,21 5001:24	5075:7,15,16	counsel's 5064:15
copy 4812:18	5002:19,20 5005:7	5076:5 5077:6,19	count 4858:7,12
4937:25 4963:6	5005:17,23 5006:16	5078:2,9,22 5079:4	4910:9 4913:12
5021:24	5007:8,24 5008:3,9	5079:12,17 5082:5	4916:8 5075:21,23
corners 4906:12	5008:12 5009:5,18	5082:9,16,20,23,24	5076:2
correct 4807:12	5009:19 5010:4	5083:13 5084:2,10	counted 4851:16
4809:8,11,12	5011:12,16,23	5085:8,21,24,25	4859:7 4893:4
4810:24 4812:1	5012:1,8,12 5013:4	5086:10,18 5087:24	4913:13 5084:8
4814:24 4828:15,19	5013:8 5014:9,11	5088:12,16 5089:20	counting 4893:15
4829:15 4839:10	5014:14,20,22	5090:11,18,22,25	4908:8 4909:20
4840:19,20 4847:14	5015:11,17,21	5091:4 5092:15,19	4911:2 4918:21

5071:12	4832:19,22 4833:3	5094:13,20,23	cover 4867:16
country 4824:1	4833:7,11 4834:9	5095:1,7,11,14,18	4873:11 4931:16
4845:25 4872:8	4834:14,16,18,23	5095:23 5096:4,9	covered 4867:14
4881:24,25 4936:18	4835:4,9,14,17,20	5096:12,15 5097:25	4869:25 4920:2
4939:10	4836:3,17,25	5098:5 5099:16,19	4921:21 4931:7
counts 4859:8	4837:5,8,21 4838:2	5100:3,6,9,13,16,19	5057:18
4914:24	4838:8 4839:1,4	5100:22,25 5101:4	covering 4952:7
county 4798:1,19	4840:4,6,14 4886:9	5101:12,18,21,24	5004:3 5006:14
couple 4843:18	4886:12,16,24	5102:1,9,12,15	5010:15,16 5015:1
4866:13 4877:16	4887:5 4889:4,8	5103:9,12,22	coverings 5009:21
5003:10 5048:8	4892:13 4897:14	5104:1,4,7,10,24	crazy 4924:13
5088:4 5112:8	4898:1,4 4901:10	5105:2,5,11,17,24	create 4804:11
course 4861:22	4904:15 4905:2,13	5106:2,6,17 5107:2	4813:23 4861:14
4886:21 4919:22	4905:24 4906:2	5107:7 5110:4,14	5099:23
4947:1,10 4976:12	4909:4 4916:18	5111:22 5112:2,11	created 4805:8
4992:1 5019:8	4917:6,20,23,25	5112:21 5113:2,8	4810:9 4811:25
court 4798:1,18	4927:14 4928:14	5114:6 5115:6,9,21	4813:8,24 5125:3
4802:3,14,19,24	4929:6,9,20	5116:4,13,16,19	creating 4812:11
4803:4,8,13	4930:14,23 4959:25	5117:16 5118:6,10	4817:25
4804:15 4805:6,11	4960:19 4961:5,9	5118:22 5119:17	credibility 4823:24
4805:13 4806:9,16	4961:15,18,21	5120:20,24 5121:18	4824:7 4992:24
4806:21 4807:4,12	4963:12,16 4964:6	5122:2,14 5123:15	4993:22 5039:11
4807:14 4808:11,14	4964:8 4965:19,23	5123:18,23 5124:1	credible 5034:11
4808:19 4809:4,7	4966:3,10 4967:18	5124:6,15,17	criteria 4872:23
4809:12,14,18	4967:21,24 4968:1	5125:15,24 5126:2	4900:15 5126:7
4810:5,8,11,22	4970:11,25 4971:4	5127:1,10,13,15,22	criterion 5112:4
4811:2,7,12,14,24	4971:10,13,16	5128:4,9,15,25	critical 4819:4
4812:8,20,25	4973:12,15 4974:2	5129:15,20,23	criticism 4902:6
4813:5,14,16	4974:7 4981:8,18	5130:8,15,20,22	4927:12 4928:20,25
4814:1,3 4815:16	4990:11,13,16	5131:1 5132:3	4929:5,7,8,9 4960:5
4816:1,17,22,24	4991:3,8,24 4992:2	court's 4904:20	5122:20
4817:3,7,10 4818:8	4992:10 4993:11,14	4905:7,15 5039:8	criticisms 4904:22
4818:11 4819:9,13	4993:19 4994:2	5064:17	4928:19
4819:17,20,24	5018:21 5019:6	courtesy 5109:21	criticized 4960:22
4820:2,5,7,9,16,20	5036:3,24 5039:7	5111:5,17,18	5088:1
4821:4,23 4822:2,8	5039:14 5043:9,13	courthouse 4798:19	critique 4961:6
4822:21 4823:9,11	5043:22,25 5044:8	courtroom 4837:6	crocidolite 4890:6
4823:12,16 4824:2	5045:9 5046:18,24	4886:23 4887:2	4890:23 4894:1
4824:4,11,15,21	5047:2,5,17 5048:9	4919:6 4974:1,5	4895:14 4898:20
4825:7,9,13,16,20	5048:12,14 5057:19	5059:3,6 5095:24	4899:1 4900:3
4825:24 4826:25	5058:12,14,19,21	5099:14	4901:23,25 4903:8
4827:25 4828:25	5059:4,8 5063:5,8	courtrooms 5092:15	4903:12,14,17
4829:13,15,20	5063:15 5064:3,9	courts 4966:6	4904:3 4919:21,25
4830:1,8,11,16	5064:14,18,24	couscouris 5119:25	4920:23 4925:15,24
4831:15 4832:12,15	5065:3,7 5094:7,10		4926:1 4927:6,20
		1	1

[crocidolite - deep] Page 17

4927:22,24,25	4993:21 5037:1,12	d	5103:6
4928:4,10,12	5039:10,12 5058:2		days 4854:3 4871:21
4930:1 4935:7	5064:22 5080:10	d 4801:1	4939:24 4940:21
4936:19 4938:16,19	5101:23 5105:14	daily 4861:25	4972:22 5079:2,6
4939:1,3,7,9,14,17	5107:23 5103:14	damaged 5122:8	5096:22,22 5099:22
4939:24 4940:1,6	5107:23 5100:11,13	damaging 4909:11	de 5117:11,21
4940:10,12,19	5113:14 5114:17	damp 5123:3	5118:19 5119:18
		danger 4804:5	
4941:2 4946:1,3,12	5117:15 5121:12,14	dangerous 4804:12	dead 5124:16
4946:16,17 4960:11	5121:23 5123:8	4832:2 4833:20	deal 4851:24
4962:6,11,13,19,20	5124:23 5125:10	daniel 4800:4	4854:16 4870:11
4962:22,23 4966:20	5127:19	4803:2 4838:13	4972:9
5013:22,24 5017:7	crossed 4828:5,11	daniels 4800:7	dealing 4810:20
5017:15,18,19	4829:8	4802:25 4803:3	4848:7 4849:25
5018:13,15,16	crumb 4961:8	4838:10,14	4850:6 4866:23
5020:5,7,7,11,14,22	crumbled 4945:13	darnton 5055:23	4953:4 4978:15
5020:25 5021:2	4945:14	5056:19	5068:23 5078:20,24
5025:7 5045:15,17	crump 4908:13	data 4904:24	deals 4826:1 4850:9
5045:19,23,23	4912:21,21,22,25	4917:16 4919:17	4868:4,7,8,10
5046:10 5047:12,14	4915:8,15 4922:14	5014:22 5045:25	4870:17
5047:15 5049:3,7	4923:13 4932:11	5056:17 5070:10	dealt 4813:19
5050:1 5051:16	5056:2,14 5068:22	5071:9 5089:23	4814:2,15 4815:2
5052:6,14,18,25	5077:23 5078:1,5,7	5126:5	4970:13 5047:22
5053:5,9,10,13,15	5078:9	database 4859:12	5056:21 5069:1
5053:18,19,22	crump's 4908:1	4861:11,14,24	death 4842:25
5054:15,19,23	4911:23	4862:15,21 4863:4	4897:10
5055:7,19,24	ct 4956:15	4863:8,9,11,13,24	debate 5089:19
5056:3,9,22 5057:3	cummings 5061:9	4876:24 4877:3,4,6	decades 4880:24
5060:2,24 5062:6	5118:16 5120:7,9	4877:9,13 4878:4	4882:7,12 4887:20
5066:6 5070:11	5126:14	4878:13 4879:17	4940:16 5078:9
5071:4 5073:3	cumulative 4941:11	4883:10,10 4950:9	deceased 5121:11
5074:6 5077:10,15	5121:2,22	4998:14,18 4999:8	decide 4844:25
5079:3,7,11,15,21	cures 4881:13	4999:19,20,23	4849:15
5080:3,3,4,16	current 4841:22	5025:6	decided 5127:3
5081:25 5082:5,13	4843:8 4867:15	date 5132:7	decision 4848:9
5082:22 5083:16	4903:22	dated 4828:18	5109:16 5110:11
5085:2,19,21	currently 4859:11	4982:3 5132:14	5113:15,16
5086:2	5008:19 5009:1	david 5050:14	decisions 5120:18
cross 4801:4	cut 4942:20 4943:3	davis 4799:8	declare 4842:18
4821:24 4822:16,19	5004:14,18 5015:9	day 4842:15 4863:2	decrease 5006:6
4822:19,20,25	5057:24	4892:22 4990:18	5015:9
4823:5,7,8 4856:19	cutting 4816:9	4997:18,21,24	decreasing 4954:6
4906:21 4907:18	cv 4865:3	4998:2,8 5051:9	dedicated 4848:19
4929:2,8 4966:9	cyanamid 5022:5	5065:4 5074:11	4849:4 4867:21
4973:18 4974:12,14	cytopathology	5081:23 5101:19	deep 4914:3
4991:17 4993:1,6	4868:11	2001.23 2101.17	4923:23 4924:5,18

[deep - developing] Page 18

defect 4836:6 delve 4848:21 5040:23 5051:24 designations 4837:1 demonstrate 5008:13,25 5106:10 4803:15 4821:24 44799:16 4800:7 5020:8 5054:10 5107:13,22 5108:3 5106:3 4808:15 4831:24 demonstrating 5100:124 5111:2,5 designed 5110:23 4887:14 5037:16 demonstrative 5117:10 5118:7,11 504:13 despite 481:22 4833:8 defendant's 482:224 denied 5117:14 5118:14 5119:6,7 despite 481:11 500:4:19 5057:9 despite 4818:21 5026:7 destail 483:18 despite 4818:21 5026:7 destail 483:18 despite 4818:21 5026:7 destail 483:18 4849:114311 despite 481:12 481:12 4849:11 4849:114311 4899:10 487:21,24 5030:7 4879:9 4925:22 4939:21 4939:18 4849:114 486:214888:03 4889:6,7 4967:10 4879:9 4925:22 487:17 500:58,14 486erib 4889:2 493:21 5030:9 5109:6 detail	4932:16,18 4934:18	delicate 4933:13	4990:1 5019:13	designation 4835:14
4837:1 defendant 4799:12 defendant 4799:16 4800:7 demonstrated 5061:4 society 4799:16 4800:7 5020:8 5054:10 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:11 5106:11,20 5107:13 5106:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:10 5107:1				
defendant 4799:12 demonstrated 5106:11,20 5107:11 4822:24,25 4833:8 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 5106:3 4837:22 108:3 5106:3 4837:45 109:9 designed 5110:3 5106:3 4881:1409:9 4881:14109:9 4881:14109:9 4881:14109:9 4881:14109:9 4881:14112,5 4888:60 5112:7 5113:14 4886:15113:14 4889:16,7 507:13 4887:14 5119:16 4814:22 4815:13 51117:10 5118:7,11 4818:11 5026:7 4887:18 4889:16,7 4967:10 4879:18,7 4967:10 4879:18 4899:18 4899:18 4899:19 5113:16 5118:23 512:9 503:39:5109:6 4879:9 4925:22 4879:17 5005:8,14 4879:19 4925:22 4879:17 4879:19 4925:22 4879:17 4879:19 4925:22 4879:11 4879:19 4925:22 4879:11 4879:11 4879:19 4925:22 4879:11 4879:19 4925:22 4879:11 4879:19 4925:22 4879:11 4879:19 4925:22 4879:11 4879:19 4925:23 48881:11 4888:22				
4799:16 4800:7 5020:8 5054:10 5107:13,22 5108:3 5106:3 4802:15,20,25 5059:15 5108:4 5109:9 designed 5119:23 4808:15 4831:24 demonstrating 5110:24 5111:2,5 desk 5074:3 4887:14 5037:16 5054:19 5057:9 5112:7 5113:14 desk 5074:3 5044:13 demonstrative 5117:10 5118:7,11 5026:7 4833:28 defendant's 482:24 demonstrative 5117:10 5118:7,11 5026:7 4833:38 denied 5117:14 dense 4956:10 depositions 4888:4 destroy 4857:18 4999:20 4838:22 dep 5107:18 4974:21,24 5030:7 destris 489:18 4849:1 4871:10 4960:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 512:9 deposits 5030:13 detetals 4872:17 5005:8,14 4817:12 4861:18 5001:4,13,24 4852:26 5052:2 describe 4880:3 detetable 4960:11 4802:1 4899:6,9 5037:2 5048:1 5006:12,17,18,19 4892:10 490:22 4976:23 detecting 4908:4 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 depicts 4813:11 deposits 4922:12 describe 4815:20 4888:24 4860:22 4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4802:15,20,25			· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4808:15 4831:24			· ·	
4887:22 4838:3,9 4887:14 5037:16 5054:19 5057:9 5112:7 5113:14 5026:7 5044:13 4814:22 4815:13 5117:10 5118:7,11 5026:7 defendant's 4822:24 4enied 5117:14 5123:13 destroy 4857:18 defendants 4798:9 dense 4956:10 4889:6,7 4967:10 4879:9 4925:22 4993:1 4931:8 5109:22 5112:23 4974:21,24 5030:7 4879:9 4925:22 4960:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 5121:9 5030:9 5109:6 5005:8,14 4868:12 4888:10,25 5001:4,13,24 4885:22 4893:20 4952:16 5052:2 4952:16 5052:2 48799:2 4991:31 depending 5034:2 describe 4880:3 detect 4901:16 detect 4901:16 4889:2 4931:11 depending 5034:2 describe 4880:3 detect 490:11 508:24 4890:22,4 5091:3 depending 5034:2 describe 481:17 detection 5052:22 detection 5052:22 defeo 4799:18 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 detection 5052:22 determination 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 deposed 4888:2 describe 4815:20 describe 4815:20 describe 4815:20	, ,			
4887:14 5037:16 demonstrative 5117:10 5118:7,11 5026:7 5044:13 4814:22 4815:13 5118:14 5119:6,7 destroy 4857:18 defendant's 4822:24 denied 5117:14 depositions 4888:4 detail 4839:18 defendants 4798:9 4799:20 4838:22 dep 5107:18 4974:21,24 5030:7 4879:9 4925:22 4913:1 4931:8 5109:22 5112:25 5030:9 5109:6 5005:8,14 5117:10 5118:43 5109:22 5112:25 5030:9 5109:6 details 4872:17 4860:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 5119:11 deposits 5030:13 detect 4901:16 detect 4901:16 defense 4804:19 4817:12 4861:18 5001:4,13,24 4885:22 4893:20 4825:16 5052:2 describe 4880:3 detect 4901:16			1	
5044:13 4814:22 4815:13 5118:14 5119:6,7 destroy 4857:18 defendant's 4822:24 denied 5117:14 depositions 4888:4 detail 4839:18 defendants 4798:9 dense 4956:10 depositions 4888:4 4849:1 4871:10 4879:9 4925:22 4799:20 4838:22 dep 5107:18 4974:21,24 5030:7 4879:9 4925:22 4939:21 4960:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 5121:9 details 4872:17 5005:8,14 5117:18 5119:11 deposition 4888:3 describe 4880:3 describe 480:3 detect 490:16 4868:21 4888:10,25 5006:3 4952:16 5052:2 detectable 4960:11 detectable 4960:11 4889:2 4931:11 depending 5034:2 describe 4887:23 detectable 4960:11 detecting 4908:4 4802:17,18,23 depiction 481:24 depiction 481:24 depiction 481:24 depiction 481:29 describe 488:2 determine 4846:22 4840:5 4905:20 deficiency 4827:9 deficiency 4827:9 deficiency 4827:9 deficiency 4827:2 describing 4815:21 describing 4815:21 describing 4815:21 describing 4815:21 description 4801:8 determine 4857:4	,			-
defendant's 4822:24 denied 5117:14 5123:13 detail 4839:18 4839:18 defendants 4798:9 dense 4956:10 depositions 4888:4 4849:1 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:10 4871:12 4931:11 4931:18 5109:22 5107:18 5109:22 5113:16 5118:23 5119:16 5113:16 5118:23 5119:16 5113:16 5118:23 5111:9 5005:8,14 4872:17 5005:8,14 4862:11 4872:17 5005:8,14 4862:11 4862:24 4893:21 4900:116 486224 4893:21 4802:17 5005:8,14 486224 4803:21 4881:21 4802:14 4881:21 4802:14 4881:21 4802:14 4881:21 4862:24 4893:21 4802:14 4841:17 4864:9 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4876:23 4883:11			,	
4833:8 dense 4956:10 depositions 4888:4 4849:1 4871:10 4879:9 4925:22 4799:20 4838:22 dep 5121:23 4896:7 4967:10 4879:9 4925:22 4939:21 4913:1 4931:8 5109:22 5112:25 5030:9 5109:6 details 4872:17 5005:8,14 4960:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 5121:9 5005:8,14 detect 4901:16 detectable 4872:17 5005:8,14 defense 4804:19 department 4952:3 describe 4880:3 detect 4901:16 detectable 4901:16 defense 4804:19 department 4952:3 describe 4880:3 detectable 490:116 detection 492:124 described 4876:23 detection 4952:32 detecting 4908:4 detecting 4908:4			,	
defendants 4798:9 deny 5121:23 4889:6,7 4967:10 4879:9 4925:22 4899:21 4974:21,24 5030:7 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 4939:21 490:116 detectable 4872:17 5005:8,14 detect detectable 490:116 detection 503:22 detection 495:124 detection 490:23 485:216 505:22 detection 505:222 detection 505:222 detection				
4799:20 4838:22 dep 5107:18 4974:21,24 5030:7 4939:21 4913:1 4931:8 5109:22 5112:25 5030:9 5109:6 details 4872:17 4960:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 5121:9 5005:8,14 5117:18 5119:11 deposits 5030:13 detect 4901:16 486ense 4804:19 department 4952:3 4885:22 4893:20 detectable 4960:11 4888:21 4888:10,25 5006:3 4852:16 5052:2 detectable 4960:11 4889:2 4931:11 depending 5034:2 described 4814:17 detecting 4908:4 4998:21 4999:6,9 5006:12,17,18,19 4892:10 4902:22 determination 5009:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:12 4858:12 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4860:22 4871:24 4841:10 4892:21 4893:13 4871:6 4827:2 4860:22 4871:24 4862:24 489:17 4894:2,3 4908:14 4895:2,820 5000:13 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5000:			_	
4913:1 4931:8 4960:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 5119:11 defense 4804:19 4817:12 4861:18 4868:21 4888:10,25 4889:2 4931:11 4998:21 4999:6,9 5037:2 5048:1 5090:22,24 5091:3 depicts 4813:11 4802:17,18,23 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 4806:15 4805:20 deposit 4922:12 deficiency 4827:9 deficiency 4827:9 definite 4956:17 degradation 4808:9 degree 4840:1,7 4841:2,13 4865:14 4865:15 4869:13 4883:25 4899:8 4972:7 4977:11 5030:43 4905:20 degree 4840:1,7 4841:2,13 4865:14 4865:15 4869:13 4883:25 4899:8 4972:7 4977:11 5003:22 5006:22 5006:3 4889:24 4919:4,7 degradation 4808:9 deposit 492:12 describes 4815:20 describes 4815:21 describing 4815:21 describing 4815:21 description 4801:8 description 4801:8 describines 4950:17 descriptions 4950:1 descriptions 4950:1 descriptions 4950:1 descriptions 4950:1 descriptions 4895:1 descriptions 4895:1 descriptions 4856:21 descriptions 4856:21 descriptions 4856:1 descriptions 4850:1 de			1	
4960:3 4999:1 5113:16 5118:23 5121:9 5005:8,14 5117:18 5119:11 deposits 5030:13 detect 4901:16 486rnse 4804:19 4817:12 4861:18 5001:4,13,24 4885:22 4893:20 5081:24 4889:2 4931:11 4898:21 4999:6,9 5006:3 4952:16 5052:2 detecting 4908:4 4998:21 4999:6,9 4898:21 4999:18 5006:12,17,18,19 4892:10 4902:22 4976:21 5090:22,24 5091:3 4891:11 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 4891:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4840:5 4905:20 4898:4 4928:11 4945:19 4860:22 487:24 4840:5 4905:20 4898:4 492:10 487:25 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 486finite 4956:17 4894:2,3 4908:14 4894:21 487:22 5035:24 487:15,19 4896:1 487:2,13 4865:14 4894:2,3 4908:14 487:6 4827:2 4918:8 4941:10 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5006:23 489:19 4898:4 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5002:13 480inized 5068:5,11 </td <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td>		_	· ·	
5117:18 5119:11 deposits 5030:13 detect 4901:16 defense 4804:19 department 4952:3 describe 4880:3 detectable 4960:11 4817:12 4861:18 5001:4,13,24 4885:22 4893:20 5081:24 4885:22 4893:20 4885:24 4885:22 4893:20 detecting 4908:4 4908:4 4862:16 5052:2 detecting 4908:4 4862:19 4862:16 5052:2 detecting 4908:4 4862:10 4902:22 detecting 4908:4 4862:10 4902:22 detecting 4908:4 4908:1 4908:1 4908:1 4908:1 4908:1 4908:1 4908:1 4906:2 4806:2 4806:2 4806:2 4806:2 4806:2 4806:2 4806:2 4806:2				
defense 4804:19 department 4952:3 describe 4880:3 detectable 4960:11 4817:12 4861:18 5001:4,13,24 4885:22 4893:20 5081:24 4868:21 4888:10,25 5006:3 4952:16 5052:2 detecting 4908:4 4889:2 4931:11 depending 5034:2 described 4814:17 detecting 4908:4 4998:21 4999:6,9 5006:12,17,18,19 4864:9 4876:23 determination 4976:21 5090:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 defeo 4799:18 depicts 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4850:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4892:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 deficiency 4827:9 deposited 4892:1,6 4817:6 4827:2 4943:14 4979:24 degradation 4808:9 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 4893:15 4910:17				·
4817:12 4861:18 5001:4,13,24 4885:22 4893:20 5081:24 4868:21 4888:10,25 5006:3 4952:16 5052:2 detecting 4908:4 4889:2 4931:11 depending 5034:2 described 4814:17 detection 5052:22 4998:21 4999:6,9 5006:12,17,18,19 4864:9 4876:23 determination 5090:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 defeo 4799:18 depiction 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 definite 4956:17 deposited 4892:1,6 4817:6 4827:2 4918:8 4941:10 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 4952:8,20 degree 4840:1,7 4911:12 4912:1 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4892:21 4931:25 5010:5 descriptions 4950:1 48			•	
4868:21 4888:10,25 5006:3 4952:16 5052:2 detecting 4908:4 4889:2 4931:11 depending 5034:2 described 4814:17 detection 5052:22 4998:21 4999:6,9 5037:2 5048:1 5006:12,17,18,19 4864:9 4876:23 determination 5090:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 defeo 4799:18 depictions 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 describes 4815:20 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposited 4922:12 describing 4815:21 4918:8 4941:10 deficiency 4827:9 deposited 4892:1,6 4817:6 4827:2 4943:14 4979:24 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4891:12 4912:1 4856:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4893:15 4910:17 4918:24 4989:4 depositing 5077:22		_		
4889:2 4931:11 depending 5034:2 described 4814:17 detection 5052:22 4998:21 4999:6,9 depends 4844:19 4864:9 4876:23 determination 4976:21 5090:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 defeo 4799:18 depictions 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 deficiency 4827:9 5076:18 describing 4815:21 4918:8 4941:10 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:		, ,		
4998:21 4999:6,9 depends 4844:19 4864:9 4876:23 determination 5037:2 5048:1 5006:12,17,18,19 4892:10 4902:22 4976:21 5090:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 defeo 4799:18 depictions 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 deficiency 4827:9 5076:18 describing 4815:21 4918:8 4941:10 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4892:21 4931:25 5010:5 descriptions 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10	· ·			
5037:2 5048:1 5006:12,17,18,19 4892:10 4902:22 4976:21 5090:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 defeo 4799:18 depictions 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 deficiency 4827:9 deposited 4892:1,6 4817:6 4827:2 4918:8 4941:10 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 48465:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4872:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 4893:15 4910:17 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 48ccriptions 4950:1 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 4eposition 4885:21 4856:21 4848:11 5089:23 deleter 4829:17 4eposition 4885:21 4856:21 4848:11				
5090:22,24 5091:3 depiction 4814:24 4928:11 4945:19 determine 4846:22 defo 4799:18 depictions 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 deficiency 4827:9 deposited 4892:1,6 describing 4815:21 4918:8 4941:10 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 descriptions 4950:1 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptive 4849:5 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 4856:21 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21<	,	-		
defeo 4799:18 depictions 4817:15 4983:4 5006:15 4848:20,23 4857:2 4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4840:5 4905:20 deposed 4888:2 4860:22 4871:24 deficiency 4827:9 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 4943:14 4979:24 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4893:15 4910:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 descriptions 4895:13 delay 4898:4 deposition 5077:22 5002:13 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 4837:1 4848:11 5089:23 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:2				
4802:17,18,23 depicts 4813:11 5015:2 5035:24 4858:17 4859:10 4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 deficiency 4827:9 deposited 4892:1,6 describing 4815:21 4918:8 4941:10 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 description 4801:8 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 descriptions 4950:1 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 4836:21 4848:11 5089:23 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 491	,	_		
4837:25 4838:6 deposed 4888:2 5040:22 4860:22 4871:24 4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 definite 4956:17 deposited 4892:1,6 describing 4815:21 4918:8 4941:10 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4865:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4872:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 descriptive 4849:5 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20		_		'
4840:5 4905:20 deposit 4922:12 describes 4815:20 4872:15,19 4896:1 definite 4956:17 deposited 4892:1,6 describing 4815:21 4918:8 4941:10 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 4943:14 4979:24 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4865:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 descriptions 4950:1 4886:221	, ,	_		
deficiency4827:95076:18describing4815:214918:8 4941:10definite4956:17deposited4892:1,64817:6 4827:24943:14 4979:24degradation4808:94892:21 4893:134873:24 4942:45008:23 5009:3degree4840:1,74894:2,3 4908:144952:8,205050:174865:15 4869:134911:12 4912:1description4801:8determined4857:4483:25 4899:84915:1,6,13 4919:94818:19 4847:95050:174972:7 4977:114920:21 4931:255010:5determines4897:95036:8 5038:35077:4,10descriptions4950:1descriptions4950:1delay4898:4depositing5077:22descriptive4849:5delete4829:17deposition4885:21design4836:6develop4810:16deliberate5103:1,34915:4 4917:13,184837:14862:21 4882:85103:4,64918:22 4919:4,7designated4812:175028:20		-		
definite 4956:17 deposited 4892:1,6 4817:6 4827:2 4943:14 4979:24 degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4865:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 5002:13 delay 4898:4 deposition 4885:21 descriptive 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:4,6 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 design ated 4812:17 5028:20		_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
degradation 4808:9 4892:21 4893:13 4873:24 4942:4 5008:23 5009:3 degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4865:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4893:15 4910:17 4872:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 determines 4897:9 delay 4898:4 depositing 5077:22 descriptive 4849:5 determining delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20	•		_	
degree 4840:1,7 4894:2,3 4908:14 4952:8,20 5050:17 4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4865:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 5002:13 delonized 5068:5,11 depositing 5077:22 descriptive 4849:5 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20		-		
4841:2,13 4865:14 4911:12 4912:1 description 4801:8 determined 4857:4 4865:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 determines 4897:9 delay 4898:4 5078:19 4856:21 descriptive 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5028:20	C			
4865:15 4869:13 4913:18 4914:6 4807:24 4815:9 4893:15 4910:17 4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 5002:13 delonized 5068:5,11 depositing 5077:22 descriptive 4849:5 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20	,	, ,	/	
4883:25 4899:8 4915:1,6,13 4919:9 4818:19 4847:9 5050:17 4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 5002:13 delonized 5068:5,11 depositing 5077:22 descriptive 4849:5 determining 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20	,		_	
4972:7 4977:11 4920:21 4931:25 5010:5 determines 4897:9 5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 5002:13 deionized 5068:5,11 depositing 5077:22 descriptive 4849:5 determining 4885:21 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20				
5036:8 5038:3 5077:4,10 descriptions 4950:1 5002:13 deionized 5068:5,11 depositing 5077:22 descriptive 4849:5 determining 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20		' '		
deionized 5068:5,11 depositing 5077:22 descriptive 4849:5 determining delay 4898:4 5078:19 4856:21 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20				
delay 4898:4 5078:19 4856:21 4848:11 5089:23 delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:4,6 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20		'	_	
delete 4829:17 deposition 4885:21 design 4836:6 develop 4810:16 deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20	,		_	
deliberate 5103:1,3 4915:4 4917:13,18 4837:1 4862:21 4882:8 5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20	v			
5103:4,6 4918:22 4919:4,7 designated 4812:17 5028:20		_		_
	·	·		
deliberating 4947:11 4953:18 4816:2 4824:19 developed 4980:13	,			developed 4980:13
	O			developing 4881:15
4982:17 4984:5 4836:20 5001:17				

development	5037:24 5038:22	5008:10 5037:14	4903:10 4938:7
4810:19 4821:13	5037:24 3038:22	5039:9 5045:14	4983:1 4987:18
4869:10 5081:12	differences 4804:7	5047:8 5105:15	4993:17 5097:7
diagnose 4846:21	5076:20	5106:23 5108:15	5119:16 5120:23
4871:23	different 4804:6		discussions 4869:14
		5113:11 5119:11	
diagnosed 4875:13	4806:3 4846:14,15	5122:23	4973:21,22
4878:15 4982:3	4853:15 4855:12	direction 4817:24	disease 4843:21
diagnoses 4843:22	4864:10,14 4866:6	4901:6 4913:24	4845:22 4846:20
4843:25	4867:4 4875:9	4921:24 4923:21	4847:18 4850:18
diagnosing 4872:15	4883:13 4884:20,21	5036:19 5120:19	4851:3,7 4853:19
diagnosis 4843:24	4884:24 4900:16	directions 4918:24	4862:8,9 4865:12
4844:15 4848:1,14	4902:13 4921:12	directly 4910:9	4866:24 4867:25
4849:11 4850:10,15	4923:18 4934:23,24	4953:14 5020:23	4868:2,3 4872:20
4850:19 4851:6	4934:25 4942:21	5024:12 5068:17	4882:3 4884:13
4854:18 4862:1,2	4944:6 4947:25	5069:12,25 5076:17	4885:13 4886:8,14
4868:3 4942:8	4967:7 4988:14	5077:4 5078:21	4888:13 4900:14
4946:21 4947:15	4995:21 4999:17	5119:24	4921:10 4922:20
4976:5,14,20	5012:10 5044:16	disagree 4848:15	4932:10,13,14
4977:2 4978:9,16	5053:4,5 5076:25	5054:7	4935:8,11 4936:5
4979:23 5048:16	5083:12 5087:24	discharge 4947:4	4938:22,23 4957:1
5049:12	5103:3 5109:17	disclosed 4973:4	4958:24 4977:15
diagnostic 4849:2	5110:1	4991:21 5036:13	4979:11 5011:15
4849:13 4850:7	difficult 4806:4	5038:5,7	5029:21 5062:17
4853:12 4873:23	4849:17 4850:17	disclosure 4960:18	5081:10,13 5088:16
4875:11 4990:20	4852:14 4861:8	4970:20 4972:23	diseases 4845:11,13
5042:23	difficulty 5124:21	4973:2 4993:3	4846:1,2,3,5,7,10,25
diagrams 4817:14	digest 4833:24	discovery 5107:18	4848:4 4865:7,10
4818:4,7	4933:18	5108:3 5117:23	4865:22,25 4867:3
diameter 4908:13	digested 4858:3	5118:23 5119:5,7	4868:5,14 4870:8
4913:24 4932:15,18	4933:22	5119:10	4871:23 4872:16,24
4934:11,15,17	digesting 4933:19	discuss 4814:23	4874:22 4876:1
5071:24 5072:5,18	digestion 4849:8,12	4840:14 4853:18	4877:14 4879:9
5072:22	4856:23 4987:5	4946:20 5037:2	4880:5 4900:11
diameters 4923:15	4996:24 4997:12	5097:18 5098:10,11	4944:5 5012:1
5088:22	5069:6 5080:14	5098:23 5100:23	5029:20
diametrically	digestions 5074:17	discussed 4874:14	disintegrating
5126:20	dilute 5082:14	4894:23 4934:11	5061:13
dickens 4831:24	dilution 5082:15	4947:12 4950:10	dismiss 5102:7
4832:7	dimensions 5086:19	5000:20	dismissed 5089:11
die 4934:1	direct 4801:4	discussing 4826:8	disorder 4861:4
died 4979:8 5027:8	4836:20 4839:5	4840:7 4870:4	dispersed 4945:15
dies 4897:6	4886:17 4909:22,25	4930:9 5016:2	disposed 5000:7
difference 4827:1	4910:6 4971:11,14	discussion 4814:14	disproportionate
4827:15,16 4847:11	4973:12 4991:12,16	4814:15 4822:7	4894:18
4910:2,17 4915:11	4991:18 4992:4	4835:13 4840:13	

[dispute - dunst] Page 20

dispute 5117:6,8,13	4853:12 4874:25	downstairs 4886:22	5062:11 5063:2,4,6
dissolve 4857:14,23	4887:10 4951:10	4973:24	5063:11,18,24
4910:13 5069:18	4957:21 4958:21	dr 4803:6 4807:23	5064:20 5065:7,9
dissolving 4896:16	4996:7 5033:3	4808:2,6,10	5065:11,24 5066:1
distilled 5068:5,11	document 4826:5,23	4811:18 4825:11	5066:2,4,19,22,23
distributed 4911:15	4828:14,17,20	4826:16,21 4830:2	5070:5,8 5071:1,2
division 4798:1	4829:6 5129:7	4830:3,13,20,23	5074:10 5079:23,25
5001:5,23	5130:6	4831:1,17 4832:1	5080:2,6 5082:4
dkuszmerski 4800:7	documented 4928:6	4833:18 4834:6	5090:8,9 5094:2
docket 4798:2	4965:9 4966:25	4838:25 4839:1,11	5095:4 5097:1
4802:8 4837:16	4967:1,16 4968:8	4840:7 4854:3	5111:24 5112:3
4888:9	5083:19	4866:2,3 4867:12	5114:6 5115:17
doctor 4840:6,18	documents 4827:10	4886:3,6 4891:3,8	5117:7,12,17,24,25
4841:15 4842:21	4831:11,11,19	4892:18 4893:2	5118:1,15,16
4845:5 4846:7	4863:6 5129:21	4904:10,11 4906:3	5119:24 5120:2,3,7
4849:14 4852:5,19	dodson 4963:2,24	4906:4,11 4907:4	5120:8,9,9 5122:17
4854:8 4855:8,19	4965:8 4968:23	4914:16 4916:15,22	5122:20 5126:13,14
4856:13 4857:1	5083:1,9 5090:8	4916:23,25 4918:1	5126:17,19
4858:23 4859:11	doing 4848:1 4860:1	4918:2,9 4919:16	draw 4806:2,3,4
4861:18 4862:15	4861:5 4874:8	4919:23 4922:17	4814:22 4909:6
4863:13 4864:6	4896:15 4901:4,7	4924:20 4926:13,17	drawing 4817:20
4865:12,22 4866:22	4902:11 4911:7	4927:12,17 4928:19	dri 5091:3,7,12,15
4867:8 4869:12	4912:25 4913:8	4928:20,22 4931:19	5091:20
4871:13 4873:18	4916:15 4929:23	4934:22 4940:15	driven 4892:10
4876:6 4883:17,22	4930:19 4933:20	4942:7 4951:18,22	5115:5
4884:1,10 4885:4	4965:12 4973:21	4953:2,12 4960:4,5	drops 5012:25
4887:7,18,24	5003:2,3 5005:1	4960:22,24 4964:13	drs 5024:20 5083:9
4888:7,14,22	5010:6 5072:13	4965:12,13 4966:10	dry 5074:13
4893:2,16 4895:5	5101:14,23 5109:22	4966:18,19 4967:5	drywall 5002:11
4900:23 4907:6	5115:5 5128:3	4968:23 4970:1,4	due 5096:22
4921:10 4922:25	door 4905:15,17	4970:15 4971:18	5098:14
4924:21 4925:16	5063:23 5064:2,16	4973:10,18 4974:15	duh 4911:24
4930:7 4931:24	5065:1 5099:8	4979:1 4984:10	duke 4843:9
4935:5 4937:22	doors 4905:21	4986:22 4990:14	4861:19 4862:18
4938:25 4939:13	dose 5002:24	4991:4,9,20 4994:4	4873:19,22 4874:9
4941:14,22 4942:1	5003:12,14 5014:14	4997:8 5008:5	4876:17,18 4877:1
4945:9 4948:24	5014:18 5015:3	5011:23,25 5012:20	4877:1 4901:21,22
4952:4 4954:11	5026:14	5019:11 5025:23	4976:11
4956:20 4957:3,13	doses 4935:12	5028:18 5033:2,11	duly 4839:2
4959:1 4962:4	5029:11	5036:7 5037:17,24	dunst 4800:3,3
4969:4 5027:16	doubt 4904:4	5037:25 5038:4,10	4803:1,2 4838:11
5059:13 5076:11	5003:4	5038:23,23 5045:24	4838:12 4886:11
5081:15	doubts 4907:20	5048:15 5050:4,9	4990:9,14,17,22
doctors 4844:13	doukas 4800:3	5050:15 5060:4	4991:1,4 4992:1
4850:16 4851:25		5061:5,9,9 5062:2,5	4993:2 5036:2,5

[dunst - equivalent] Page 21

5037:23 5101:6	eat 4933:17	elemental 4858:21	ensure 4842:6
5102:22 5103:11,19	eaten 4933:21	4870:16	entail 4906:18
5130:10,16,19	eating 4933:19	elevated 4880:20	enter 4869:23
durability 5052:13	economical 4804:10	4893:1 4900:16	entered 4826:15
durham 4839:13	edenite 4881:22,25	4901:25 4919:21,25	4878:17
4876:19 4901:18	4980:20,24	4936:10 4941:17	enters 4837:6
5027:9	edit 4808:22	4969:22 5025:8	4887:2 4974:5
dust 4845:25	edition 4866:11,12	5026:25 5080:16	5059:6
4933:16 4935:11	4866:14,17 4867:8	elevations 5032:20	entire 4814:11
5032:23	4867:10,11,15	elias 4822:6	4816:8 4864:1
dusts 4845:12,12	4870:20 4887:8	elicit 4992:6	4928:21 5048:6
4846:19	editions 4870:12	eliciting 4970:17	5107:5 5119:25
duties 4873:22	editor 4972:5	elmo 5072:23	entirely 4921:18
e	5033:9 5034:15	eloquent 4826:13	entirety 5047:24
e 4798:12,12 4799:1	editorials 4972:6	else's 5088:21	entitled 4798:16
4799:1,14 4800:1,1	education 4840:24	embarrassed	4960:23 5130:5
4801:1,7 4832:24	4975:10	5098:12	entry 4999:20,23
5062:22	educational 4839:21	embedded 4857:16	envelopes 5098:21
eagleman 4803:9	effect 4873:4	4943:1	environment
earlier 4817:15	4882:18 4921:8	emblem 4840:9	4911:13 5018:15
4867:23 4873:25	4934:16	emergencies	environmental
4875:19 4911:3	effects 4869:24	5096:23	4840:1,22 4908:2
4935:24 4937:3	4883:1 5089:24	emphasis 5119:21	4912:12 4975:23
4939:15,25 4950:10	5090:4,6	5120:4,10	4979:2 5033:14
4964:12 4975:24	efficiency 4805:5,17	employed 4906:5,5	5062:20
4987:22 5003:21	effusions 5033:5,19	4918:4 4952:1	environments
5012:12 5017:5	eighteen 4819:18,24	5022:4	4884:25
5021:7 5025:19	4819:25	employment 4843:9	epa 4910:4,17
5054:14 5056:3	eighty 4955:24	4951:25	4945:12 4961:7
5061:9 5066:5,12	either 4820:14	enable 4943:18	5071:12 5078:1,2,6
5068:16 5071:16	4843:22 4850:17	enclosed 5004:19,22	epidemiologic
5085:10 5092:17,18	4856:5 4865:4	ended 4841:8	5055:10
5095:18 5097:7	4898:6 4914:5	4905:18	epidemiological
5130:1	4922:18 4928:8	ends 4816:12 4916:7	4855:19 4856:1,6
early 4901:2	4965:10,22 4972:8	4921:9 4934:13	4856:14,17,21
4941:25 5107:8	4992:14 5036:23	5087:15	4883:1 4894:22
earn 5093:7	5094:19 5122:9	engagement 4931:2	4963:18 4969:4,9
ears 4912:3	5124:10	engineer 4805:8	4969:12 5089:9
easier 4804:9	electron 4858:13,19	4810:6,18 4811:24	equally 4826:19
4956:10	4859:9 4860:12,13	4820:13 4965:25	4993:6
easily 4945:13,14,15	4864:18 4896:18	5124:5,7 5125:2	equipment 4807:17
east 4799:10	4902:11,14 4910:9	engineering 4840:1	4810:17 4901:13,15
easy 4852:11	4910:15 5056:15	4840:23	4902:2,7
4860:21 4861:9	5060:5,6,10 5084:5	enlarged 4849:22	equivalent 4989:6 5084:9

[ercilyn - expert] Page 22

10000	4 11 4007 10	1 1 4050 05	5000 1 C 5000 1
ercilyn 4822:3	eventually 4895:18	examined 4859:25	5029:16 5030:4
erionite 4881:21,23	4895:19 4912:18	4860:18 4861:13	5083:5 5088:18
4980:19	4913:5 5117:7	4938:22 4940:2	exhibits 4816:5,17
erratically 4924:17	everybody 4832:8	4953:13 5068:3	4818:13 5128:19
4934:19	4838:6 4857:5	5070:21 5110:8	5129:1,3,18
error 4922:14	4935:24 4997:13	5124:23	exist 4881:3
5090:7	5125:20 5129:3	examiner 4842:25	expand 4852:22
es 4829:4	evidence 4826:15	examining 4844:4	expect 4813:3
escalator 4934:7	4831:19 4883:14	example 4817:17	4860:5,5 4900:17
escape 5052:22	4936:4 4941:15	4843:1,23 4852:4	4920:23 4962:6
especially 4842:22	4951:19 4964:17	4854:24 4869:5	4972:22 4973:1
4845:11 4846:24	4980:15,20 4981:2	4910:23 4924:7	5002:18 5005:16
4870:19 4874:22	5008:6,10 5009:15	4932:16 4938:5	5097:10
4956:6 5046:6	5017:10 5088:22	4940:18 4949:15	expected 4979:13
5078:8	5128:20	4951:17 4972:25	5096:21,25 5097:3
esq 4799:3,4,9,14	exact 5121:3	5010:20 5129:6	experience 4863:18
4800:3,4	exactly 4844:16	examples 4818:2	4879:16 4884:16
esquire 4799:18	5011:18 5021:9,12	exceed 4993:20	4885:3,7 4938:15
esse 5117:11,21	5024:25 5033:8	exceeding 4993:16	4950:12 4959:13
5118:19 5119:18	5091:10 5126:13,17	exceeds 4961:5	5046:9 5120:13
essentially 4915:12	exam 4842:17	5057:21,25	experienced
4990:18 5055:8	5100:1,14 5101:7	exception 5129:7	5044:25
5114:4	5103:8 5122:23	5130:6	experiment 4891:15
establish 4884:6	examination	exchange 4913:20	4891:19,22 4899:4
4964:22	4822:16,19,20,25	4933:14	4908:20
established 4910:4	4823:5 4836:20	exchanged 5106:18	experimental
estate 4798:5 4802:6	4839:5 4842:13,15	5106:23	4868:15 4893:23
4837:15	4843:1 4906:21	exclude 5080:17	5089:9
estimate 4859:4	4907:18 4942:5	5113:18,20 5118:3	expert 4803:5
4876:5 5054:13	4973:18 4974:12,14	exclusively 4978:18	4805:2 4808:6
estimated 5026:2	4991:13,16 4992:4	5009:12	4809:20 4810:3,4
5071:1,2	4992:12 4993:1,6	excuse 5100:22	4810:24 4811:17
estimates 5056:3	5037:1,12,14	excused 5095:10,12	4812:12 4823:21
5074:6	5039:10 5045:15	5095:14	4824:6 4825:9
estron 4832:25	5047:8 5058:2	executrix 4798:4,4	4826:20 4827:11
et 4798:8 4802:8	5080:10 5108:11,15	exhibit 4811:8	4855:24 4884:7
4816:10 4837:16	5117:15 5121:12,14	4816:3 4825:2	4886:6,13 4960:3
etiology 4982:1,11	5121:23	4926:7 4927:1,18	4960:14,16,21
4992:25	examine 4822:19	4937:23 4939:13	4964:19 4970:17
evaluating 5003:11	4929:8 4966:9	4940:6 4948:17,18	4975:6,22 4979:2
evaluation 5084:6	4991:17 5039:12	4949:6 4951:17	5003:10 5012:1
evening 5128:12	5107:23 5108:13,20	4963:3,11 4966:15	5019:17 5037:1
		4981:12 4984:3	5044:3,21 5054:3
AVAnt AURU-20	1 5 1 (1Q+ / 5 1 1 4+ 1/1		
event 4989:20	5109:7 5113:14		'
event 4989:20 5028:17 5071:8 5080:9 5092:12	5109:7 5113:14 5114:17 5123:8 5125:10 5127:19	5000:18 5011:11 5019:12 5024:24	5058:4 5063:2,6,11 5063:25 5064:7,11

[expert - feathers] Page 23

5064:21 5115:4	4896:2,11 4898:13	extended 5111:4,17	4900:21 4908:5
5118:3	4899:9,10,16,24	extending 5111:18	4913:25 4932:13
expert's 4834:1	4900:2 4903:7,16	5112:10,14	4959:8 4985:13
expertise 4843:4	4903:20 4904:1,5	extent 4904:18	4991:7,11 5017:25
4852:2 4961:6	4911:16 4926:4,5	4936:18 4985:15	5023:20 5024:16
4970:19 5005:16	4927:24 4928:7,11	4989:10 5028:5	5035:13,25 5036:10
experts 4826:14	4932:24 4936:4	extinguished	5036:20 5040:13
4832:8,8 4848:15	4938:2 4941:11	5067:15	5045:1 5047:11
4961:3,3 5118:15	4948:7,9,10 4956:3	extracting 4910:19	5080:17
5120:14,17	4956:21 4957:1,5	extrapolate 5076:6	factors 4883:2
explain 4883:6	4957:10,16,18,22,25	exxon 4965:4,18	4894:24 5010:14
5106:5	4958:12,20,23	4966:2	5038:1,2,25
explaining 4813:24	4959:3,15 4960:4	eye 4987:1	factory 5046:12
explains 4804:7	4962:13 4964:3	eyewitness 4830:22	factual 4812:12
explanation	4965:3 4966:23	4831:4	failed 5080:15
4816:16 4940:11	4967:1,16 4968:4,9	f	fair 4822:18 4823:1
explanations	4969:5,10,16,18	f 4798:12	4830:24 4831:6
4940:15	4978:20 4980:1	facility 5030:25	4967:8,8 4993:1
explore 4845:19	4981:2 4984:18,21	5047:16	5017:21 5023:23
4889:19 4890:9,13	4986:13 4990:3	fact 4805:15	5094:2 5126:12
4890:15 4992:21	4991:5 4992:15	4810:24 4811:20,23	fairly 4813:10
5045:22 5046:1,5	4994:9,23 4997:3,9	4818:19,25 4824:5	fall 5077:1
exploring 4993:6	4998:3 5000:4	4826:19,21 4831:3	familiar 4884:16,19
5037:22	5002:14 5003:11	4856:10 4877:23	4884:23 4885:11,15
exposed 4846:5	5015:13 5018:12	4909:13 4940:8	4891:11,14 4897:15
4862:4 4867:20	5023:19 5024:2,15	4958:14,15,16	4898:15 4934:25
4869:18 4932:24	5028:6,24 5029:12	4962:18 4965:4	4963:1 5005:8
4951:12,25 4956:23	5029:21 5030:21	4969:18 4977:23	5010:18,19 5011:12
4957:1 4958:22	5031:8 5032:14	4991:11 4992:22	5011:14 5065:16
4960:10 4976:22	5034:10 5035:11	4995:14 4996:6,12	5087:25
4996:7,9,13,18	5040:5,11,16	4996:20 5004:6	far 4831:24 4880:13
5002:24 5003:6	5045:5 5047:22	5010:9 5020:7	4880:14 4902:2
5008:2 5015:16,24	5062:18 5079:3,6,7	5033:2 5034:14	4905:2 5000:5
5017:17 5018:15	5079:10 5080:8	5037:25 5045:3	5008:20 5029:5
5020:5,22,25	5083:20 5089:4	5055:5 5058:2,6	5043:13 5076:17
5026:11,13,19	exposures 4845:12	5059:21 5062:16	5111:19
5027:17 5028:19	4846:1 4855:2	5065:22,24 5066:1	fashion 5097:17
5031:11 5035:16,23	4880:1 4885:8,13	5071:1 5074:20	fast 4921:19 4922:1
5040:21 5056:22	4941:15 4951:24	5081:12 5086:20	faster 4804:9
5083:21 5091:25	4962:15 4967:3	5091:6 5110:3	fault 4896:7
exposure 4804:18	4983:4,20 4996:21	5113:10	5114:24
4846:2,18 4862:4	4996:23 4999:17	factor 4890:7	feasibility 4836:9
4878:16,17 4879:6	5007:18 5027:22	4892:16 4893:16,18	feathers 4924:8,10
4880:10,17,22	5032:23 5040:9	4894:8 4899:6	4924:12
4885:24 4895:12	5062:20		

[features - find] Page 24

features 4806:2	4958:18 4960:7	5075:21,23 5076:1	4909:10,11 4910:7
4881:20 4923:19	4965:9 4968:17,21	5076:3,7,24,25	4910:8,8,12,12,14
february 4873:6	4969:23 4971:1	5077:1,2 5079:15	4910:15,20 4914:12
fee 4931:10 5093:1,4	5003:19 5008:1,7	5084:9,10,15	4925:8 4928:3,12
5093:8,9,12	5009:3 5010:2	5085:3,20 5087:19	4959:3 4970:18
feel 5098:12,18	5012:10 5014:13	5088:15,22 5089:3	4971:2 4995:9
feeling 5102:15	5018:8,10 5052:11	5089:10,24 5090:2	4998:9 5046:10
fees 5093:22	5052:19 5062:19	5090:4,10,14	5051:8 5060:22
fell 4879:8	5073:14,23 5080:3	5091:3,22	5061:1 5068:12,17
fellow 4877:25	5080:4 5089:4,24	field 4845:6 4880:25	5068:18 5069:12,20
4878:1	5090:14 5091:14	4924:20 4975:6,11	5069:22,23 5070:1
felt 4827:23	fibers 4811:19	4975:15	5071:5 5073:19
felts 5014:10	4830:15,19,21	fields 4975:16	5077:3 5115:20
fiber 4849:7,12,12	4831:2 4832:16	4998:17 5075:25	5116:9
4856:23,24 4857:1	4857:16,17,19,24	fifteen 4886:19	filtered 4922:24
4857:10,11 4858:19	4858:8,14,18	5019:22	4968:10 5061:12
4858:21,22 4859:2	4859:10,22 4860:12	fifth 4868:1 4915:9	5076:16 5078:21
4859:3,12,15	4864:11 4869:23,24	4954:7	filtering 4898:16
4860:10,10,14	4881:18 4882:21,24	fight 4832:7,11	4969:1 5054:2,5
4861:12 4862:10,11	4884:17,20,24	4993:9 5113:21	filters 4805:17
4864:14 4868:18	4885:16 4891:25	fighting 4840:10	4828:21 4836:12
4877:2,4,17,21	4892:2,3,7,19,19,24	figure 4844:8,24	4859:6 4927:25
4878:9 4879:10	4893:3,11,12	4845:4 5072:20	4960:10 5013:7,12
4885:8 4890:24	4894:1 4902:9	figuring 4870:16	5013:14,16,21
4895:11,24 4896:6	4908:3,10 4910:10	file 4864:2	5051:13 5053:23
4896:9,13,15	4910:18,20,21	files 4862:17,19	5061:23 5067:6
4897:11,18 4898:8	4911:15 4913:22,23	4863:1 4876:7,14	5116:12 5125:3
4898:21 4899:14,15	4915:2,6,13,18,21	4988:3,4,6 5032:7	final 4812:3
4899:23 4900:24,25	4915:23 4916:1	fill 4852:17 4862:13	finally 4986:21,23
4901:4,7,12	4918:3,18 4921:20	filled 5068:4,10	5051:7
4902:21 4903:19	4922:2 4923:7	fillers 5013:7,10,16	find 4844:13
4912:18 4913:11	4924:4 4928:10	5013:21	4846:16 4856:8
4914:1,24 4916:8	4931:23,25 4932:9	filter 4804:8 4805:5	4860:11 4866:19,24
4921:8 4922:17,19	4933:8 4935:4	4805:20 4806:23	4869:10,21 4872:25
4923:17,19,21,25	4953:2 4960:11	4810:1,14,15,17	4877:17 4878:14
4924:15 4928:5	5015:10,21 5054:4	4811:19,20,25	4898:24 4899:1
4931:17,22 4932:15	5054:10,23 5056:15	4812:11 4816:9,12	4900:3,13,15,17
4932:17,24 4933:16	5057:9 5058:4	4816:13 4828:23,25	4903:1,17 4904:3
4934:13,15,25	5059:15 5060:2,11	4831:2 4833:2	4920:23 4925:4
4935:4 4936:23	5060:24 5061:1,2	4835:25 4836:1,7	4937:2 4938:5
4937:1,9,18,19	5061:14 5062:7	4836:10 4857:25	4940:1 4941:11
4938:13 4939:22	5066:3,7 5069:7,11	4858:1 4888:20	4962:6,20,21
4940:2,22 4941:6	5071:13,20 5072:9	4889:3 4890:3,6	4968:22 4969:22
4941:10,17,22	5072:12 5073:5,13	4894:25 4898:17	4977:10 4989:18
4943:18,22 4944:7	5073:23 5074:11	4899:25 4907:24	4996:17 4997:10

[find - frame] Page 25

5010 17 5070 01	4000 10 4005 10	5041.0	C. 14 4021 24
5018:16 5079:21	4890:18 4895:10	5041:8	fought 4831:24
5080:16 5082:5	4901:1 4903:4	foil 5067:16	found 4862:13
5084:23 5085:2	4908:19 4913:14,16	folded 4819:2	4879:9,22 4880:6
5087:2 5103:24	4915:3 4918:12	follow 4835:3,5	4880:18 4884:24
finding 4863:25	4920:3 4938:21	4861:1,10 4924:22	4895:12,13 4901:24
4919:24 4927:23	4939:23 4948:10	4925:6 5016:7	4903:8 4904:23
4937:13 4938:15	4974:9,18 4988:14	5077:9	4929:4 4936:23
4939:1,4,6 4940:7,9	4999:1,19 5001:2	followed 4812:5	4937:10,13,15
4940:10 4941:17	5001:10 5004:5	4955:11	4938:17,19 4940:5
4962:18 4969:20	5017:22 5020:1,19	following 4802:1	4949:10 4957:8
5026:25 5032:19	5023:5 5031:3	4815:11 4837:7	4962:11,22 4965:6
5060:7 5083:22	5035:1 5042:11,23	4887:3 4925:9	4965:15 4966:21
findings 4901:23	5059:18 5070:9,21	4974:6 5059:7	4967:3 4968:23
4913:5 4925:13	5071:3 5076:1	follows 4839:3	4996:13 5018:13,13
4941:7 4942:4	5118:23	5018:17 5045:14	5025:7,8,15
5042:23	first's 4826:21	5068:3	5028:24 5035:2
finds 4929:9	firsthand 4805:10	forbidden 4939:11	5040:25 5043:4
fine 4819:23	4831:4	5006:21	5048:25 5049:25
4936:16 5058:19	fit 4879:23 4909:10	foregoing 5132:5	5050:1,19,22
5064:11 5099:2	4949:11,12 4950:23	foreign 4933:12	5072:12 5073:3
5111:6 5127:24	4958:16 5112:5	forensic 4842:21	5080:2 5082:16,23
fingerprint 4843:4	five 4820:6,7 4866:9	4875:9	5083:12,15 5084:21
4858:22 4860:5	4876:11 4918:23	forgot 4983:3	5084:24 5085:3,4
4870:17	4955:1 4983:20	forgotten 4948:11	5085:19,20 5086:3
finish 5058:15	5080:14 5081:16	form 4852:17	5086:23 5126:19
5097:4 5101:19	5084:8 5110:1	4858:13 4878:5	foundation 4813:10
5105:11	fix 5056:8	4906:10 4964:24	4814:19 4826:11
finished 4838:19	flat 5093:1	5005:18 5052:7	foundational 4808:5
4841:12 4865:16,17	flavors 4934:24	5053:1 5055:19	4817:13 4818:16
4878:22 5105:14	4965:5,7	formal 4856:16	four 4813:14
5106:2,19	floor 5022:8 5077:1	formation 5043:1	4842:10 4872:7,8
finishing 4971:8	flow 4913:24	5048:20 5049:15	4880:24 4887:20
finland 4871:17	4923:22 4934:18	formed 4811:22	4892:22 4900:1,25
firm 4999:2	fluid 4843:25	4851:2 4899:5	4903:7,15 4906:12
first 4805:19	4844:1	4959:21	4918:23 4920:25
4806:17,22 4807:5	fluids 4911:13	former 5080:15	4928:3,11 4960:9
4815:6,8 4821:8	5010:25 5011:4	5088:20	4998:7
4831:9 4844:21	fluoro 4881:22,25	forms 5045:16	fourteen 4938:11
4849:11,15 4850:12	4980:20,24	forth 4831:16	5089:15
4860:3 4862:17	focus 4830:18	4841:5 4879:18	fourth 4867:24
4865:11 4866:1,11	4858:18 4860:12	4901:14 4930:24	fraction 4915:5
4867:8,12,17	5110:18,20 5120:1	4945:21 5102:18	4916:1 4922:2
4869:5,6,7 4873:2	5120:14,17	5106:13,21 5132:7	frame 4807:6
4873:16 4880:6	focused 4846:6	forward 4922:7	4812:2 4889:22
4887:25 4888:4,5	4871:10 4922:6		4890:2 5118:25
1007.23 1000.1,3	10/1.10 1/22.0		1070.2 5110.25

[frankly - going] Page 26

611 5049.2	I	4092 04 4097 10	5029 6 20 5042 16
frankly 5048:2	g	4983:24 4987:12	5038:6,20 5043:16
5110:18	g 4799:9 4839:9,9	5023:8 5028:2	5063:23 5064:1,3
free 5034:16	gained 4907:13	5091:12 5103:4,25	5064:12,19 5066:14
5073:14	game 4967:8	5107:6 5109:19	5071:11 5074:3
french 4850:23	5126:13	5110:4 5111:7	5088:18 5095:11
freshness 5123:2	garbage 4933:11	5124:12	5096:10 5097:4
friable 4945:10,11	gas 4913:19 4933:14	given 4823:22	5101:2 5104:16
4945:16,21,23,23	gather 4887:17	4889:7 4969:25	5109:4 5112:7,15
friday 5098:1	gender 4880:5	4984:20 5005:16	5118:5 5120:19,21
5100:2,7 5101:17	general 4844:20	5056:3,22 5071:11	5120:24 5122:6
5101:18	4846:12 4851:2	5104:5 5108:7	5123:11 5130:19
friendly 4863:5	4864:7 4870:19	5111:16 5127:25	goal 4872:12
4878:5	4872:21 4908:17	5129:24	goes 4804:19
front 4905:20	4913:18 4970:21	gives 4805:2	4813:23 4815:20
4948:17 5051:24	4977:15	4858:21 4869:4	4816:12 4819:1,9
5078:12	generally 4847:23	5032:10 5070:17	4821:12,12,13
fuel 4902:5	4864:9 4867:14	giving 4805:2,9,13	4823:24 4824:1
full 4839:7 4901:21	4892:9 4906:7	4806:18 4810:4	4825:22 4829:25
5031:3,21 5070:9	4932:7 4977:13	4811:19 4824:1	4834:9,14 4836:25
fullam 5057:13		4883:23 4890:21	4871:10 4914:3
5059:19 5061:4,25	generated 4814:18	4931:3 4974:23	4921:12,13,14,15,16
5066:13	generating 4856:7 4863:23	5061:6 5080:25	4921:17 4939:21
fullam's 5060:4		5092:22	4973:2 4992:23
function 4961:3	generation 4803:23	glances 5102:18	4993:22,24 4997:22
functioning 4809:23	generations 4803:23	glass 4943:4	5008:20 5030:17
funded 4972:25	gentleman 5028:1	5060:24	5036:5 5039:10
4973:5	gentleman's	go 4803:18 4804:23	5069:19
funding 4972:24	5019:19 5041:25	4807:15 4808:17	going 4805:1 4809:7
funnel 4813:23	gentlemen 4837:24	4819:6 4822:3,5	4813:4 4816:4,8
4815:21 4816:4,5	4838:12 4853:6	4823:16 4824:7	4817:5,14 4818:14
4816:10,14,16	geologic 5034:3	4825:3 4844:24	4818:21 4821:1
4817:5 4818:14	getting 4829:7	4851:12 4853:10	4822:23 4826:18
further 4805:21	4850:10 4880:4	4862:25 4864:1,2	4830:21 4831:6
4806:24 4808:12	4930:19 4933:8	4872:15 4907:10	4833:5 4835:1
4818:8 4823:9	5039:3 5104:20	4910:6,7 4924:9,13	4838:18,19 4839:17
4824:2 4836:18	5124:7 5126:7	4925:22 4933:22	4844:7,14,24
4894:21 4904:11	ghio 5033:12	4942:16 4945:4	4845:19 4851:12
4908:24 4916:14	girders 4941:1	4949:19,20 4950:5	4856:9,10 4863:25
4971:6,11 4973:12	give 4812:8 4822:4	4967:24 4971:6	4883:24,24 4884:5
4981:25 5015:20	4839:6 4850:16	4973:17 4991:22	4884:6 4889:19
5047:6 5094:10	4861:20 4883:18,24	4992:8,9 5002:22	4890:13 4897:10
5121:19	4924:7 4954:22	5008:22 5014:7	4904:17 4905:8
furthermore	4958:12 4960:5,23	5022:11 5031:3	4904:17 4903:8
5032:19	4961:2,4 4972:21	5034:6 5035:5	4916:4 4918:17,19
3034.17	4974:24 4982:14	5036:13 5037:16	4910:4 4918:17,19
		3030.13 3037.10	4717.44744.1

[going - heard] Page 27

1022 24 1024 12	5054.5.5070.4	7002 14	5005 22 5102 0 10
4923:24 4924:12	5054:5 5070:4	grows 5082:14	5005:22 5102:9,10
4928:16 4931:20	5091:14,16 5100:13	guess 4906:25	5104:15
4932:20 4933:25	5115:5 5125:14	5020:10 5064:22	happened 4805:25
4950:5 4964:20	gosh 4987:1	guidelines 4872:21	4911:20 4998:5
4965:1 4967:18,21	gotten 4827:5	guy 4827:12	5017:11 5091:11
4973:16 4981:11	4948:6 5086:24	4840:17 5124:3	5118:8,10
4983:23 4990:9	government	guys 4852:7 5063:20	happening 4815:21
4991:20 4992:20	4945:12	h	4863:20
5009:4 5010:24	grades 5053:12	h 4801:7	happens 4852:9
5011:4,10 5019:6	graduated 4840:21	h&v 5037:2 5044:19	4882:19 4942:15
5019:11 5029:15	gram 5084:10	5044:25	4977:8 5093:11
5036:16,19 5039:5	grand 4799:14	h2o 5068:5,11	5103:2,15
5040:4 5043:19,21	grateful 5111:16	ha 4829:7 4994:14	hard 5109:19
5043:24 5047:21	great 4804:5 4815:4	habits 4833:18	5111:7
5057:17 5058:6,15	4820:2 4871:10	haddon 4799:18	harm 5086:22
5058:22 5063:10,20	4925:22 4953:7	hairs 4914:6	harmful 4830:15,19
5063:23 5086:25	5130:22	4921:23	4830:21 4831:2,7
5095:2 5096:3,4,17	greater 5056:16	half 4822:11 4865:8	4832:16
5097:1,4,8,11,21,22	5090:3	4865:9 4915:22,23	hazard 4827:22,24
5097:22 5098:1	greatly 4910:21	4920:9,18,25	hazards 4834:7
5099:5,8 5100:9,11	greece 4980:20	4921:1,2,7 4922:7	he'll 4852:7,12
5100:12 5102:3,16	greenberg 4866:2	4922:18 4938:20,21	5094:16
5102:24,25 5103:1	grid 5075:24	4944:25 4945:1	heads 5106:21
5103:6 5104:19	grids 5069:17	4952:9 4997:18,23	health 4827:6,22,24
5105:7,8 5109:21	grocery 4857:22	5051:9 5074:12	4831:16 4834:1
5110:20 5111:9	grounds 4804:2	5101:19 5114:19	5031:9 5090:4,6
5112:25 5114:13	4807:20 5115:6	halfway 5105:16	healthy 5108:6
5115:7 5118:5	group 4842:22	hammar 4963:2,24	hear 4822:23 4832:4
5120:19 5122:10	4850:13 4851:5	4965:8 5083:1,10	4912:2 4974:10
5123:19 5124:24	4852:19 4853:10	5090:9	5044:2,3,7 5046:20
5128:20 5130:23	4855:12 4857:9	hand 4926:25	5087:20 5095:24
gold 4807:19 4835:8	4879:19,24 4912:25	4937:22 4950:4	5099:22 5129:8,11
4835:13,25	4935:20 4936:6,8	4963:6 4981:11	heard 4821:8
golden 4858:9	4936:17 4937:2	5011:10 5019:11	4838:17 4845:14
good 4802:3,11,16	4949:13 4972:19	5029:15 5033:25	4856:24 4864:18
4802:18,19,22	4973:1,6,7 5027:13	5068:6,10 5070:9	4879:12 4882:11
4803:1,4 4813:20	5027:16,18,21	5071:6	4891:7 4908:16
4837:18,19,23,24	5028:12 5073:15	handing 5000:17	4909:19 4911:6
4838:6,11,12	5078:14 5090:21	handler 5022:7	4923:8 4934:22
4839:1,6 4872:21	grouping 4949:8,20	hands 4864:19	4936:12 4979:1
4906:24 4907:19	4949:21	5127:18	5000:9 5047:4,19
4908:25 4918:15	groupings 4879:20	happen 4848:17	5078:16 5109:25
4933:18,19 4935:17	groups 4855:15	4856:12 4924:15	5125:19 5128:22
4970:24 4974:15,17	4878:7 4949:17	4931:22 4976:10	5129:11 5130:16
5033:21 5035:4	5090:18,20		

[hearings - human] Page 28

hearings 4813:14	history 4885:23	4805:8 4806:1,13	5109:3 5110:1,18
hearsay 4826:1	4894:15 4928:6	4806:20 4807:3,9	5111:1,21 5112:6
4964:16,16 4966:6	4946:24 4947:9,22	4807:13,16,21	5112:13,17 5113:21
4967:9	4947:23,24 4948:20	4808:5,13,23	5114:4,18,22
heart 5110:5	4951:3 4968:4	4809:9,21 4810:7	5115:14,16,25
heat 5123:2	4977:19 4978:1	4811:4 4812:4,16	5116:3,6 5117:7
heavily 4877:19,23	4984:20 5002:22	4813:3,6,13	5119:6,20 5120:6
heavy 4956:21	5028:3 5078:11	4814:15,21 4815:15	5120:16 5121:1,7,9
held 4798:19 4822:7	5083:20	4817:8 4819:22,23	5123:24 5124:14
4893:7 4904:12	hit 4876:8	4820:12,17 4821:22	5125:14,18 5126:1
5114:25 5120:23	hoagland 4800:3	4822:10,17 4823:17	5126:6 5127:9,21
help 4820:24	hoaglandlongo.com	4823:24 4824:3,10	5128:19 5129:4
4844:14 4845:3	4800:6,7	4824:22 4825:12,15	5130:10
4848:3	hodgson 5055:23	4826:1,5,13,17	honorable 4798:13
helped 4841:11	5056:18	4827:17 4828:24	hope 4837:8
4862:21 4868:16	hoffmann 4948:2,21	4829:16,21 4830:13	4931:16 5111:13
5092:14	4950:21 4952:1,6	4831:10 4832:7,21	hopefully 5097:3
helpful 4868:22	4953:15,21 4955:15	4833:2,5,9,10,15,17	horn 4851:24
helps 4875:16	4957:23 4958:12	4833:23 4834:12	hospital 4861:22
helsinki 4871:14,17	4983:9 4987:19	4835:2,6,13,16	4876:19 4976:10,17
4871:21	4988:19 4989:2,5	4836:5,21,23	4977:16,21 5027:9
hereinbefore 5132:7	4989:21,23 4990:2	4837:18,24 4838:5	host 4831:19,23
hey 4856:9 4936:1	4992:14 4994:24	4838:11,24 4840:8	5056:21
4939:23 5065:3	4996:8 5000:14,20	4886:5,11,15	hot 4952:21,24
hiding 4967:4	5001:4,12 5004:3	4887:4 4904:18	4953:5 5010:9,12
high 4805:5,17	5007:18 5008:2,8	4905:1,23 4906:1	hour 4930:15,15,15
4881:13,14 4894:16	5009:8,17 5010:4	4916:20 4917:5,10	4930:16 5092:22
4914:7 4941:1	5011:20 5014:19	4926:23 4928:17	5093:25
5026:13 5068:23	5015:16 5016:24	4929:1 4960:2,20	hours 4930:18,20,24
5122:16	5017:12 5023:24	4961:20 4964:11,22	house 4949:16
higher 4893:14	5024:10	4965:8 4967:14	household 4949:14
4934:20 5057:1	hold 4806:9 4822:2	4970:14 4971:12	5062:21
highest 4950:15	4825:2 4841:4,17	4973:14 4974:13	housewife 4949:15
4954:7 4955:9	5062:16 5114:25	4981:7,9 4990:14	houston 4839:25
hilar 4966:12	5130:12	4993:3 5018:20,24	4840:10 4841:1
hinds 4811:18	hollingsworth	5036:2,5 5037:15	hubbard 4799:13
hint 4960:16	4799:16,20 4802:20	5037:23 5043:7,11	huge 4945:4
historical 4868:25	4802:23 4838:4,7	5044:2,3,6,20	hugely 5072:21
4869:3,9 4884:19	4838:22 4889:10	5045:14 5046:2,14	hughes 4799:13
4885:11 4944:6	4982:23	5046:16 5047:7	hugheshubbard.com
historically 4880:8	home 4965:5 4983:2	5048:11 5057:17,21	4799:15
4884:25 4966:25	5092:21	5063:14 5065:1	hum 4830:16
histories 4947:4	honor 4802:11,16	5094:17 5095:13	5011:13 5046:24
4964:3,25	4802:18,22 4803:1	5103:14 5105:13	human 4885:15
	4803:21 4804:3,25	5106:4 5107:1,5	4920:16

104644	1 1 1000 01	1005	1001 1 1010 0 7
humans 4846:11	impeached 4823:21	including 4867:7	4924:4 4942:3,5
4869:24 4915:2,9	impeaching 4824:7	4869:19 4892:1	4965:24 5011:22
4935:5	impeachment	4948:20 4952:2	5018:18 5020:24
hundred 4876:11	4823:23 5018:24	5001:13 5009:21	5071:20 5081:21
hundreds 5072:9	importance 4862:2	incorporated	individually 4798:3
hurry 4993:10,12	important 4822:15	4828:15	4802:6 4837:14
husband 4878:3	4853:19 4882:25	incorrect 4896:8	individuals 4871:19
4965:4,17,24	4904:8 4913:25	4915:25 4925:12,15	4874:3 4875:8
hygienist 4855:24	4932:13,14 4958:23	increase 4820:24	4914:11 4928:11
hypotheses 4925:3	4972:11 5004:21	4908:3 4910:18	4959:14 4960:9
hypothesis 4856:7	5005:23 5007:16	4911:16 4913:11	4984:17 5027:8
4863:23	5008:3 5027:16	4939:1	5032:22 5076:25
i	5038:22 5041:15	increased 4903:17	5081:6,6,25
id'd 4963:14	5045:1,21 5046:5	4910:21 4934:17	induced 4845:13
idea 4827:4,5,14	5048:19,23 5049:2	4936:10 4939:3	4846:7 5034:18
4829:5 5029:1	5049:6 5052:10,13	4958:19 4962:25	induction 5088:23
5064:16 5071:22	5082:7 5122:25	increasing 4938:17	industrial 4855:24
5072:3,17	5125:16	increasingly	4945:5
identification	imported 4944:24	4939:18	industries 4879:23
4801:9,9,10,10,11	importing 4944:19	independent 4913:2	4949:1,9,11
4801:11,12,12,13,13	impression 4804:11	indicate 4948:20	4950:15 4953:24
4801:14,14,15,15	improper 4829:9	4969:15 5034:15	4989:14 5002:2
4822:11 4926:13,24	4917:3 4928:25	5036:7 5068:18	industry 4949:22
4927:2 4937:21	4964:18 4966:7	indicated 4818:24	4950:23 4953:25
4981:10,12 4984:2	4991:23 4993:7,15	4925:25 4981:24	4954:9 4955:2
4984:4 5000:16	5018:23	4998:16 5036:18	4958:16 4966:1
5011:9,11 5019:10	improve 4805:4,19	5037:25 5039:8	4988:15,18,24
5024:23 5029:14	improvements	5082:11 5083:8	5002:6 5013:7
5033:7 5054:23	4805:21 4806:24	5095:19 5116:16	5078:8
5075:2 5079:15	inaccurate 4815:24	indicates 4852:1	infection 4849:19,21
5080:24 5088:5,6	inappropriate	4857:10 4969:17	infirmities 5119:23
identified 4880:25	5036:14 5038:7	5030:6 5066:6	inflammation
4928:10 4939:18	5048:3	indication 4805:25	4849:19 4882:2,2,4
4987:3 5079:7	incidence 4950:16	5108:3	4882:9
5117:4 5129:1,22	4955:9	indices 4912:18	influenced 4877:23
identify 4846:21	include 4843:2,3	indirect 4907:23	4895:9
4850:5 4859:21,24	4867:1 4874:23	4909:23 4910:11	inform 4893:6
4937:24	4999:24	4911:2 4913:11	4900:5 4913:8
	included 4947:25	4918:20 5068:19,22	4951:14 4961:2
identifying 4870:15 idiopathic 4883:15	4948:4 5000:3	5069:8 5072:14	information
impact 4899:5	5001:18 5022:12	individual 4853:16	4844:14 4861:13,15
4903:21 4914:7	5023:12 5034:10	4855:8,11 4858:19	4862:3,5,7,12,22
4903:21 4914:7	5116:17	4868:12 4891:23	4863:9,12 4864:1
5104:20	includes 5002:10	4904:6 4909:7	4866:25 4869:4,7
3104.20		4913:25 4923:7,20	4878:16 4879:7

[information - j] Page 30

4888:16 4890:4	instructions	4902:10	4873:15 4874:1
4907:2 4924:24	4886:20 5058:25	interesting 4852:15	4880:9 4887:18
4946:23 4947:8,12	instructor 4841:8	4853:18 4855:9	4888:8 4920:13
4950:8,11,13	4841:11	4879:21 4940:5	4921:19 4933:14
4957:20 4958:9	insufficient 4991:9	interfere 5104:13	4948:3 4953:6
4959:16 4963:23	4993:4	interferes 4882:19	4976:4 4977:3,11
4984:23 4991:12	insulated 4989:10	international	4977:16,21 4978:22
4992:8,22 4993:23	4989:11	4850:21 4851:5	5116:15 5122:15
4998:14,16 5015:5	insulation 4939:12	4853:3,7,14,20	involvement
5017:3 5020:21	4940:18,23 4941:3	4871:4 4874:16	4887:23 4930:6
5022:23,25 5035:6	4944:14,23 4945:2	4875:18	involves 4843:13
5035:8 5037:6,7,9	4945:3,20 4946:12	internationally	involving 4882:6
5040:7 5045:17	4946:14 4948:3,4,6	4872:1	4894:6
5047:24 5064:18	4951:3 4952:3,5,7,9	interrupt 4823:3	iron 4858:9
5078:13 5126:19	4952:15 4953:3,8	4838:18,20 4886:17	irregular 4923:23
informative 4900:13	4953:10,14,19	5058:23	irrelevant 4820:15
informed 4847:6	4957:22 4958:20,22	interrupted 4895:22	isolation 5061:24
4894:7	4987:19 4989:9	5081:14	issue 4814:2
informs 4972:16	4992:13,16 5001:5	interrupting	4821:12 4830:1,5
inhaled 4885:16	5001:7,14,18	4823:13	4831:15,16 4834:1
4932:25 5071:25	5002:25 5003:7	intimidated 5098:12	4834:6,10,14,15
initial 4941:24	5004:14 5006:2,3,7	5098:19	4836:6 4837:1
5036:6,6 5079:3	5006:23,25 5007:4	introduce 4808:15	4870:11,18 4902:19
5117:12	5007:23 5008:7	introduced 4930:8	4923:3 4927:21
initially 4871:16	5009:4,12,17,20	4975:4	4959:8 5014:14
4906:19	5010:3,8 5012:21	introduction	5037:13 5044:11,16
initials 4829:3	5014:25 5016:7	4822:22	5044:16 5047:25
injected 4834:6	5017:6,15 5024:3	inventory 5006:20	5064:20 5069:1
injection 4902:5	5024:10	5006:23 5007:2	5078:24 5082:1
injects 4831:23	insulators 4877:20	invested 5104:11	5091:14 5092:2
input 4901:5	4877:24 4937:16	investigated	5096:7,16 5105:7
inquire 4992:5	integrity 4807:21	4894:21 4997:11	5107:6 5115:2,22
insertion 5067:5,8	intend 5043:20,23	investigation	5118:18 5119:3
inside 5068:2	5043:24	4842:23	5128:18,20
instance 4978:7	intended 4809:24	investment 5104:12	issued 4981:6
5005:22 5010:22	intensity 5068:23	invitation 4904:18	issues 4812:1
instinct 5103:14	intensive 4804:10	invited 4871:18	4831:23 4849:11,13
institute 5090:22	intentionally	4972:1	4850:7,9 4888:16
5091:4	4946:15	involved 4803:25	4993:24 5039:10
institution 4948:1,2	interest 4850:14	4810:18 4818:4	5098:14 5106:21
4950:25	4851:5 5110:9	4847:22,25 4848:1	5119:1
institutions 4947:25	interested 4842:23	4848:10 4849:19	j
instructed 4905:7	4845:11 4851:3	4853:22 4854:23	j 4799:18 4828:14
instruction 4928:17	4854:19 4867:2	4858:24 4859:13	
	4874:22 4878:1	4867:5 4871:1,7	

[jacqueline - know] Page 31

jacqueline 4979:1	juror 4973:20	keeps 4916:24	5080:15 5081:22
james 4799:14	5058:25 5094:21	kenny 4912:21	5082:9 5083:20
4961:12	5095:17,22 5096:1	5078:8	5121:5
january 4828:18	5096:8,10 5097:21	kent 4803:24	kent's 4828:23,25
4871:16 4951:23	5097:24 5098:3	4823:19 4826:7	5055:13
5019:14	5099:15,20,25	4828:21 4830:4	kents 4807:18,18
japanese 4850:24	5100:5,8,11,15,18	4886:2 4888:19,21	4836:14 4997:19
jersey 4798:1,19,20	5100:21,24 5102:6	4888:22 4889:3,9	5018:13,14 5047:10
4799:19 4800:5	5102:18 5104:3,6,9	4889:12,14,17,22,22	5049:8 5051:17
4989:5 5022:6	5104:23 5105:1	4889:25 4890:3,6	5055:12 5057:3,10
5038:16,21 5104:6	jurors 4816:4,11,15	4890:17 4891:20,20	5059:16,22 5079:11
5111:10 5113:1	4822:23 4834:24	4892:16 4893:7,17	5079:16 5080:17
5132:4	4837:3 5094:21	4894:7,9,15,17,25	kern 5050:14,15
jim 4802:23 4838:6	jury 4798:6,13	4895:13 4896:2,11	key 4826:4 5122:21
jj 4829:4	4802:2,9 4803:14	4898:13 4899:6,10	5123:6
job 4798:25 4828:7	4816:18 4818:13	4899:15,25 4900:2	kid 4924:11
4933:12,17 4948:11	4822:16,20 4823:25	4900:25 4902:21	kill 4832:3
4950:1 5022:11	4832:4 4837:6,7	4903:8,16,21,22,25	kind 4808:7 4840:17
5023:11	4838:16 4845:14	4904:2,4 4906:20	4841:9 4847:21
jog 4983:12	4847:6 4855:25	4906:23 4911:9	4861:13 4898:24
johnson 4822:12	4864:17 4879:12	4914:12 4920:21	4901:13 4968:18
4823:6	4882:11 4886:23	4922:24,24 4925:8	5113:2,7
join 4875:14	4887:2,3 4888:5	4926:1,5 4927:21	kinds 4884:24
5099:17 5104:2	4891:7 4895:21	4927:25 4948:14	4965:5 4970:22
joined 5001:11	4916:25 4928:17,22	4957:5,11 4959:3,8	kingdom 4851:15
joint 4983:1 4984:5	4934:22 4936:12	4959:14 4960:4,8	knew 4804:5,12
4984:12,18 4985:3	4950:7 4959:2	4960:10 4962:1,4	4831:1,7,18,20
4985:15,23 4987:14	4961:2 4965:2	4962:19 4965:3	4832:1 4833:19
jorritsma 4798:17	4974:1,5,6 4975:5	4966:24 4967:17	4878:15 4879:6
5132:3,11	4979:1 4983:22	4968:13 4969:2,6	4996:21 4997:13
journal 4972:14	4992:22 4993:5	4969:11 4983:16	5036:16 5039:4
journals 4972:24	5000:19 5015:15	4995:2,8 4997:2,13	know 4817:11
judge 4819:8	5046:3 5049:22	4998:2,8 5017:17	4822:16,20 4823:12
4981:23 4993:8	5054:14 5058:21	5018:19 5019:18	4827:13 4838:19
5048:8 5094:12	5059:3,6,7 5062:16	5020:1,24 5041:23	4844:7 4852:6
5102:3 5105:20	5095:1 5096:2,15	5042:1,16 5044:5	4860:9 4863:19
5129:6	5099:14 5115:12	5044:10,23 5047:25	4882:25 4886:16
judgment 5119:21	5123:20 5130:4	5049:3 5051:8	4901:4 4907:24
june 5096:20	k	5052:4 5053:9,18	4915:10 4924:10
5097:16	kansas 4799:15	5053:23 5054:10,16	4929:16 4932:8
junk 5046:20	keep 4821:1	5054:24 5056:10	4943:22 4957:3
5063:19 5110:21	4831:25 4924:5	5060:3,15,22,23	4964:12,14,20
5113:25 5115:3	5103:17 5113:8,24	5061:22 5062:7	4965:7,8 4968:13
5126:25	3103.17 3113.0,24	5066:3,7 5076:16	4985:8 4991:20
		5077:17 5079:11	4995:2,19 4996:2

4996:14,18,23	4959:15 4966:23	4988:19 4989:2,5	leave 4823:13
4997:21 5000:5,25	5018:12 5090:24	4989:21,23 4990:2	4857:23 4886:19,23
5005:23 5007:19	knows 4833:21	4992:14 4994:24	4973:19 5028:16
5008:11,17,21	5046:21 5108:16	4996:8 5000:14,20	5058:24 5095:8
5010:1,13 5011:7	konigsberg 4799:3	5001:4,12 5004:3	5099:9 5100:2,7
5013:14 5019:5	4999:2	5007:18 5008:2,8	5102:22
5027:21 5029:5	kuszmerski 4800:4	5009:8,17 5010:4	leaves 4974:1
5036:19 5037:8	4803:2 4825:11	5011:20 5014:19	5059:3 5090:3
5046:3 5062:11	4838:13	5015:16 5016:24	5099:14 5100:24
5063:20 5064:15	1	5017:12 5023:24	leaving 5097:23
5072:11,23 5073:16	1 4839:9 5032:11	5024:10	led 4892:15 4907:3
5073:22 5074:1	5062:22	lasted 5007:6	4907:15 4911:2
5077:25 5078:10	lab 4859:16 4935:19	late 4946:7 5065:4	4922:10 4925:18
5080:6 5081:17	4936:24 4937:4	latency 4921:3	4929:23
5083:21 5095:20	4939:19 4962:12	laugh 5101:24	left 4807:6 4858:4
5096:3,6 5097:20	5050:5	5102:2	4907:20 5033:25
5099:5 5101:4	labeled 4942:22	laughing 5099:4	5070:9 5105:22
5102:23,23 5103:2	4996:3	law 4798:1 4919:10	legislation 5005:15
5103:16 5104:10,15	labor 4804:10	5038:16	length 4932:12,13
5110:19 5112:14	laboratory 4844:1,6	lawdcm.com	5056:16 5090:3
5113:25 5115:21	4844:10 4848:12	4799:11	lengths 5088:22
5121:10 5122:22	4861:21 4898:8	lawn 4948:8 4983:6	lesnick 5042:8,10
5124:21 5125:3,4	4942:17,18 4976:14	lawyer 4814:18	5051:21,22 5059:15
5126:4,16 5127:20	5052:23 5060:4	4815:11 4817:12	lesnick's 5052:3
5128:1,2,3,4,12,23	5061:5 5091:19	4887:13,14 4998:22	letter 4981:25
5129:13,17	laborer 5002:10	lawyers 4813:8	5033:9 5034:15
knowing 4827:8	lack 4818:22 4834:3	4814:19 4867:6	letterhead 4828:14
5018:9	ladies 4837:24	4868:20 4887:9	letters 4830:15
knowledge 4805:10	4838:12 4853:6	4982:16 4987:11	4972:5
4830:21 4832:16	laid 4813:10	5080:11 5092:13	level 4919:2 4939:3
4834:6 4863:15	5073:23 5082:1	layers 4817:2	4941:17 4956:25
4869:11 4912:24	land 4921:20 4922:2	layman's 4920:11	4969:16,18 4975:23
4917:11 4943:21	lands 4933:13	lead 4808:8 4911:9	4977:16 5025:25
4968:25 4995:11,12	large 4847:24	5020:9	5026:7 5029:1,8
5089:25	4856:20 4908:14	leading 4892:12	5039:23 5040:23,24
knowledgeable	4911:25 4923:15	4928:18 4929:16	5041:6 5055:6
4870:4	5060:10	leads 4820:22	5110:9
known 4840:11	larger 4892:3	learn 4868:16	levels 4885:12
4856:21 4860:3	5072:4	4869:5 4907:25	4962:25 5025:8
4895:12 4896:1,10	laroche 4948:2,21	4943:15	5026:9,16,17,25
4898:12 4899:9,10	4950:21 4952:1,6	learned 4805:3,19	5028:23 5035:22
4900:2 4903:7,16	4953:15,21 4955:15	4864:10,13 4882:13	5080:16
4904:1 4906:25	4957:23 4958:12	4910:24 4939:22	levy 4799:3 4999:2
4926:4,4 4935:15	4983:9 4987:19	4966:19	levylaw.com 4799:6
4936:4 4953:3			4799:6

[license - look] Page 33

license 4841:21	liquid 5069:4	living 4839:14	5062:2,11,22
5132:12	liquids 4953:5	4863:9	5063:4,5,11,18,20
licensed 4841:15	list 4954:3,5,15	llp 4799:3,13,17	5063:24,25 5064:4
licenses 4841:4,17		110 4799.3,13,17 4800:3	5063:24,23 5064.4
	4955:2,18,22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
life 4915:22 4920:9	4971:24	loaded 4877:20	5065:11 5066:2,22
4920:18,25 4921:7	listed 4868:24	localized 4956:4	5066:23 5070:5,8
4922:7,18 5074:12	4954:5,18 4971:20	located 5001:6	5071:2 5079:24,25
lifetime 4900:12	5042:15,17	lockhart 5102:19	5080:2 5082:4
4928:8	lists 5013:22	long 4799:4 4802:11	5092:4 5095:5
light 4858:7,11,11	literally 4843:20	4802:12 4837:18,19	5106:12,15 5108:18
4858:15,16 4859:7	literature 4865:2,5	4862:4,18 4872:17	5110:12 5111:25
4896:17	4869:7 4877:9	4874:8 4882:2,4,12	5112:3,9 5113:12
lighting 5067:13	4879:1 4884:12	4892:6,23 4914:1	5113:19 5115:3
likelihood 5015:9,20	4895:17 4902:23	4916:2 4932:3,17	5117:7,12,17,24
liking 5121:14	4903:2 4913:4,6	4941:21 4944:12,17	5118:1,17 5119:2
limb 4851:12	4924:25 4925:17	4946:3 4958:18	5119:13,24 5120:2
limit 5058:6	4960:25 4972:13	5003:15 5052:15,19	5120:3 5122:17
limited 4871:10	4995:7 5089:23	5089:2 5092:3,4	5123:10,13,14
4879:25 5090:2	5091:17	5100:2 5101:19	5124:11 5125:23
limpet 4940:25	litigation 4887:19	5107:4,8 5111:9	5126:19
4946:15	4887:24 4888:1,9	5112:17,22,24	longo's 4906:11
linda 4798:17	4930:7,11 4988:10	5113:10 5114:9	4907:4 4914:16
5132:3,11	5005:15 5094:5	5115:15,24 5118:20	4916:15,22,23,25
line 4803:20	5110:17 5115:5	5118:24 5119:2,8	4917:19 4918:9
4807:10 4808:1	5117:25 5123:11	5120:6 5122:21	4926:17 4927:12
4811:8 4816:2	little 4839:18	5125:13,14	4960:24 4970:1
4817:19 4818:12	4845:14 4851:9	longer 5096:21	5050:5 5061:5
4819:17 4820:21,23	4853:15 4861:4,6	5104:19	5062:5 5066:4
4821:8 4822:13	4865:8 4875:18	longitudinal	5074:10 5115:17
4823:18 4825:19,20	4895:23 4910:1	4856:18	5122:20
4833:5,6 4835:11	4934:12 4937:3	longo 4800:3	look 4811:2 4827:10
4835:12 4913:23	4975:9 4997:6	4807:23 4808:2,10	4844:11 4847:2
4923:21 4924:9	5000:13 5007:22	4811:5,9 4886:3	4848:21,22 4853:15
5016:5 5058:23	5058:18 5093:20	4891:3,8 4892:10	4854:12 4857:12,16
5075:11,17 5095:6	5104:18	4892:18 4893:2	4857:17 4858:5,6
5095:7 5106:3	live 4822:17	4904:10,11 4906:4	4858:14 4860:11,22
lines 4808:18,19,20	4838:21 4839:11,13	4917:10,16 4918:2	4863:21 4864:3
4816:2 4817:22	4881:14 5097:2	4919:16,23 4925:18	4878:6,8 4879:8
4819:14 4822:11	5105:6 5109:18	4925:23,25 4927:17	4910:8,15 4912:14
4823:18 4824:19,24	5111:6 5114:13	4928:2,19,20,24	4943:9 4976:19
4829:17 4854:22	5125:17 5127:17	4929:3 4931:19	4977:9 4978:9
4914:2 5055:1	lived 4949:15	4959:17,19,22	4981:13,16 4983:18
lining 4847:7,13,19	lives 4921:1,2	4960:5,22 4961:7	4996:16,22 5000:23
4849:20 4921:22	5111:2	4970:4 5046:20	5002:6,8,21
4934:7,18 4986:3,6		5050:9 5057:15,22	5003:10,18,25

5012:3 5014:14	lorillard 4799:12,20	lung 4831:21 4832:2	5076:4,8,18
5016:4 5023:17	4802:15,17 4803:6	4832:9 4845:10,21	5077:15 5083:9,13
5028:14 5030:10	4809:24 4810:15,18	4846:1,1,5,7,10	5084:15,20 5085:7
5040:8,10 5052:1	4822:14 4823:25	4847:7,12,13,19	5085:12 5086:7
5061:21,23,24,25	4824:5 4826:14	4848:12,22 4854:16	5087:3,5,9
5062:1,1 5073:25	4827:6,17,18	4854:17,18 4857:3	lungs 4846:13
5075:9,13,19	4828:2,7 4831:11	4857:12,13,15,23	4857:6 4859:3
5081:3 5085:14,23	4831:14,17,20	4859:2 4867:2	4862:9 4891:6
5088:3,18 5089:1,7	4832:1 4837:22,25	4868:7 4870:19	4892:6,7,24 4894:3
5120:21 5124:10	4838:23 4889:9,13	4880:18 4882:21,24	4895:14 4903:9
5125:21 5127:22,24	4901:3,7,11 4913:1	4883:2 4886:7,13	4915:3 4918:25
5128:6	4927:1,17 4929:15	4892:1 4893:13	4920:24 4921:14,18
looked 4807:24	4929:21 4937:22	4895:25 4896:16,20	4922:3 4923:23
4856:20 4859:9	4939:14 4951:17	4896:25 4897:1,1,2	4932:16,19 4936:11
4860:19 4919:25	4982:20 4997:7	4897:3,10,19	4966:21 4996:17
4938:21 4946:25	5027:4 5037:2,14	4900:13,16,17,18	5025:15 5041:6
4947:1,3 4951:6	5044:23,25 5045:18	4901:25 4908:10,11	5072:1 5074:21
4955:6 4967:6,6	5054:3 5080:11	4911:12,12,13,15	5077:4 5078:20
5009:7 5025:10	5109:12 5124:2	4913:13,15,19	5081:25 5086:9,14
5049:17,20 5050:20	lose 5102:7	4914:3 4915:24	5086:17 5087:1
5050:23,25 5083:9	lot 4807:22 4842:24	4916:8 4918:19	lymph 4921:15
5083:10 5084:20	4848:5,25 4881:20	4919:9,21 4920:1	4934:5 4966:13
5085:7,8 5090:9	4925:11 4989:7,14	4922:1 4923:5	5085:8,10,14,20,23
5121:6 5125:4	4989:15 5047:11	4924:6,19 4925:14	5086:2,6,8,24,25
5126:3	5119:12	4926:3 4928:10	5087:3,9
looking 4811:9	lots 4867:4 4877:24	4933:4,8,11,12,13	lymphatics 4921:15
4826:7 4843:22	4877:24 4989:8,9	4933:24 4934:9	4934:5 5086:8,17
4844:17,20 4846:15	4989:10	4935:25 4936:23	5086:21 5087:9
4846:21 4848:2	louis 4839:8	4938:12,24 4940:1	m
4849:21 4852:6	low 5026:7,18	4941:12,18,21	m 4798:17 5132:3
4858:24 4859:23	lower 4908:15	4943:14,17,24	5132:11
4863:19 4881:10,19	4912:1 4913:18	4959:14 4960:9	m.d. 4841:2,13
4896:17 4897:8	4915:1,6,13 4932:1	4962:6,12 4964:13	m.d.s 4843:3
4910:4 4912:18	5057:1	4965:6 4967:3,6	m.s. 4803:16
4920:22 4938:23	lowest 4956:25	4977:8 4986:3	machine 4805:9
4976:12 4977:6,19	loyal 4820:15,22	4987:5 4996:22,24	4810:9,11,16
4978:17 4979:13	4823:25 4827:17	4997:11 5017:19,24	4811:25 4812:11
4995:6,19 5018:7	5109:12	5018:2 5020:14	4813:24 5022:6
5061:22 5074:18,21	loyalty 4820:13,24	5021:2 5027:1,11	5124:4 5125:3
5074:22 5075:14	ltc 4801:9,9 4926:24	5027:12,13 5041:12	macrophage
5076:13	4937:21 4949:5	5041:14,19 5049:21	4933:10,23 4934:1
looks 4852:11	4994:22	5054:15 5062:19	4934:2,4
4868:12 4943:6	lucky 5101:5	5069:7 5074:6,13	macrophage's
5073:12 5125:14	lunch 4973:16	5074:16,18,20,22	4933:17
	4974:4	5075:12,13,15	

	5044.15 5045.12	4047.10 4070.9	
macrophages 4933:8	5044:15 5045:13	4947:19 4979:8	marketing 4820:14
.,	5047:7 5048:7,11	4985:24 5032:24	mask 5005:2
magnified 5073:17	5048:13 5058:1,17	man 4813:23	5015:19,24 5016:21
magnitude 4908:4	5059:10,12 5063:9	4820:12 4828:3	mass 4812:6
maimon 4799:3	5064:15,22,25	4933:10 5108:6	master 4817:18,25
4801:4 4802:12	5065:9 5074:3	managed 4805:4,21	match 4860:17
4803:20 4804:24	5094:11,18,22	4806:23	material 4810:15
4805:12,15 4806:6	5095:6 5101:8,11	manipulated 5067:8	4816:12 4819:1
4806:12,20 4807:3	5101:25 5102:2,10	manner 4809:24	4858:10 4888:20
4807:16 4808:13,18	5102:13 5103:10	manufacture	4910:13 4924:16
4808:20 4809:1,16	5105:10,14,19	4820:23	4933:12 4945:14
4809:20 4812:15	5116:2 5117:6,19	manufactured	4946:13,14 4952:23
4813:2,6,20 4814:1	5118:25 5120:25	4807:25 4811:21	4982:16 5000:21
4814:8,11,14	5121:20 5122:4	manufacturers	5008:7 5009:4
4815:3,6 4817:9	5128:14,17,23	4810:16 5031:10	5022:7 5054:5
4818:6,10 4819:7	5129:4,17,25	manufacturing	5063:3,7,11,25
4819:11,14,18	5130:13,18,21	4810:20 4814:5,12	5064:8,9 5069:14
4820:4,6,8,11	main 4877:17,21	4815:25 4816:8	materials 4885:22
4821:17,20 4822:15	4878:10 4890:25	4817:15 4820:25	4886:1 4947:1,3,15
4823:3,23 4824:10	4932:14 4937:14	4836:8 5045:5,10	4948:3 4952:21
4824:22 4825:4,6	4945:6,8,8 4949:4	5046:13 5047:13,16	4953:13 4980:19,21
4825:16,18 4826:12	5126:18	manuscript 5091:7	5009:21 5010:23
4827:16 4829:12,14	maintained 4879:18	5091:15	5065:13 5068:24
4829:19,25 4831:9	5008:17	march 4901:22	5110:13 5118:18,21
4833:13,17 4834:4	maintenance	marching 4840:11	5120:3
4835:23 4836:5,22	4902:17,17 4950:24	margaret 5011:23	math 5072:13
4837:19 4838:15	4951:4 4954:14,18	marked 4801:9,9,10	matrix 4857:15
4886:10 4892:12	4955:12,16,17,21	4801:10,11,11,12,12	matter 4798:16
4897:13,24 4901:9	4958:15 5001:4,20	4801:13,13,14,14,15	4802:5 4803:16
4904:14,17 4905:4	5001:23	4801:15 4835:9	4820:14 4824:6
4905:12,16 4909:3	major 5122:20	4926:12,21,22,24,25	4855:21 4938:22
4916:17,20 4917:15	majority 4880:7,11	4927:1 4937:21	4977:24 4997:10
4927:13 4928:13,16	4880:21 4953:8	4939:13 4981:10,11	5077:16 5103:1
4929:18 4959:24	4956:5 4999:5	4984:2 5000:16,17	5107:23 5108:20
4960:2 4961:8	making 4810:17	5011:9,10 5019:10	matters 5098:24
4964:5,10 4965:7	4826:13 4827:22	5019:12 5024:23	maximum 5071:24
4965:21 4966:2,4	4836:9,13,14	5029:14,16 5030:6	mccombs 4799:9
4966:18 4970:10,13	4847:25 4850:19	5030:8 5033:7	mean 4806:17
4974:12,13,14	4890:4 4891:1,3	5075:2 5080:24	4814:9 4857:8
4981:7,9,21	5031:1 5074:5	5088:5,6	4900:10 4902:2,3,5
4990:20,24 4991:17	5122:7,16	marker 4956:2	4902:9 4905:8
4992:11 4994:3	malignancy 4849:23	market 4836:7	4907:2 4919:7
5019:2,8 5021:11	malignant 4849:16	4894:17 4928:4	4924:22 4933:3
5036:25 5038:6,17	4870:25 4871:2	5055:13	4945:9 4962:14,20
5043:20,24 5044:1	4894:10 4938:2		4971:25 4993:17

4995:6 4996:9	4975:23 4979:3	4948:11 4952:22	4949:2,25 4950:9
5004:2 5020:10	5091:19	4953:25 4955:8	4950:16 4954:19,20
5045:12 5046:16,16	medicolegal 4866:5	4975:9 4976:8	4955:10 4957:4,9
5052:15 5073:7	meet 4828:3	4980:3,18 4988:13	4957:14 4958:2,11
5084:14 5086:21	4853:16 4872:13,14	4997:21 4998:13	4959:4 4962:2,24
5098:6 5102:4,11	5099:13	5009:11 5017:5	4966:21 4968:8
5102:13,14 5103:2	meeting 4853:18	5025:19 5037:3,5	4969:5 4976:6,9,11
5110:14 5112:4	4871:19	5051:19 5085:10	4976:15,23 4977:5
5125:6,15	member 4841:3	5087:18 5092:17,18	4978:10,13,16,20,21
means 4820:15	4843:6 4850:25	mentioning 4952:11	4979:8,10,15,23,25
4821:10,11 4839:19	4852:23 4853:25	mentors 4866:2,10	4980:4,12,16,22
4843:20 4900:15	4854:4 4875:2,21	4867:9	4981:3 4982:1,12
4915:20 4919:8	4886:21 4936:17	meso 4936:25	4984:12,17,22
4924:23 4945:14	4973:23 4978:8	mesothelioma	4985:17,25 4986:2
4969:22 5037:19	5059:1 5099:12	4832:10 4834:2	4986:5,10,15
5061:2	members 4838:16	4847:19,23 4848:3	4987:2,9,15
meant 5017:7	4850:22 4851:10	4848:6,8,11,16	4988:14 4990:4
5105:22	4852:16 4853:4,5	4849:14,17 4850:3	4992:17,25 4997:4
measurement	4871:4 4874:23,24	4850:13,15,17,22	4997:15 4998:4
5090:1	4874:25,25 4949:8	4851:4,5 4852:7	5002:2,14,19
mechanic 5022:7	4975:5 4978:8	4853:13,14,20	5003:23 5004:2
mechanics 5091:24	5058:21 5095:1	4854:11 4856:14	5007:18 5015:3
mechanism 4922:4	5096:15	4868:2 4870:25	5017:1 5019:19
5034:18 5089:25	memo 4826:2,6	4871:2,4 4874:13	5020:2 5024:4,11
mechanisms	4827:5 4829:3	4874:17 4875:18	5024:20 5025:15
4856:11 4882:20	memory 4983:13	4876:5,12 4877:6	5026:11,19 5027:1
medical 4841:12	men 4880:7,8,15,19	4877:18 4878:7,15	5028:20 5029:9
4842:25 4843:13	4883:11 4950:7	4879:5,14 4880:16	5031:16,18 5032:5
4855:22 4863:15	mendoza 4799:8	4880:20 4881:1,9	5032:21,24 5035:13
4865:14,15 4869:11	mention 4903:5	4881:16,24 4882:8	5035:23 5036:1,10
4874:1,6 4877:8	4977:7 4983:3	4882:13,14,18	5039:24 5040:1,3
4879:1 4884:1,11	5001:3 5052:6	4883:5 4885:4,9	5041:1,3,25
4885:18 4897:15	5059:18	4890:7,25 4891:21	5042:16,24 5044:25
4901:19 4902:23	mentioned 4843:18	4892:17 4893:8,18	5045:2,4,8 5048:17
4913:3 4925:17	4850:1 4852:23	4894:6,8,11,14	5049:12 5050:11
4946:24 4947:13	4853:1 4854:20	4895:2 4897:1	5052:3,8 5053:2
4951:6,9 4956:13	4861:25 4864:17	4899:7 4900:19	5054:24 5055:11,12
4960:23 4972:13,20	4867:22 4868:5	4903:12,14,23	5055:20 5058:9
4979:14,19 4994:22	4871:5 4873:25	4904:6 4911:10	5059:22 5079:12,17
4997:20 5014:17	4874:16 4876:10	4921:4 4922:25	5080:15 5081:20,21
5036:8 5038:3	4887:7,12 4899:20	4925:24 4927:6,20	5082:8 5086:18
5039:1 5041:19	4902:14 4903:6,24	4930:1 4931:23	5087:16,20 5088:23
medicine 4841:1	4918:12 4930:3	4935:5,12,15,21	5090:11 5092:5
4842:11,21 4870:13	4934:12 4935:24	4937:10 4938:2,24	mesotheliomas
4874:25 4975:16,17	4936:13 4946:14	4939:14 4947:20	4854:6 4876:8

4879:19 4880:2,12	4859:7,9 4860:12	mine 4981:19,20	modern 4966:13
4880:14 4883:11,12	4870:15 4881:20	mined 5030:12	modest 5032:20
4883:16 4939:19	4896:17,18,22	mineral 4845:12,13	moline 4926:13
4954:6,7 4962:5	4898:23 4901:17,20	4846:7 4857:23,24	4966:18,19 4979:1
4969:21	4902:11,13,14,16	4858:14 4860:22	5037:25 5038:23,23
messed 5114:23	4910:9,16 4943:6	4881:18 4898:23	5118:15 5120:8,9
met 4862:19 4871:6	5018:8 5052:22	4913:23 5030:13	5126:13
4871:16,21 4873:6	microscopes	5031:10 5062:19	moline's 5037:17,24
4873:16 4974:19	4901:14	minerals 4845:21	moment 4806:9
5097:16	microscopic 4875:8	4846:4,11,15	4826:20 4829:7
metastasize 4848:5	5084:5	4858:5 4859:22,25	4840:4 4911:24
method 4907:14,19	microscopy 4858:14	4936:16 5030:19	4931:17 4947:22
4907:21,23 4909:23	4864:18 4875:5	miners 5031:9	4963:10 5094:24
4909:23,25 4910:6	5056:15,19	5035:2	5100:23
4910:11 4911:1,2	microsoft 4863:5	minimal 4842:16	momentarily 4822:5
4918:20 5068:19,23	mid 4798:2 4901:1	minor 4829:16	moments 4812:9
5069:8 5072:14	4914:12 4939:11	minus 5067:13	monday 4798:21
methodology 4906:4	4946:7	minute 4849:1	5065:4
4906:9,11,16	middle 5108:24	4985:22 4994:14	money 5101:2
4907:7,8,23 4908:9	5109:17	4997:12 5058:22	monitoring 5014:22
4908:18 4909:9,20	middlesex 4798:1	5083:24	monograph 4853:22
4910:3 4913:11	4798:19	minutes 4886:19,25	4871:3,9 4872:17
4914:16 4918:4,20	migrate 4932:1	5048:8 5068:6	4872:18 4873:9,10
4919:15	migration 4932:5	miscomprehension	month 4854:1,4
michael 4798:5	millette 5106:12	4916:24	months 5103:7
4802:7 4837:15	5110:12 5115:3	misleading 4916:25	5112:19 5125:12
4982:2,2	5117:25 5118:16	misplaced 4989:18	moon 4952:9
micrographs 5060:5	5120:2 5123:10	misremembering	moran 4800:3
5060:6,11	5125:23	4813:14	morning 4802:3,11
micron 5072:20	milliliter 5067:19	missed 4809:15	4802:16,18,19,22
micronite 4810:21	milliliters 5067:14	4819:11	4803:1,4 4837:18
4927:25 4928:12	millimeter 5068:12	missouri 4799:15	4837:19,23,24
4960:10 4995:9	millimeters 5068:4	mistake 5017:7	4838:6,11,12
5051:8	5068:10	misunderstand	4839:1,6 4886:18
microns 4913:21	million 5074:10	5105:25	4975:5,24 4980:4
4914:1,5 4932:11	5084:17 5093:21	misunderstood	5021:7 5071:16
4932:12,17,18	mimic 4850:3	5105:21	5095:5 5099:12
4943:4 5056:16	mind 4837:11	mixed 4940:20	5105:3 5128:13
5072:2,4,18,22	4850:12 4890:11,14	4952:12	5130:9 5131:2
5087:19 5090:3	4952:4 4986:18	mixing 4834:4	moshe 4799:3
microprobe 4870:13	5076:12 5077:14	ml 5067:19	4802:12 4837:19
4870:21	5092:9 5094:18,19	mmaimon 4799:6	motion 4924:13
microscope 4843:24	5098:1 5104:18	model 5078:3,4	5044:9,14 5045:12
4844:12,18 4858:7	5126:18	moderate 5032:22	5047:19 5113:22,23
4858:11,12,15,16,25			5117:16 5127:3

[motions - objection] Page 38

motions 5118:2	needed 5114:11	non 4980:18	4954:3 4955:1,11
mouth 5076:16	needs 4894:21	noncancerous	4955:17,21 4962:23
move 4864:6	4931:22	4844:22	4970:19,19 4972:6
4923:24 4924:17	nefarious 4967:5	noncommercial	5043:11 5045:11
	I .	4936:19	
5021:15,17	negative 4999:15		5048:5 5057:6
moved 4901:20	neither 4888:2	nonfriable 4945:16	5059:13 5075:1,23
5113:18,20 5130:11	neoplastic 4844:22	4945:22	5075:25 5076:1,3,7
moves 4934:19	neutral 4999:15,16	nonmalignant	5076:23 5082:14
moving 5118:3	never 4806:5	5033:5,18	5096:22
msa 4875:6	4833:19 4913:13	nonoccupational	numbers 4856:20
mucociliary 4934:6	4929:25 4930:16	4879:24	4892:3,18,19
mucus 4882:23	4957:12 4991:21	nonsmokers 4928:8	4910:22 4916:15,23
4921:21,25 4922:2	4998:11 4999:2	normal 4882:20	4917:16 4954:6,7
4934:7	5002:18 5006:22	4922:4 5047:15	4976:9 5028:7
mulberry 4799:10	5036:19 5098:1,5	north 4839:13	5056:6 5060:10
multiple 4942:23	5104:15 5115:8	4841:18,24,25	5071:11 5074:10
multiply 5084:16	5118:3 5128:21	5107:13,18 5108:5	5076:2 5078:18
multitude 4829:2	new 4798:1,18,20,20	5108:10 5109:22	5111:23
n	4799:5,5,19 4800:5	5127:18	0
n 4799:1 4800:1	4800:5 4804:8	nose 4914:6,6	o 4798:12 4839:9
4801:1	4828:23,25 4862:24	notary 4798:18	o'clock 4822:3
name 4803:9 4828:3	4863:9,12 4871:13	note 4815:17	oath 4974:23 5044:4
4839:7 4912:15	4902:18 4910:15	5089:19	object 4804:2
4948:12 5011:23	4913:8 4914:17	notebooks 4837:10	4806:15 4807:19
narrower 4882:22	4917:11 4919:1,16	4886:19 4973:19	4818:6 4904:17
nationally 5092:14	4939:12 4989:5	5058:24 5098:20	4964:14 4967:13
nature 4809:19	5007:4 5022:5	5099:9	4970:20 4990:9
4812:25 4814:25	5038:15,21 5104:6	noted 4979:19	5018:20 5046:16
4858:21 4925:3	5108:4 5111:10	4990:6 5003:21	5057:18 5112:25
navy 5010:20	5113:1 5120:2	5084:1	5129:19
5028:13	5132:4	notes 4798:15	
near 4923:8 4995:25	nice 4837:8,9 4840:9	notice 4829:2	objected 4812:22,23 4814:12 5036:15
	4866:7	4834:9,14,15	
necessarily 4939:3	night 5100:18	4836:11 5004:5,9	5038:12 5039:4
necessary 4823:11	5101:11	5048:3,4 5125:20	5043:15
4856:5 5041:15	nine 5067:2 5102:14	number 4842:9	objecting 4815:18
need 4825:5 4850:4	niosh 4945:12	4845:23 4849:6,23	5129:12
4856:9 4932:4	nj2334756 4798:25	4854:22 4855:12	objection 4803:22
4943:13 4970:7	node 5085:8,14,23	4861:19,20,20,23,23	4804:22,25 4805:22
5081:5,6,16,17	5086:2	4872:18 4876:8	4806:25 4807:1
5091:16 5094:20	nodes 4921:15	4879:25 4881:15	4808:16 4809:19
5097:18 5099:23	4934:6 4966:13	4888:16 4894:18	4813:1,3,19
5100:23 5107:2	5085:11,20 5086:6	4901:24 4910:18	4814:13,16 4815:1
5124:20 5129:16	5086:24,25 5087:3	4918:19,21,22	4815:8,8,10
	5080.24,23 5087.3	4927:21 4938:18	4820:10 4824:14
	3007.10	7741.41 4730.10	

[objection - okay] Page 39

4827:2,12 4829:17	4964:24 4969:18	oil 4954:1,8,9	4976:3 4977:13
4833:12 4835:21	4975:22 4977:19	4955:1,6 4958:16	4978:12 4979:10,18
4886:9,10,11	4978:1 4979:2	4988:18,21,21,24,25	4979:22 4980:3,25
4892:12,13 4897:13	5028:3,6 5076:13	okay 4803:12,18	4981:5,21,21,23
4897:24 4898:2,5	5078:22 5080:7	4806:21 4807:4	4982:10,14,25
4901:9 4904:14	occupationally	4810:5 4811:7,14	4983:18,22,24,25
4909:3 4916:17,20	5020:5 5027:17	4811:24 4816:17	4984:3,10 4985:2
4927:13 4929:18	occupations	4817:7 4818:11	4985:14,19 4986:12
4959:24 4961:15,18	4869:19 4879:24	4819:20 4820:2	4986:18 4987:4,7
4964:5,9,10	4880:9 4937:20	4821:6,10,23	4987:11,18 4988:2
4965:16,16 4966:5	4949:1,9,11	4823:15 4824:15,16	4988:8,13,23
4970:10 4971:4,16	4953:24 4954:17,17	4825:7,24 4829:20	4989:1 4990:2,6
5036:2,6,6 5039:15	occur 4849:18	4833:7 4835:11,14	4991:1,3 4992:2,10
5102:20 5103:12,13	4879:19 4880:13	4836:3 4838:16	4993:19 4994:13,14
5105:9 5107:4	4883:9 4956:25	4839:11,19 4841:24	4994:18,21 4995:1
5109:1,24 5110:2	occurs 4847:12,13	4843:8 4847:18,21	4995:24 4996:6
5129:9,10 5130:2,2	4949:2	4848:14,18,25	4997:1,6,17 4998:1
objections 4803:15	ocd 4861:6	4855:1,7 4859:2,18	4998:7,13,17,24
4821:25 4833:8	ocf 5057:14 5060:19	4859:21 4860:21	4999:23 5000:5,13
5107:1 5124:18	5062:1 5066:13	4864:13,24 4865:21	5000:14,23,25
5128:22,24	october 4981:14,15	4865:24 4868:23	5001:1,2,10,22
objective 4872:13	4981:16,17,19,20	4869:14,18 4870:7	5002:1,12,21
4941:15	4990:23 4991:2	4870:24 4878:6,24	5003:5,9,21,25
observation 4939:23	5021:22,22,23,24	4884:7 4886:12	5004:3 5005:3
observations	odors 5123:2	4887:22 4888:7	5006:5,9,13
4903:13 4925:1	offer 4883:24	4889:19 4890:13,18	5007:16,22 5008:1
obsessive 4861:4	4886:6 5108:23	4892:14 4893:6	5008:5,11,21
4875:13	offered 4821:7	4895:15 4896:20	5009:7,11,15,20
obtained 4943:12	4826:21 4836:4	4898:21 4899:4	5010:1,9,22 5011:3
4977:6	4963:12 5121:3	4901:3,12 4905:13	5011:8 5012:3,14
obviously 4804:6	5129:6	4905:24 4906:7,13	5012:20 5013:3,6
4830:19 4890:9,10	offering 4822:18	4907:11 4914:20	5013:15,22 5014:10
5102:4 5119:15	4884:7 5065:20	4916:13 4920:12	5014:13,16 5015:14
5127:18	office 4828:4	4923:11 4926:23	5015:18,23 5016:4
occasion 4899:14	oftentimes 5072:8	4930:16 4931:17,20	5017:5,10,14
4978:24 5050:12	oh 4812:23 4813:16	4932:7 4935:14,19	5019:16,21,24
occasions 4978:5	4828:25 4835:4	4937:6 4941:21	5021:9,15 5022:3
occupants 5062:21	4910:17 4919:8	4945:25 4946:23	5023:2,17 5024:14
occupation 4948:21	4963:14 4987:1	4947:22 4950:19	5024:24 5025:5,10
4949:21 4951:11	5014:13 5067:4	4951:2,6 4952:25	5025:19 5026:15,23
4954:20 4955:9,13	5100:13 5101:21,24	4954:14 4956:12	5027:4,10,20
occupational	5109:4 5111:13	4957:3 4960:19	5028:16 5029:3,13
4874:25 4878:6,11	ohlemeyer 4817:12	4967:21,25 4968:1	5029:25 5030:3,10
4938:2 4956:21,25	4817:19	4968:21 4971:3,10	5031:3,25 5032:13
4958:11 4962:14		4971:13 4975:9,14	5033:24 5034:14

[okay - outside] Page 40

5035:4,20 5039:19	old 4807:19 4835:7	4925:7,19 4951:14	5117:20 5121:4,16
5041:14,18,22	4835:13,24 4863:1	4956:20 4957:13,17	5122:2,25 5123:4
5042:11,14,20	4878:4 4906:23	4958:5,10,13	5123:14 5130:25
5047:17 5048:7,15	4909:15,16 4918:4	4959:7,10,21	orcutt's 4816:19
5049:10,20,25	4938:9,10,11	4960:24 4961:1	order 4838:20
5051:19 5052:14,18	5006:23 5112:21	4970:9 4982:1,14	4861:1 4982:14
5052:21,25 5053:4	5116:20 5125:11	4985:12 4992:7	4993:4 5007:4
5053:12 5054:8	once 4842:12 4856:4	4993:5,18 5021:1	5027:18 5069:13
5055:2,5,9,15,18	4858:3 4860:9	5035:24 5044:23	5076:6 5091:11
5056:8,21,25	4893:12 4919:1	5045:1,8 5046:6,7	ordered 4940:17
5057:8 5058:11,13	4923:6 5041:24	5047:9 5049:3	4946:19
5058:19 5059:18,25	5077:10 5091:15	5053:8,17 5055:6	orders 4908:4
5060:13,18 5061:20	5109:3 5114:10,19	5060:8,25 5077:22	organic 4857:15
5062:4,10 5065:16	5114:21 5115:10	5079:10 5087:19	5069:13
5067:1,5,25 5068:9	oncologist 4975:21	opinions 4827:11	organization 4842:6
5068:15 5069:6,21	ones 4856:18 4880:8	4850:16 4852:19	4850:8 4853:21
5070:4,8,17 5071:8	4880:13,13 4932:10	4883:23 4888:12	4874:12 4875:3,7
5072:3,7,11,16	4932:12 4935:6	4890:1,21 4925:1	organizations
5073:5 5074:16	4936:17,24 4971:20	4961:4 4969:25	4852:22 4875:22
5075:19 5076:2,10	5012:11 5046:6	4972:16 4973:8	organs 4845:7
5077:20 5078:7,18	5086:23 5087:25	4991:10 4993:19	origin 5034:3
5079:1,9,14	5116:19 5129:6,18	5037:17 5044:5,7	original 4806:8
5080:23 5082:4,11	ongoing 5027:10	5046:2 5065:20	4886:2 4888:21,22
5082:18,22,25	open 4905:18	5088:1	4889:3,14,17,22
5083:8,12,19,24	4974:10 4993:17	opportunity	4890:3,17 4891:20
5084:8,20 5085:19	5064:13 5065:2	4804:16 4984:7	4893:7 4894:8,25
5086:6,16 5087:18	5099:8 5129:23	5107:23 5108:13,19	4899:25 4903:21,22
5087:22 5088:3,10	opened 5064:16	5109:6 5110:8	4910:20 4922:24
5089:18 5090:8	5116:11	5114:17 5120:21	4925:7 4926:17
5092:9,12 5095:23	opener 4987:1	5124:22	4959:2 4962:1,4
5096:1,9,12	opening 5043:18	oppose 5113:22	4969:2,6,11 5062:7
5097:19 5098:2,8	5044:18 5063:23	opposed 4823:6	originally 4986:22
5098:19 5099:3,11	5064:2	4849:3 4971:2	5069:21,22,23
5099:23 5100:3,9	operated 5041:11	5010:16 5020:15	osha 4945:12 5005:6
5100:16,19,22,25	operating 4902:18	5076:22 5103:20	5005:9,11 5078:13
5102:1 5103:9,22	operator 5022:6	5126:21	5078:14
5103:23 5104:7,14	opinion 4810:4	opposing 5078:14	oury 4867:12
5104:22,24 5105:17	4823:22 4883:19	optimistic 5101:22	4986:22
5106:17 5107:2,3	4893:6 4894:7,25	oranges 4827:12	outdated 4902:3
5109:25 5110:5	4895:5 4899:5	4834:5	outermost 5071:25
5113:8 5115:9	4900:6 4903:22	orcutt 4838:18	outside 4802:8
5116:4,13,16,19	4904:8,12 4906:10	5105:10,11,17,23	4804:17 4825:12
5122:14 5127:10,13	4911:3 4913:8	5106:3,9,14,22	4828:8,11 4829:9
5128:7,14 5130:8	4914:11 4918:8	5107:9,19 5108:2	4835:22,23 4836:19
5130:22	4919:23 4923:12	5111:8 5117:2,10	4919:6

outwards 4921:25	packaging 4807:18	4851:15,25 4852:11	5000:4 5001:20
4934:8	4807:18,22 4808:7	4852:13,16 4853:8	5002:1 5003:2
overall 5052:12	4808:9	4853:15,20 4854:5	5006:3 5009:2,23
5071:18	packed 5061:1	4871:4,5,7 4874:13	5010:11 5017:22,23
overestimated	5099:3	4874:17 4875:18	5052:12 5060:7
4917:13,17	packs 4997:21	4876:12 4877:6	5106:15,23 5112:1
overestimation	4998:2,7 5115:20	4978:13,22	5114:21 5115:22
4916:7,14 4918:22	5116:24 5127:14	panels 4854:1	5118:2 5123:6
4919:4 4920:6	page 4801:2,8	4978:9	5124:10
overlap 4876:13	4803:20 4809:16	panzarella 4798:3	participate 4871:18
overlaps 4836:2	4811:8,16 4817:11	4802:6 4837:14	participated
overnight 4895:8	4817:17,17,18,22	paper 4877:15	4871:20 4872:9
5099:10	4819:7,14 4820:19	4903:11 4929:2	particle 4858:19
overrides 5125:8	4820:20,21 4821:8	4959:23 5016:13,13	4870:15 4933:15,16
overruled 4804:22	4821:21 4822:9,10	5016:21 5090:9	4933:25,25
4808:16 4814:13	4823:18 4824:16	papers 4865:1,3	particles 4857:24
4898:1,5 4901:10	4826:5 4827:3	paraffin 4942:25	4859:22,24 4860:22
4909:4 4927:14	4835:3 4950:5,5	5068:5	4885:16 4896:21
5039:15	4965:24 4981:16	paragraph 4903:9	4898:23 4910:14
oversight 4829:18	4991:4 5005:25	5021:21,25 5022:22	4913:17 4934:1,3
overtime 5093:15	5012:3 5016:4	5023:5 5031:4,21	5076:18
owls 4840:10	5019:21 5030:11,11	5051:4 5067:25	particular 4810:23
p	5031:20 5032:19	5070:9 5084:5	4817:11 4845:6,7
p 4799:1,1 4800:1,1	5055:1 5070:8,14	5088:19	4879:19,24 4894:6
4801:10,10,11,11,12	5075:9 5081:3	paragraphs 4815:10	4899:13 4975:15
4801:12,13,13,14,14	5084:4 5088:19	park 4902:12	4978:19 5047:14
4801:15,15 4981:8	5089:13,14 5106:3	parmele 4830:2,3,13	5065:22 5098:9
4981:10 4984:2	pages 4803:21	4830:20,23 4831:1	particularly
5000:16 5011:9	4815:10 4872:17	4831:17 4832:1,15	4811:16 4846:6
5019:10 5024:23	5000:24 5052:1	parmele's 4833:18	5053:10,19
5029:14 5033:7,8	5112:7 5125:22	4834:6	particulate 4924:16
5075:2 5080:24	paid 4930:20	part 4804:19 4805:7	parties 5096:24
5085:17 5088:5,6	pain 4979:15	4806:4 4808:2	5098:15 5113:18
p.m. 5104:8 5131:3	painful 4979:11	4814:6 4816:14,19	partly 4977:3
p.o. 4800:5	paint 5001:4,12,23	4827:19 4830:19	parts 4826:21
pac 4933:10	painted 5001:5	4832:20 4833:2	5053:5
pack 4892:22	painter 5001:17	4849:3 4851:16	party 4993:8 5109:6
4997:18,23 5051:9	5002:11	4853:25 4855:4	5114:9,10,15
5074:11 5081:23	painters 5002:1,5	4874:11 4887:19	pass 4852:19
5116:11,25,25	painting 5001:13,17	4894:4 4896:25	passages 4811:3
package 4807:17	5002:23,25,25	4897:2 4908:9	5106:14
packaged 4808:8	5003:2,3	4911:8 4930:5,9	passing 4837:11
packages 4807:23	panel 4850:13,16,22	4944:4 4948:20,25	paterson 4798:20
5116:10	4850:23,23,24,24,24	4954:23 4973:1	4800:4
2110.10	4850:25 4851:6,9	4976:8 4988:25	

nathagania 1022:0	1025.20 22 1026.21	5027.10 17 25	nomioda 1992.2
pathogenic 4932:9	4935:20,23 4936:24	5027:10,17,25	periods 4882:2 4932:3
pathologically	4939:5,6 4949:20	5056:22 5076:23	
4847:3	4950:9 4955:20,22	5079:16 5091:7	periphery 4882:24
pathologist 4839:16	4966:22 4969:21	5099:4 5110:8	peritoneal 4986:9
4841:14 4843:18,19	4976:12 4977:25	people's 5034:17	4986:14
4843:21,24 4844:4	5025:16 5026:11	percent 4854:16	peritoneum 4986:6
4844:11 4850:18	5027:1,5,6,7 5033:5	4879:22 4880:19	permit 4965:2
4852:5 4900:10	5033:18 5050:11	4883:11,12 4893:25	permitted 4808:16
4942:3,18,19	5062:20 5081:22	4893:25 4915:5,9	5036:18 5038:11
4943:5 4975:22	pattern 4846:16	4915:13 4949:12	5039:6
4976:5,25	5077:9	4955:3,7,24	perpetuating
pathologists 4843:2	patterns 4846:14	4969:21,22 4988:12	4916:24
4866:22 4867:3	pauley 5057:15	5026:1,3,5,5,9,10	person 4823:25
4874:4 4875:1	5061:9,22,24	5029:6 5032:3,5	4852:10 4889:13
pathology 4841:11	pay 5093:10	5071:4,19 5072:4	4891:5 4920:21
4842:4,5,7,18	payoff 4827:3	5072:12,16 5074:2	4941:12 4957:4
4843:12,20 4845:6	peak 4944:18,19	5074:12,22 5075:14	4995:3,8 4996:2
4845:10 4851:1,5	peculiar 4975:15	5075:18	4999:13 5003:15
4853:18 4854:17,17	peer 4865:2 4961:23	percentage 4880:16	5020:4,11 5028:5
4860:2 4861:20	4971:19,21,23	4881:13,14 4892:5	5083:21 5093:5
4865:24 4866:21	4972:1,3,7,10	4915:17 4938:18	person's 4862:4
4868:11,21 4870:18	penetrate 4923:22	4954:23,25 4955:20	5074:22 5075:15
4870:19,24 4871:2	4932:16	4955:22 4988:8	personal 4924:25
4874:5 4886:7,13	penetrating 4924:5	5055:11 5071:23	5096:7,23
4927:5 4938:1	4934:18	5072:3	personally 4858:24
4942:1,2,8,11,14	pennsylvania	perfectly 5037:11	5097:17
4943:8 4946:25	5075:6 5117:1	perform 4895:24	persons 4899:15
4947:2,3 4966:13	pens 5098:21	4896:15 4897:7	perspective 4805:9
4971:1 4975:6,12	people 4821:1	4919:17	4887:13,15
4975:16,25 4976:13	4845:22 4849:7	performed 4859:4	pertinent 5034:19
5027:12,13 5091:18	4853:11 4861:3	4920:14 4943:23	pharmaceutical
paths 4828:5,11	4866:25 4869:18	performing 4809:23	4989:2,21,23
4829:8	4871:17 4872:25	4900:23	5011:5
pathway 4844:23	4877:20 4879:20	period 4803:24	phase 5056:19
patience 5099:11	4880:4 4881:10	4804:17 4805:23	phased 5012:25
patient 4844:8	4882:6 4899:24	4806:14 4810:21	philadelphia 5042:9
4861:16 4862:1	4926:3 4931:3	4826:7,8 4836:2	phones 4837:10
4896:24 4897:5	4935:15 4937:10	4854:1 4862:14	4974:8 5059:9
4904:1 4968:18	4940:21 4941:23	4882:4 4900:24	photo 5119:10
4977:2,20 4978:16	4953:19 4957:9	4902:1 4921:3	photograph 4811:9
patient's 4890:7	4962:12,19 4969:5	4953:4 4970:8	photographs 4811:5
patients 4855:8	4969:10 4972:15	4997:22 4998:2	5106:12 5110:17
4877:1,1,18,19	4973:7 4976:10	5005:21 5009:9	5112:3,8 5115:19
4878:14 4879:5	4996:13,20 5005:10	5010:7 5011:19	5117:9 5119:1,22
4882:8 4928:4	5018:14 5026:19		5121:12 5123:10,20

5124:25	4953:14,19 4992:13	5033:8 5064:6	4961:19 4971:17
photomicrographs	4992:16 5001:5,17	5083:5	4974:7 5059:8
5060:2	5001:18 5002:23,25	plaintiffs 4799:7	5072:24 5074:4
photos 5107:25	5001:18 5002:23,23	4815:17 4822:12	5095:16 5098:18
5121:3	5004.2 5000.13	4835:19 4837:20	5093.10 3098.18
phrase 4821:9		4925:10 4931:8	
_	5014:5 5015:1,8 5024:3 5077:17	4923:10 4931:8	plenty 4836:13
phrased 4905:5			pleura 4846:25
4917:2 4964:12	pipefitter 4955:11	5044:10,22 5118:15	4847:1,6,13 4848:5
physical 4880:3	pipes 4945:20	5124:17,23	4849:19,20 4850:2
physically 4811:22	4952:17,23 4953:5	planning 4967:18	4921:17 4932:2
4896:14	4989:9,10,16	plant 4965:4 4989:6	4956:4,5 4986:3
physicals 4947:4	5006:10,14 5014:1	5010:6	5054:20 5086:9,14
physician 4839:15	5014:6	plants 4988:21	5086:17 5087:1,12
4843:21 4844:7	piping 5010:24	plaque 4956:1	5087:15
5050:13	5011:5	4969:15 5043:1	pleural 4847:1
physicians 4845:4	place 4802:1 4837:7	5049:15	4862:9 4868:4,5
4850:14 4872:22	4866:7,9 4887:3	plaques 4847:2	4880:12,19 4882:6
4874:20,21	4913:14,16,20	4862:10 4868:5	4947:19 4955:3,7
physics 4909:5	4921:16,17 4945:6	4955:4,7,23	4955:23 4956:1,14
physiologically	4957:18 4966:1	4956:14,22,24,24	4956:17,22 4957:5
4915:11	4974:6 4997:20	4957:6,8 4958:15	4957:8 4958:14
pick 4806:17 4809:3	5005:11 5059:7	4969:10,20 4977:7	4968:8 4969:10,15
4886:22 4902:7	5062:18 5118:11	4979:20,24 5034:23	4977:7 4985:24
4973:24 5059:2	5132:7	5034:24 5035:2	5032:24 5033:5,19
5095:9 5128:9	placed 5004:18	5048:20	5034:23,24 5035:2
picked 4806:5	5124:18	plasterer 5002:11	5043:1 5048:20
picture 4809:22	plaintiff 4798:6	plastic 5004:11,19	5049:15,23 5050:4
5033:21 5072:24	4802:10,13 4861:17	5016:6	5050:10,19 5051:1
5073:13,19 5116:7	4885:19 4888:9,24	plastics 5014:11	5079:3,8 5080:4
5116:21	4889:1 4903:25	play 4894:24	5082:5,18,19
pictured 5116:9	4906:20 4931:11	5081:12 5088:23	pleurodesis 5033:4
pictures 5106:15	4973:1 5019:17	5106:13 5110:24	5033:4,18
5110:23 5115:12	5047:10 5048:1	played 4980:15,21	plunger 5067:13
5116:5,10 5117:2	5125:9	4998:4 5115:11	5068:3
5117:20,24 5119:13	plaintiff's 4822:24	playing 5106:19	plus 5067:13 5103:2
5124:10 5125:23	4823:1 4829:23	plaza 4799:9	pneumoconiosis
5126:3 5127:5,6,18	4830:19 4833:8	pleadings 4967:10	4854:20
piece 4942:22	4837:1 4868:20	4967:19	point 4806:6 4823:4
5126:25 5127:17	4887:13 4926:7	please 4802:9	4832:14 4840:24,25
pieces 4942:20	4948:17 4963:3	4837:9,17 4839:22	4862:24 4900:6
pinched 5067:7	4966:15 4972:19	4893:21 4912:20	4973:8 4993:3,15
pioneers 4941:23	4973:5,7 4981:12	4917:25 4929:20	5036:17 5038:13
pipe 4939:10 4945:1	4999:10 5000:18	4937:24 4942:14	5039:3 5041:19
4945:2 4946:8	5011:11 5019:12	4951:21 4956:1	5047:20 5066:6
4951:3 4952:7,9,15	5024:24 5029:16	4958:12 4959:10	5069:22 5087:24

[point - process] Page 44

5098:18 5101:1	5028:9 5030:20	4857:3,7 4858:2,18	5108:22,23 5109:5
5111:22 5122:20,21	5031:9 5032:13	4859:10 4863:2	5114:12,16 5119:9
5125:8	5035:12 5040:5,16	4895:14 4896:19	5120:12
pointed 4915:15	potentially 4977:5	4911:14 4936:2	private 4876:7
4926:2 4927:11,23	5000:7 5003:5	4947:13 4962:25	4877:1 5113:6
4928:2 5108:15	5087:17	4968:24 5030:20	pro 5047:10
points 4816:13	powdered 4952:12	5089:25 5098:13,25	probabilities
4826:4	pr 4828:12	5107:9 5108:22	5038:13
popular 4857:21	practice 4842:1,7,19	5109:23	probability 5036:9
population 4883:14	4977:24	presentation 5046:8	5039:1
4936:3 5082:23	pratt 4866:3	5046:9 5090:21	probably 4824:19
portal 4930:24,25	precursor 4820:18	5091:20	4829:18 4853:10
portion 4804:21	4882:10	presentations	4854:5 4861:5
5106:24	predicate 4822:17	4854:24 5092:14	4876:15 4877:3
portions 5000:18	predictive 4969:20	presented 4824:5	4887:9,25 4888:3
position 4830:13	predominant	4918:2 5090:17	4906:24 4907:3
4911:21 4922:23	4937:19 4954:13	5091:2	4908:11,25 4909:1
4959:5,21 5088:15	predominate	preservation	4913:13 4918:15
positions 5023:9	4938:12	5107:13,16,19	4921:18 4925:15
positive 4969:20	preformed 5067:16	5108:1,19	4932:12 4946:6
4999:13	prejudice 4831:21	preserved 4943:18	4956:25 4985:5
possibility 5017:6	4834:3 5122:1	pressure 5014:1	4998:12 5024:12
5036:21 5097:12	5125:7,8	pretty 4851:24	5070:10 5077:9
possible 4856:4	prejudicial 4823:20	4861:9 5073:7	probed 5119:10
4866:23 4901:14	4826:1 4833:15	prevailed 5113:19	problem 4808:23
4927:22,24 4991:14	prep 5068:22	prevented 4809:23	4818:25 4824:24
5000:6 5017:20	preparation	previous 4815:9,10	4827:25 4829:19
5021:3 5025:10	5068:19 5069:8	5065:19	4836:22 4910:23
5038:14	prepare 4817:13	previously 4812:21	4965:11 5130:8
poster 4816:20	4818:16	4812:24 4868:6	problems 4853:12
potency 5047:12	prepared 4817:19	4922:6 5039:8	4907:4
5056:23 5057:6	4817:23,23 4818:22	5047:19 5129:1	procedure 4896:24
potent 4890:24	4844:10 4966:8	pride 4823:5	4897:7 4908:2
5003:22 5045:15,19	5068:2 5091:12	primarily 4977:1	5016:8
5045:25 5046:11	5108:10 5117:25	4988:2	procedures 4848:2
5047:15 5052:7	5123:11 5125:9	primary 4845:2	4848:8 4860:25
5053:1,3,10,13,14	preparing 4818:4	print 5090:7	4902:15,17
5053:19 5055:19,25	5100:1	prior 4809:5 4816:1	proceed 4887:4
5056:4 5057:4	presence 4802:2,8	4881:8 4895:10	4906:1 4961:20
potential 4885:3,23	4837:7 4887:3	4904:21 4951:24	proceeded 4878:18
4909:11 4980:4	4911:7 4956:22	4976:22 4980:1	proceeding 5132:6
4983:19 4984:11	4974:6 4979:20,23	4982:3 4987:12,13	proceedings
4987:8 4990:3	5059:7	4991:24 5009:24	4798:16
4994:8 4997:2	present 4815:7	5042:9 5067:8	process 4804:7,8,9
5004:22 5027:22	4838:21 4848:24	5091:20 5107:10	4810:20 4814:6,12

[process - put] Page 45

	1		
4815:25 4816:8,14	5014:3 5018:10	public 4798:18	5107:25 5112:9,11
4817:1,15,18,24,25	5020:6,12 5026:11	4828:9	5113:19 5115:13,19
4836:16 4844:21,22	5030:18 5031:1,11	publication 4865:4	5116:6,14,15,17,20
4844:23 4849:16,25	5031:12,17	4870:25 4891:9	5116:22 5117:8,13
4856:7 4858:23	professional 4841:4	4907:16 4929:24	5117:24,24 5118:20
4859:23 4860:21	4842:3 4850:7	4937:5 5077:23	5119:2,13 5120:11
4863:23 4896:14	4874:12	5091:8,16,20	5120:15 5124:11
4910:19 4916:6	professor 4843:12	publications	5126:24
4922:8,11 5066:8	proffer 4905:9	4853:23 4864:25	publishers 4972:3
5082:15	4960:17 5044:20	4865:6 4869:6	publishes 4854:3
processes 4836:14	5108:7 5112:20	4971:18,21,22	publishing 4865:18
4885:16	program 4874:3	publicity 4845:24	4884:10 4941:24
proclaiming 5065:1	progressed 4966:16	publish 4853:21	5091:8
produce 4945:21	progression 4885:12	4877:14 4878:24	puff 5067:22 5068:1
5032:23 5125:16	project 4878:2	4902:22 4925:21	5068:2 5070:23
produced 4812:2	projections 5104:18	4941:8 5091:17	puffing 4909:7
4928:1 5121:15,16	projects 4983:2	5126:10,20,21,24	puffs 5067:1
5125:2	promised 5097:15	5128:21 5129:10	5070:21 5071:3,9
producing 4945:5	5097:20	5130:3	pull 5103:16 5128:2
product 4812:3	promote 4820:24	published 4811:12	pulled 5067:13,22
4820:24 4821:13	pronunciation	4853:22 4854:21	5068:12
4831:20 4834:7	4912:3,4	4864:25 4865:4,11	pulling 4909:6
4945:24 4952:12	proof 5017:10	4865:21 4866:11,13	pulmonary 4851:1
4976:21 4977:18,19	propensity 5054:19	4870:9,10,12	4966:12
4991:22 4995:17	proper 4857:9	4872:23 4873:2,8	pulmonologist
4996:2 5000:6	4992:19 4993:21	4877:8 4878:23	4975:21
5018:8 5026:20	5086:19	4881:9 4891:2	pulmonologists
5034:16 5037:3,18	properties 5012:8	4894:11 4895:17	4867:3 4874:24
5045:5,8,24	proposition 4966:20	4901:22 4903:3	purchased 4901:18
5046:13 5077:3	prosequendum	4905:3 4912:4,9,19	pure 5004:7
5122:16 5124:9	4798:4	4913:5 4924:24	5009:17 5015:1
production 4812:7	protect 4933:7	4925:16 4927:4	purely 4989:23
5022:7 5125:2	protection 4908:2	4929:17 4938:1	purpose 4804:21
products 4804:1	4912:13 5005:18	4939:17,20 4940:16	4808:14 4821:6,14
4864:11 4869:20	5033:15	4941:5 4943:13	4824:21 4837:2
4884:21 4939:12	protective 5007:13	4959:17,23 4963:2	4936:7 5057:23
4940:19,24 4941:3	5016:1,3,12	4966:13 4969:19	purposes 4875:9,9
4944:14,23 4945:7	protein 4858:9	4972:19 4973:2,6	4875:10,11 5035:14
4946:4,18 4948:8	proven 5115:24	4984:16 4986:21,21	5044:18
4952:5 4953:3	provide 5019:6	4986:23 5011:22	pursuant 5008:18
4957:22 4958:20	5088:21	5024:21 5029:25	push 4909:9
4976:21 4977:12	provided 4886:20	5035:22 5046:21,23	put 4855:13 4862:22
4983:6 4985:21	4964:4 4968:5	5061:11 5062:5,13	4866:1,5,8,17
4986:14 5006:7	4982:15 5020:20	5062:25 5087:23	4871:3 4898:22
5007:19,23 5013:4	5096:20 5129:7	5088:11 5090:10,13	4910:14 4912:12

[put - realize] Page 46

4917:11 4919:16	quartz 4846:2	quirin 5106:9	rationale 4890:15
4939:11 4940:18	quartz 4040.2 question 4805:16	5107:10,11,14	5111:15 5126:23
4942:20 4945:1	4808:21,24 4817:13	5112:7 5123:12,17	rats 4894:3 4915:4
4950:16 4951:20	4819:15,19 4820:18	quit 4862:6,6	4915:10
4954:12 4988:17,20	4820:22,22 4821:7	quite 4804:6	rays 4847:4 4858:20
4992:21 4997:23	4821:12 4822:13	4962:22 5110:17	razor 4943:2
4998:18 5006:10,14	4827:4 4828:1	quote 5021:12	rcedillo 4799:11
5007:5,8 5033:21	4832:23 4835:5,7	5034:16 5040:10	reach 4908:23
,	·		
5034:17 5038:12	4878:6,13 4882:12	quoted 4925:24	5054:20
5040:18 5047:1	4896:8 4905:4,10	4982:7	reached 4916:14
5048:3,4,14	4905:19 4914:22	r	4917:12 4919:15
5053:22 5054:3	4916:24 4917:2	r 4798:12 4799:1,4	4922:11 4999:13
5055:15 5068:18	4928:18 4929:10,24	4800:1,4 4839:9	reaches 5079:3
5069:17,25 5092:14	4935:3,17 4961:11	radiate 4980:11	react 4849:20
5098:20 5102:11	4961:13,19 4963:9	radiation 4881:8,8,8	4933:17
5109:3,5 5114:3,11	4963:17 4964:11,23	4881:12,17 4980:8	reactive 4849:25
5120:9,10	4968:3 4970:21	radiographically	read 4811:15
puts 4807:5 4943:4	4971:17,24 4976:14	4847:3	4815:19 4821:4
5019:4	4977:2,14,18	radiologist 4956:11	4826:24 4928:21
putting 4863:11	4978:16,19 4995:5	4956:16	4929:7 4966:3
4866:9 4871:7	5016:11,15 5018:25	radiologists 4867:2	4984:7 5001:8
4896:21 4982:6	5020:16,23 5036:12	4874:24	5005:14 5013:11
5007:20 5048:2	5039:15,16 5065:8	radiology 4947:7	5022:9,14 5032:16
5076:15 5113:13	5065:23 5075:12	raise 4814:9	5032:25 5039:17
5114:9,10	5080:21 5096:2,2	raised 4964:20	5043:13 5066:9
px 4926:12	5101:13 5106:1	5037:13 5129:25	5067:10,17 5068:7
q	5113:17 5118:22	ran 4952:23	5070:24 5088:24
_	5125:6 5126:16		5089:5 5090:5
qualification 4813:7	5129:25	range 5010:17	5091:13 5106:22
qualified 4810:3	questioned 5121:13	5111:24 5112:1,4,5	5107:14 5119:9
4815:14 4826:23	questioning 4967:5	5125:20	readers 4833:23
4886:13 5065:11	questions 4824:9	rapid 4915:16,25	reading 4815:19
qualify 4815:12,13	4863:16 4870:5	4922:8,10,18	4818:17,23 4831:10
4960:16	4883:19 4884:6	rapidly 4863:25	4911:22 4922:14
qualitative 5066:5	4906:4 4907:17	rare 4850:18	5019:1 5067:4
5066:12,15	4919:5 4965:11	4855:10	5071:5 5105:8
quality 4810:20	4983:25 5027:5	rarely 5082:22	ready 4837:3 4839:4
4812:6 4820:25	5082:25 5109:15	rate 4917:13	4840:14 5047:17
5122:16	5119:7	4930:13 4931:3,11	real 4907:10
quantification	quicker 5104:16	5051:9 5077:15	5120:21
5089:2	quickly 4814:23	rates 4883:2	realistic 4909:1
quantify 4857:8	4877:13 4931:16	4917:18	realization 4908:12
4858:1 4936:2	4944:2,21 5120:21	ratio 4815:22	realize 4906:21
quantitative 5066:5	quincy 4842:25	5052:13,15	4907:3,18 4908:8
	quincy 4042.23		5097:15 5115:10
			3077.13 3113.10

[realized - related] Page 47

realized 4911:23	5005:3 5007:10	recovering 5111:11	5044:6,7,16
4922:13 4925:11	5017:8 5018:17	5111:14 5112:18	5047:20,24 5049:10
realizing 5104:19	5027:2 5028:15	5119:18	5059:13 5064:10
really 4815:2	5059:16,19 5060:17	recycled 5126:5	5066:2 5088:20
4860:24 4879:25	5061:5,14 5074:7	redid 5110:22	5105:9 5115:12
4899:6 4906:25	5075:21 5078:17,23	5120:3	5123:18 5124:12,25
4919:24 4941:23	5080:11,18,21	redirect 5058:10	5126:4
4943:13 5037:8	5084:25 5085:1,3	5064:10	regarding 4847:22
5040:8 5124:21	5092:6 5124:8	reduce 4918:18	4886:2 4888:19
reanalysis 4908:17	recalled 4980:7	reduces 5015:12,20	4893:7 4906:10
reask 4917:4	receive 4839:22	5015:22	4914:17 4918:3
reasked 4808:25	received 4839:25	reducing 4918:24	4922:6 4923:3
reason 4831:22	4841:2 4845:24	reed 4799:13	4925:7,18 4927:17
4855:23 4920:3	4947:1,11	refer 4817:10	4946:24 4947:9,15
4922:22 4962:21	recess 4887:1	4866:17,22 4867:1	4963:24 4970:1
4977:9 5022:24	4974:4 5059:5	reference 4927:16	4991:10,22 5020:19
5036:15 5041:18	recipe 4861:9	5060:13	regardless 4878:11
5046:20 5054:7	reciprocal 5111:1	referenced 4926:20	5109:13 5120:12
5102:8 5120:8	recognize 5019:13	4966:19 5116:20	regional 4937:17
5126:22,23	5081:1	references 4828:21	regular 4858:7,10
reasonable 4883:25	recognized 4852:2	4866:6 4951:10	4858:11 5045:19,23
4891:23 4921:4	4881:7,16 4882:5	4966:11	5091:17
5020:13 5036:8	4992:16 5011:25	referencing 4953:23	regulations 5008:18
5038:3 5039:1	5024:4	referral 4850:15	5008:25 5078:15
5103:10	recollection 4930:3	referred 4852:20	rehashing 5112:16
reasonably 5081:11	5016:22	4877:2 4878:25	reinert 4808:6
reasons 4890:15,20	reconsideration	5055:23 5124:11	5114:6
4890:25 5036:18	4959:16,18,20	referring 4816:15	reinserted 5068:3
5042:15 5046:15	reconvene 5098:22	4912:4 4927:6	reinventing 4864:2
5052:2 5053:22	record 4822:5,7	4985:10 5072:24	reject 4925:5
5070:12,18 5126:18	4839:7 4886:25	refined 4888:15	4984:11,21 4985:16
reassess 4906:15	4951:18 4965:23	refineries 4958:17	4997:14
4911:3	4974:3 5059:4	4988:22 4989:8	rejected 4987:7
reassessing 4914:11	5099:20,23 5114:2	refinery 4989:6	4997:2 5078:1,6
reassessment	5118:14 5119:16	reflect 5071:12	relate 4846:17
4906:6	5120:22,23,24	reflected 4999:8	related 4842:24
recall 4814:8	5124:19	refund 5122:13	4846:23 4855:12
4838:17 4893:2	records 4885:19	regard 4802:5	4865:7,10,12,22
4894:20 4912:17	4897:16 4947:13	4803:15 4804:25	4866:24 4867:17
4952:8,11,19	4948:19 4951:7,9	4836:11 4837:14	4868:13 4870:8
4970:5,6 4975:12	4956:13 4979:14,19	4915:3 4917:7	4871:23 4872:16,20
4980:5 4985:4,6	4994:22 4997:21	4973:21 4985:19	4872:24 4882:1
4989:3,22 4990:1	5008:17 5009:8,10	4992:5 4993:20	4883:15 4884:12
4994:24 5004:11,13	recover 5125:12	4994:21 5024:14	4886:14 4887:19,24
5004:15,17,25		5034:25 5037:18	4900:11,19 4930:6

[related - research] Page 48

4930:11 4936:5	4826:18,19 4831:5	5006:2 5024:3	reporter 4798:18
4939:18 4944:5	4832:25 4836:6	render 4991:9	5132:4
4964:25 4971:1	4919:24 4928:7	rendered 4979:6	reporting 4901:6
4984:18 5012:1	reliability 4959:22	renovation 4983:2	reports 4854:23
5029:20,20 5055:11	4960:6,13 4970:14	repair 4951:4	4855:1 4856:2,5
relates 4922:1	reliable 4970:9	repeat 5039:17	4866:5 4942:1,8
5123:14	5058:7	repeated 4903:15	4947:5,7 4969:13
relation 4828:7	reliably 4970:17	repetition 4812:6	4990:23 5041:7
4921:9 5127:5	reliance 5118:18,21	rephrase 4897:14	represent 4905:6
relations 4828:9	relied 5050:7	4917:22,25 4929:20	5032:3 5121:5
relationship 4893:8	5054:22 5055:5,9	replaced 5001:7	representation
relative 5017:20	5057:12 5058:5	5006:10	5114:1 5116:3
5021:3 5055:13	5060:7,21 5061:17	replacement 4833:1	5117:9
5056:23 5057:4	5065:19 5079:1,14	replacing 5003:7	representative
relatively 4850:18	relitigating 5127:2	5004:2	4815:24 4918:14
5032:20	rely 5056:20	replied 5107:15	represented 5071:3
release 4810:1	5065:25 5066:2	report 4811:6	representing
4811:19 4892:19	5082:8	4852:12 4855:10,11	4822:12 4928:22
4918:3 4934:1	remain 5077:1	4855:19,21,25	5092:13
4958:18 4960:8	remaining 5067:7	4856:8 4861:16,25	represents 5031:8
4970:18 5004:23	remains 4804:22	4942:2,6 4947:2,5,6	reproducible
5051:16 5054:10	remember 4803:9	4951:22 4957:4	5126:16
5057:9 5058:4	4813:19 4815:3	4960:3,14 4963:1	requested 4981:25
5059:15 5066:3	4816:22 4886:20	4963:22 4977:8,10	require 4972:24
5070:22 5098:17	4961:10 4970:15	4979:6 4981:5,13	5010:25
5103:20	4973:19,20 4978:23	4982:3 4984:7	required 4814:20
released 4892:20	5016:2 5039:16	4987:13 4990:15,21	requirements
4909:12 4926:1	5058:24,25 5085:4	4991:2,24 4992:14	4842:9
4945:17 5049:7	5091:10 5096:19	4992:21,23 4994:4	requires 5005:6
5060:3,15,24	5116:23	4997:23 5000:10	resaid 5110:22
5060:3,13,24	remind 4810:5	5001:2 5021:16,18	research 4811:11
5070:11 5077:3	4966:14 5121:18	5021:22 5022:25	4843:14 4854:10
5081:9	5122:2	5023:2,18 5024:8,9	4855:4 4863:14,16
releasing 4909:11	reminded 4922:15	5023:2,16 3024:6,7	4864:9 4866:25
5061:14 5102:21	removal 4922:11	5035:14 5036:7,11	4869:25 4871:5
relevance 4804:2,3	4951:4 5016:7	5036:14 5037:9,18	4874:4 4879:17
4805:1 4806:1	remove 4807:10	5037:19,24 5038:5	4884:10,12,15
4815:18 4820:11	4831:15 4896:25	5038:8,10,23	4885:2,6 4891:8
4825:25 4833:15	5005:11 5122:8	5039:22 5040:18,22	4902:12 4906:13
4834:3	removed 4921:14,18	5059.22 5040.18,22	4907:16 4916:14
	,		4907:16 4916:14
relevancy 4807:19 4808:3	4922:3,17 5001:6 5009:4	reported 4903:13	4918:1 4923:8
		4965:24,25 5026:21	
relevant 4803:24	removing 4921:25	5029:2 5086:3	4944:4 4948:25
4804:14 4806:12	4948:4 4992:16	5132:6	4959:23 4970:2
4812:2 4826:9,16	5003:6 5004:1		4972:25 4973:22

[research - right] Page 49

5062:6 5090:22	restated 4819:19	4972:1,3,7,10	4930:4,22 4931:6
5091:4 5110:21	result 4833:21	4987:24 4989:25	4931:14 4932:23
5113:25	4864:8 4882:20	5000:21 5040:23	4933:23 4934:10,21
researcher 4854:13	4893:14 4904:5	5061:11	4936:7 4943:7
4855:23 4924:21	4908:11 4919:19	reviewing 4930:23	4944:1 4946:11,20
4960:23 4972:20	4999:13 5017:24	rhythmically	4948:16,24 4949:7
reserve 5128:5	5028:21 5041:6	4921:24	4954:21 4958:25
reserved 4830:1,7	5046:23	ricardo 4799:9	4961:14,15 4969:24
4832:20	resulted 4920:6	4802:17 4837:24	4973:11 4974:24
residency 4865:16	5117:12	rice 4839:24	4975:4 4977:2,16
4865:18 4874:2	resulting 4908:10	4840:10,10,18	4977:21 4978:5,7
resident 4841:10	results 4859:12	rid 4805:22 4806:24	4978:13,17 4980:8
4860:2	4899:22 4903:13	4807:5 4857:14	4980:13,25 4983:5
residents 4843:14	4938:12 4942:4	4915:17 4916:1	4983:20 4986:3,19
4874:2	5084:4 5126:11,21	5069:13	4987:22,25 4988:3
residue 5068:2	resuspend 4910:13	ride 4934:8	4988:6,15,24
resins 4924:2	retain 5093:1,3	right 4807:8 4812:8	4989:16 4993:25
resolution 4858:16	retained 4982:16	4816:24 4819:8,9	4995:20 4996:4,14
resolve 4823:2	retainer 5093:5,9	4820:16 4824:4,11	4997:24 4998:5,10
resource 4851:25	retention 5093:4,8	4825:8 4832:12	4998:22 4999:2,10
4877:10	5093:12,22	4833:3 4837:11	4999:16,17 5001:14
respect 4989:13	retentions 5093:19	4839:17 4841:3,21	5001:19 5002:15
respectfully 4991:8	rethink 4911:21	4843:16 4844:3	5003:7,12,16,19,23
respective 4975:17	rethinking 4900:6	4845:5,19 4849:10	5004:7,19,23
respirable 4915:5	retired 4822:14	4850:10 4851:8,18	5005:4,23,25
5015:10 5045:6	4901:21	4852:21 4856:22	5006:11 5007:14,20
respirator 5005:1	returns 5104:3	4858:3 4865:17	5008:15,25 5009:13
5005:17 5007:13	reveal 4995:2	4866:16 4871:12	5009:22 5010:10
5014:24 5015:19	revealed 4996:21,24	4872:11 4873:18	5011:1,20 5012:18
respirators 5005:7	revere 5057:14	4874:5 4875:17,21	5012:22 5014:1,6,8
5005:12	5060:14 5061:5,25	4875:24 4876:4,21	5018:2,5,10
respiratory 4908:15	5066:13	4877:7 4878:19,21	5020:15 5021:25
4912:1 4913:19	reverse 5072:13	4880:23 4883:17	5022:21 5023:6,9
4914:7 4915:1,6,14	review 4804:16	4886:24 4890:1,8	5023:25 5025:3
4932:1 4934:20	4904:11 4942:7,11	4891:7 4894:5,23	5026:1,4,12,20
5011:15	4946:23 4947:8,14	4895:4,15 4898:6	5027:13 5028:8,17
responding 4905:18	4953:12,17 4956:12	4899:12,22 4901:15	5030:7 5031:12
response 4917:21	4963:10 4972:4	4902:7,20 4903:18	5032:11 5033:5
4992:6 5128:11	4998:19 5011:15	4904:9 4906:15	5040:16,17,19,20
responsibilities	5088:2 5089:4	4908:6 4909:18	5041:10 5042:12,18
5022:12 5023:12	reviewed 4818:12	4910:25 4911:5,17	5043:2 5047:17
responsible 4989:12	4865:2 4876:6	4914:3,9,14 4916:4	5050:23 5051:21,24
rest 4807:6 4824:8	4885:18,21 4886:1	4917:9 4918:7	5052:16 5053:15
5073:14 5082:1	4904:21 4961:23	4919:3,14 4920:2,5	5055:7,16,20
5103:5	4971:19,21,23	4923:2 4926:19	5057:1,15 5058:14

[right - says] Page 50

5062:22 5064:13	4974:15 4984:11	ruiz 4961:12	gompling 5009.22
5062:22 5064:13			sampling 5008:23 san 4799:10
,	4991:5,9,20 4994:4	rule 5047:18	
5066:24 5067:2,20	4997:8 5008:6	5111:20 5115:8	sandblasting 4846:3
5067:23 5068:25	5019:11 5025:23	ruled 4813:25	sat 4986:22 5114:7
5069:4,14,17,22	5028:18 5030:4	4814:6 4826:17	satisfied 4842:11
5071:6,16,20	5032:11 5033:3	4830:9 5043:15,25	saturday 5097:24
5072:25 5073:3,6	5038:4,10 5045:24	5047:21,23 5105:19	5097:25 5098:2
5073:17,20 5074:14	5048:15 5062:22	5118:4 5121:7	save 4931:20 5101:2
5075:16,24 5076:4	5063:2,6 5065:24	rules 4814:20	saved 4862:19
5076:8,18 5077:11	5066:1,19 5071:1	4815:12 5071:12	savvy 4862:20
5077:18,23 5079:22	5094:2 5095:4	5110:7 5121:9	saw 4825:11 4828:4
5080:1 5083:17	5097:1 5126:17	ruling 4824:13	4828:10 4830:3
5085:5,12 5086:4	roggli's 4840:7	4830:5 4834:13	4888:1 4954:6,20
5086:22 5087:3,6	4970:15 4990:14	4905:15 5039:9	5060:10 5108:5
5087:10,13,16	5036:7	rulings 4904:21	5109:11
5088:8 5089:1	role 4810:8 4812:10	4905:7	saying 4813:20
5090:15 5091:9	4863:13 4976:25	running 4810:19	4815:3 4822:9
5092:1,10,23	4980:16,21 4998:4	4952:16	4877:16 4981:25
5093:2,6,13,22	5081:12 5088:23	runs 4812:1 5124:9	4985:6 4987:1
5094:23 5096:10	5089:23 5124:1	rushing 4804:13	5020:10 5058:8
5099:19 5101:16	roll 4813:22	S	5073:10 5112:20
5102:9,12,20	4817:18,25	s 4799:1 4800:1	says 4805:15,18
5104:1,17 5105:2,5	rolled 4817:4	4801:7 4948:13	4814:24 4816:2
5105:18 5116:1	5067:7	4983:12	4818:25 4821:9,9
5118:23 5119:8	rolling 4817:3	saf 5089:10	4827:8 4828:10,20
5120:20 5124:6	roman 4951:20	safely 4887:17	4829:4 4830:15
5128:8 5129:2	4953:1	sales 4820:14	4856:9 4873:10
5130:15,23	room 5007:11	5122:4	4898:2 4917:17
ring 5050:14	rooms 5004:6,7	salesman 5122:5	4929:3,4 4960:6
rise 4941:1	5015:1	salesperson 5125:5	4965:20,23 4966:8
risk 5002:2 5031:9	rose 5111:1	sample 4843:22	4966:23,25,25
robbing 5113:13	rosenzweig 4810:23	4844:2 4860:16	4981:15,17,19,20
rocket 4860:24	rotate 5122:6	4942:16,20,24	4985:5 4991:5
roggli 4801:3	roth 5042:6,9,11	4947:6 4978:17	4992:15 4993:3
4803:7 4825:11	5048:15,20 5049:4	samples 4843:25	4999:12 5012:23,24
4838:25 4839:1,2,8	5049:21 5051:20,21	4844:8,9 4848:22	5013:20 5016:9,17
4839:11 4886:6	5065:24 5079:23	4857:4,13 4860:3,7	5022:19,23 5030:12
4906:3 4918:1	5081:1 5082:4	4860:7 4880:19	5031:4,7 5032:3,13
4922:17 4924:20	roth's 5048:24	4910:4,5 4925:14	5032:19 5037:4
4928:22 4934:22	roughly 5084:16	4926:3 4928:10	5038:14 5051:7
4942:7 4953:2,12	rounds 4944:25	4941:18 4942:23	5055:24 5067:1,5
4960:4 4964:13	4945:1	4943:14 4960:9	5067:12 5070:10
4965:13,13 4966:11	routine 4902:17	5008:22 5054:24	5071:18 5089:8,22
4966:11 4967:6	4977:24,25 4978:2	5079:16	5090:6 5102:2
4971:18 4973:10,18	4978:3	3077.10	5116:23 5122:17

[scan - seven] Page 51

scan 4956:15	sdefeo 4799:19	4983:11,15 4985:4	send 4844:9 4850:19
scanning 4902:14	seated 4834:25	4987:19 5002:9	4852:7,13,15,18
scarring 4846:13,14	4837:9 4974:7	5005:25 5012:5,15	4859:18 4942:17
4846:25 4862:8	5059:8	5013:1,10,17,23,25	4972:3 5093:9
4956:3,5	seats 5095:3	5015:1,10,17,23,23	5122:8
scars 4846:16	second 4806:16,21	5020:2,16 5021:19	sending 4942:6
schedule 5098:13	4849:3 4850:16	5020.2,10 3021.19	sends 4942:23
5099:1	4866:12 4867:13,19	5022:1 5023:1,21	5093:5
science 4860:25	4870:20 4909:19	5030:3,15,22	senior 4927:9
4885:12 4924:22	4938:20 4981:16	5030:3,13,22	sense 4989:7
4925:3,4,6,10	5021:21,25 5023:5	5031:4,22 3032:8	5069:10
5046:20 5110:21	5021:21,23 5023.3	5053:17 5054:4,12	sent 4829:3 4843:25
5114:1 5115:3	5070:23 5084:4	5057:10 5060:19	4844:6 4861:16
sciences 5064:1	5114:11,16	5066:20 5070:13,16	4898:7 4998:18,22
scientific 4863:15	secondarily 4850:2	5073:14 5077:10	4999:9,14 5107:10
4864:25 4865:5	secondarity 4830.2 secondly 4831:14	5081:5,7,16,17	5122:10
4869:11 4877:8	4880:11 4915:15	5082:2 5089:12	sentence 4806:16,17
4878:25 4883:25	4918:16 5110:10	5094:7 5095:5,16	4806:22 4807:5
4884:11 4902:23	seconds 5067:14	5097:9,10 5099:11	5023:18,23 5030:17
4913:4,6 4924:24	section 4832:24	5102:8 5103:15	5032:18 5051:5
4924:25 4925:17	4869:3 4897:10	5105:2 5107:2	5067:3,12 5084:12
4960:25 4972:12	4903:19 4928:21	5116:12 5123:21	sentences 5089:22
4995:7 5014:17	4967:14 4984:4	5130:8 5131:1	separate 4848:3
5049:7 5051:16	5012:14	seeing 4863:21	4849:17 4859:8
5054:9 5057:8	sectional 4856:19	4925:14 4939:24	4942:24 4955:5
5059:14 5089:19	sections 4870:22	4949:24 4950:2	5118:1
5091:17	4943:3 4952:2	seeking 5115:11	september 4876:20
scientist 4855:22	4983:24	seeks 4808:15	5075:4
4924:21 4960:22	see 4811:16 4816:4	seen 4811:18 4813:5	series 4884:5
4970:22,25 4972:20	4816:11 4818:14	4816:16,18 4854:5	serpentine 5012:15
5063:19 5064:8,9	4819:1,20,21	4858:15 4876:9,11	5012:17
5065:13,14	4822:6 4826:5	4876:16 4895:21	served 4812:11
scope 4835:22,23	4837:8 4846:14,15	4976:9 4983:22	4960:3 5028:12
4836:19 4960:17	4846:21 4850:20	4998:11 5000:19	serves 4863:24
4961:5 4970:18	4856:10 4858:10	5009:10 5060:1,1	service 4843:15
4992:11,12 4993:16	4860:4,18 4863:22	5062:16 5088:17	set 5004:6 5118:1
4993:21 5057:22,25	4868:21 4878:7,9	segment 4815:24	5132:7
5058:1 5106:20	4879:7 4886:25	5106:8,22	setting 4824:8
score 4842:17	4904:25 4910:5,18	seldom 4828:5,11	4945:6 4956:22
scotts 4985:19,21	4912:10 4926:9	4829:8	4976:17 5045:5,10
4987:7	4936:9 4949:23,25	selikoff 4826:16	5046:10 5047:13
screen 4816:21	4951:3,9 4956:3,9	sellable 5122:7,17	5076:13
4840:5 5019:5	4956:11 4957:1	selling 5122:19	settings 5078:22
5021:11 5033:22	4973:1 4977:6	semiquantitative	seven 4817:1
5040:11 5059:11	4982:25 4983:5,8	5055:10	

[sewage - sir] Page 52

5014.7	5101 0 5106 10 05	11 4000 0 4056 7	4052 2 4 4054 1
sewage 5014:7	5121:3 5126:10,25	sides 4888:9 4956:7	4853:2,4 4854:1
shaken 5068:6,10	showing 4815:11	5047:25	4856:22,25 4858:3
shaking 5069:3	4816:11 4830:14	sidney 4828:3,6,14	4858:5,25 4859:5
shape 4932:5	5051:16 5111:4	signature 5132:11	4860:23 4861:13
share 4894:17	shown 4814:18	significance	4864:9,23,25
5055:13	4816:20 4818:15	5044:24	4866:16 4867:10,15
shared 4995:11	4826:23 4828:13,16	significant 4880:10	4868:24 4869:13
sharing 5076:24	4828:20 4830:14	4881:15 4919:2	4870:2,9 4871:12
5102:17	4994:22 5107:20	4924:15 4940:9	4872:11 4873:5,13
sharp 4943:2	shows 4813:22	4941:15 4949:13	4873:24 4874:5,9
sheet 5034:6	4969:19 5046:10	4979:15 5002:24	4874:14 4875:17,21
shelf 4857:22	shulton 4983:12	5003:6 5015:10,21	4875:24 4876:4,21
4909:14 5122:7	4990:7 4994:5	5028:6 5037:24	4877:7,13 4878:19
ship 4940:20	4996:1 4999:24	5041:3 5049:25	4879:3,13,15
4949:16	5000:3,7 5021:19	5104:12	4880:5,16,25
shipbuilding	5022:5 5023:9	significantly	4881:6 4883:5,18
5010:20	5030:25 5035:9	4918:18 5034:1	4883:21 4884:2,4
shipped 4940:17	5039:21	5040:2	4887:16,22 4889:18
4946:18	shut 5059:9	silica 4846:2	4889:20,22 4890:1
shipyard 4877:20	sicily 4881:25	silicate 5034:6	4890:8,21 4891:7
4877:24 4937:16	4980:24	similar 4881:21	4892:10 4893:5
shoot 4924:12	side 4830:25	4906:23 4907:19	4894:5,23 4895:4
shop 5001:5,23	4832:17 4849:2	4918:17 4989:12	4896:7,12 4898:19
short 5088:15	4868:20,21 4888:10	similarly 4995:16	4899:12,18 4900:9
5089:2,10 5090:10	5033:25 5070:9	5034:19	4902:3,20,24
5090:14,14 5091:3	5071:6	simple 4836:16	4903:19 4904:9
5091:14,22 5106:14	sidebar 4904:15,16	4852:4 4857:20	4906:8,14,15,18
5107:1	4905:25 4916:18,19	simply 4831:10	4909:2,18 4910:25
shortcut 4864:4	4917:24 4928:14,15	4857:7 4968:3	4911:4,5 4912:16
shorter 5087:19	4929:12 4959:25	simulate 5067:22	4913:9,16 4914:9
shortly 5059:1	4960:1 4961:17	simulated 4908:20	4914:14 4918:7
shot 5109:4,14	4964:6,7,20 4968:2	single 4855:25	4919:13 4921:5
show 4813:4 4817:1	4970:11,12 4971:15	4856:8 4893:4	4922:9 4923:2,10
4818:14 4826:18	4990:12 4994:1	4928:20,24	4924:20 4925:6,21
4830:20 4831:20	5018:21,22 5019:9	sir 4839:6,12,17,23	4926:8 4927:3,8,18
4832:15 4883:1	5036:3,4 5039:13	4840:24 4841:3,15	4929:13,17,24
4919:6 4926:14	5043:10 5048:10	4841:16 4842:4	4930:4,12,21
4932:11 4958:19	5057:19,20 5058:20	4843:6,9,16,19	4931:6,8,9,14
5019:2 5079:2	5063:16 5065:6	4844:3,18 4845:5,9	4932:23,25 4933:4
5081:24	5094:8,9,24,25	4845:16,18,20,22	4933:9 4934:10
showed 4816:3,8	5095:11,17,24	4846:11 4847:8,9	4935:1,2,16,19
4879:11 4956:15	5096:13,14 5099:17	4847:19,20 4849:1	4936:7,14 4937:5
4960:11 5049:7	5099:18 5100:24	4849:5,10,12	4937:12 4938:13
5058:3 5060:14	5104:3 5105:4,6	4850:10 4851:9,11	4939:19 4940:3,11
5061:12 5117:20	·	4851:18,22 4852:3	4940:14 4941:8,9
	1		<u> </u>

[sir - specific] Page 53

	T		
4944:1,3,13	5058:15	4969:6,11 4971:2	5046:22 5057:18
4945:18,21 4946:24	slides 4844:11	4983:16 4995:3,8	5065:25 5066:23
4947:18 4948:16,22	4852:15 4942:11,14	4998:1 5018:13	5071:2
4949:9,19 4950:3,6	4943:8,10 4967:6	5019:18 5041:25	sort 4804:12 4814:4
4950:17,18 4951:15	4976:13	5042:16 5049:8	4843:1,5 4860:4
4951:16 4952:20	slow 4916:2	5051:17 5052:3	4863:22 4869:3
4953:22 4954:4	slower 4915:18,19	5054:10 5057:9	4879:7 4903:4
4955:19 4958:4,25	small 4853:10	5059:16 5060:15	4923:16 4949:25
4959:6,7,12,18	4858:15 4956:16	5061:13 5066:8,21	4950:1 4987:1
4961:22 4963:6,17	5029:9	5068:16 5070:11	5005:9
4968:5,19 4969:24	smaller 4910:20	5077:3 5080:7	sorts 4964:17
4970:2,5 4971:19	4911:14	5082:9	4967:11 5001:18
4972:10,19 4973:11	smiling 5099:4	smolders 4882:7	sought 5129:2
4975:8,13 4976:7	smoke 4806:7	snuffer 5067:16	sound 5101:14
4978:11,14 4979:12	4918:14 5061:3	soak 5069:12	sounds 4910:16
4984:19 4986:4,11	5062:7 5070:20,21	socializing 4830:2	source 4845:3
4988:1 4998:23	smoked 4830:23,23	society 4851:2	4899:16 4927:22,24
5009:10 5015:13,22	4833:19 4862:5,6	4875:5	4941:3 5030:21
5022:2 5082:10	4909:14 4920:21	sold 4867:5 4939:12	5032:13 5077:11
5083:2 5092:11	4959:2,14 4962:19	solution 5069:16	sources 4962:12
sit 4851:24 4977:25	4997:18 4998:7	somebody 4892:22	5012:8 5025:11
5063:10 5078:17	5017:17 5018:14,18	4897:9 4900:11	south 4940:17
5096:10	5020:24 5049:4	4940:17 4942:18	southwest 4840:16
site 4845:2 4948:11	5051:8 5055:12	4949:15 4972:4,25	space 4882:6 5079:4
sitting 5037:15	5079:16 5081:7,22	4976:18 4980:10	5079:8
5073:14	smoker 4902:21	4995:16 5028:19	spackling 5030:19
situation 4961:13	4995:13 5054:16	5076:14,15 5088:21	span 4805:24
5070:11 5076:23	5067:6 5068:2	5093:1 5121:13,22	4876:6 4900:25
5078:20 5098:19	smokers 4831:4	5121:24,25	speak 4864:3
six 4823:17 4876:11	4900:25 4903:20	somebody's 5029:9	5094:21 5095:19,20
4879:23 4930:20,20	5054:24 5080:15	someone's 4895:1	5096:17
4930:20,25 4949:11	smoking 4830:4	5074:21 5075:13	speaking 4977:13
5042:15 5045:11	4831:8,8,16,17	somewhat 4870:11	special 4850:14
5048:5 5112:6	4832:2,4,9 4833:16	sonicating 5068:24	4868:11 4931:3
5125:21	4833:18,20,25	sonication 5068:23	5008:25
sixty 4820:5	4882:14,19,22	5069:3	specialists 4843:3
4823:17	4883:1 4885:23	sorry 4808:19	specialize 4845:6
size 4902:9 4913:17	4891:24 4892:22	4809:6,15,17	specialties 4867:4
4913:22 4931:17,22	4894:15 4895:13	4812:24 4819:11	4975:17,19 4978:25
4932:4 5034:1	4904:4 4906:24	4822:8 4829:24	specialty 4842:7,19
5089:4,24	4907:19 4908:21,21	4833:13 4834:12	4845:10,17
skyscrapers	4918:17 4926:1,4	4835:16 4840:4,6	specific 4859:24
4940:24	4928:6 4948:14	4892:12 4912:2	4898:15 4905:10
slide 4943:4,6	4960:8 4961:25	4919:8 4963:15	4960:4 4977:12
5046:8 5048:2,6	4967:16 4968:9	5019:23 5021:23	5080:21 5096:7

specifically 4815:3	stains 4943:5	5024:5 5033:14	streak 5101:5
4839:15 4850:9	stamp 5116:25	statistically 4940:8	street 4798:20
4868:2 4877:8	5117:1	statistics 5045:20	4800:4 4841:1
4885:8 4890:22	stand 4814:22	stay 4806:3 4849:2	stress 5038:22
4980:11 4985:6	4816:7 4966:19	4849:10 4907:10	string 4862:12
5001:22	5048:2 5068:6	4932:2 4933:23	strong 5103:13
specify 4824:23	standard 4852:17	5094:23 5095:3	5126:22
specimen 4861:19	5038:20	5098:16,23,25	structure 4893:4
4861:21,23	standards 5038:15	5099:1,7,7 5103:18	structures 4858:7
spectrum 4858:20	standards 5058:15	5103:24 5128:10	4893:15 4911:25
4860:5,11,13	start 4823:4	stayed 5043:17	4913:22 5071:13,19
4870:17	4824:24 4839:21	staying 5104:18	5072:13
spend 4851:8	4850:4 4906:9	staying 3104.16	struggling 5124:21
5103:5	4967:9,12 4970:16	4834:10 4933:3	stuck 4905:2 5073:7
spending 5103:8	4981:24 5097:3	steam 4945:5	5073:11,24,25
spent 4866:9	5128:12	4952:22	5121:11
5119:12	started 4860:1	stenographic 4798:4	
spinal 4844:1	4862:23 4866:4	4798:15	4843:13 4874:1,6
spit 4932:20	4900:6 4902:10	stenographically	4919:10
spoke 4975:24	5011:19 5074:10	5132:6	studied 4847:16
5042:20	5105:23	step 4992:18	4880:2 4900:11
spokesperson	starting 4803:20	stephen 4799:18	4937:20 4938:24
5096:16	4965:17 5006:7	4802:17	4944:6,9 4945:25
sponsor 4827:14	5016:4	steve 4802:23	studies 4826:14
sponsoring 5130:4	starts 4820:18,20	4837:25 4838:6	4847:21 4848:2
spontaneous	4948:12 5031:21	stick 4907:6	4855:7 4856:6,6,10
4883:16 4998:5,10	5081:4 5104:7	4967:21 4985:23	4856:14,17,18,19,21
sporn 4867:13	state 4798:18	stipulated 4832:9	4868:16 4883:1
5024:21	4826:17 4827:10	stock 5122:6	4893:16,23,24
spot 4933:24	4841:18,19,22	stop 4895:15	4901:23 4902:24
spray 4940:25,25	4937:9 5022:3	store 4857:22	4904:19 4915:4
4946:15	5023:18 5118:14	4950:13	4929:4 4935:21
sprayed 4941:1	5132:4	stored 4950:11	4937:25 4941:5,22
spread 4845:3	stated 5035:17	story 4805:8	4941:24 4948:25
4848:5 4850:2	5076:21	4830:25 4832:18	4960:7 4969:5,9,12
spring 4853:17	statement 5022:24	4833:2 5103:3	4970:22 4971:1,2
stable 4924:14	5066:14	straight 4924:9	4987:5 5031:7
staff 4886:21	statements 4967:9	5078:24	5044:12 5047:20
4973:24 5059:1	5044:18 5097:11	strange 4938:25	5049:7 5051:16
5099:12	states 4853:17	strategic 5110:11	5054:9,19 5057:8
stage 4824:8	4869:16 4872:6	5113:15,16	5057:13,13,14
5046:13	4877:18 4878:11	strategically	5059:14,19,23
staging 4848:2	4944:7,10,20,22	5120:17	5060:14 5064:4
stain 4844:11	4946:1 4949:3	straw 4914:2	5066:5,6,12,15
	4951:22 4992:17		5079:2,4 5082:24

[studies - szymczak] Page 55

	1	1	
5088:21 5089:9	stuff 4940:25 5123:1	suggestion 4804:4	5002:7 5004:4,24
5106:12 5110:15,16	subject 4883:4	4856:3	5008:4,19 5009:6
5113:17,18,20,22,23	4895:25 4904:20	suggests 4815:23	5013:20 5023:3
5115:3 5117:17	4930:6 4963:24	5032:21	5043:9 5048:9
5119:22 5123:11	4968:19 4982:15	suit 5007:12	5050:3 5059:8
5124:11 5125:25	5021:18 5031:14	5016:13,13,21	5074:17 5075:1
5127:6	5058:2,18 5087:23	suite 4799:9	5086:5 5094:22
study 4811:12	5090:14,17 5091:2	summaries 4947:4	5095:4 5099:10
4843:21 4849:8	5115:18,18 5118:2	summarize 4849:5	5107:7 5109:12
4855:14,15,19	5123:5	4903:18 4916:5	5122:7,16
4856:1 4857:10,25	subjects 4886:7	summarized 4827:3	surface 4857:25
4863:17,21 4877:19	4931:15	summary 4873:10	4921:20,23 4933:13
4878:18,19,22	submit 5097:14	4884:6	5054:1
4879:22,25 4881:10	submitted 5091:6,8	summations	surgeon 4843:23
4882:12 4884:11	5091:18	5101:13,14	4844:6 4942:15,23
4891:2,8,11,16	subsequent 4804:1	sun 5123:2	4947:6 4977:4,6,8
4892:11 4893:3	4904:2 4906:10	superior 4798:1	surgeons 4874:23
4894:6,10,12,13,22	4907:2 5051:20	supervise 5016:6	5041:11
4897:4 4903:5	5123:10	supervised 4942:19	surgery 4976:19
4905:3 4906:11,13	subsequently	supervisor 4952:2	5111:12 5112:18
4907:15 4908:1,24	4907:25 4908:8	support 4891:19	5113:2 5119:19
4925:18,23,25	4910:24 4912:8	4892:15 4925:4	5125:12
4927:12 4932:11	4925:11 4947:10	4926:5 4941:7	surgical 4896:24
4937:6,17 4938:20	substance 4804:12	4966:20 4973:6,8	4947:5
4940:6 4949:5,7,8	5034:1	5009:16	surprised 5005:13
4950:4,8,17 4951:2	substantial 4991:11	supported 4972:18	susceptible 5032:22
4955:2,21 4959:17	5036:9,20 5038:1,2	supportive 5045:7	sustained 4892:13
4959:19,22 4961:22	5038:24 5070:22	sure 4812:6 4819:13	4897:14 4961:16,18
4963:19 4968:19	subtopics 4930:8	4837:9 4839:20	4971:5,16
4969:19 4986:20,25	suffered 4979:7,15	4848:7 4849:24	sweet 4804:13
5028:14 5032:4	5028:1	4854:25 4864:5	switched 4902:12
5043:12 5044:18	sufficient 4928:6	4866:7 4870:6	switching 5047:25
5045:16 5055:10	4935:12 4936:1	4881:2 4884:8	sworn 4839:2
5061:11,12 5062:1	4943:17 5029:11	4888:15 4890:19	4974:23 5019:13
5074:20 5075:13	5038:15	4897:22 4902:18	symposium 4871:14
5083:1 5113:20	sufficiently 5028:19	4905:10,12 4907:12	4872:9
5115:13,18 5116:15	suggest 4830:25	4919:11 4920:10	syringe 4891:14,18
5116:17,20,23	4831:6 4991:8	4931:18,21 4946:22	4906:22 4907:7,14
5117:7,12,13	5031:8 5102:3	4962:17 4963:4	4907:21 4908:20
5118:17,17	suggested 4868:9	4972:7,17 4974:7	4909:6,10 5068:4
studying 4846:7	4882:18 4893:24	4974:25 4981:23	5126:12
4848:19 4875:25	4894:18 4956:18	4983:14 4984:1	syringes 5068:10
4927:20 4941:12	4960:7 4967:5	4985:5 4989:17	szymczak 5080:5
5100:20 5101:12	suggesting 4831:10	4990:11 4992:3	
5103:8	4831:12,12	4995:8 5000:15	
-	-	-	

[t - tend] Page 56

t	takes 4802:1 4837:7	4920:3 4922:15	tapped 5060:23
t 4801:7	4861:2 4887:3	4933:2,6 4937:3	tar 4924:16 4934:14
tab 5030:4	4913:20 4921:12	4939:15 4940:3	tars 4924:2
table 4950:16	4930:24 4974:6	4959:19 4960:6	task 4992:24
	4977:4 5059:7	4975:10 4976:4	taught 4856:3
4953:23 4954:16	talc 4846:1 4948:10	4994:23 4997:6,7	tax 5116:25 5117:1
4955:5,8,13 5002:8	4957:25 4958:6,9	5001:11 5004:6,9	5122:11
5012:4,4 5026:22	4991:6,10 4994:5	5007:12,22 5012:11	teach 4841:11
5037:16	4994:12,15 4995:17	5015:25 5027:5	4874:1,5
tag 5122:11,11	4996:1 4999:24	5040:22 5054:18,22	teacher 4874:1
take 4803:10 4809:8	5000:2,8 5013:10	5055:16,22 5056:2	teaching 4843:13
4809:10 4819:23	5013:19 5022:13	5071:16 5074:5	4875:10 4884:11
4824:9 4842:13	5023:14,20 5024:14	5080:10 5119:9	team 4840:12
4843:23 4852:18	5024:16 5025:12,17	talking 4803:23	technicians 4943:2
4857:13 4878:4	5026:16 5028:19	4806:2,14 4811:5,7	technique 4870:14
4886:18 4892:7,24 4897:2,6 4910:8,12	5030:14,19 5031:1	4817:18 4818:13	4918:21
4924:10,11 4942:16	5031:18 5032:14	4820:13 4827:13	techniques 4875:8
4942:19 4944:25	5033:4,17,25	4833:24 4834:5	tedious 4861:1,7
4942:19 4944:23	5034:11,19,23	4836:15 4840:19	tell 4803:11 4821:10
4971:13 4973:16	5035:2,12,15,21	4867:22 4868:21	4822:23 4855:24
4981:13 4983:18	5036:9 5039:20	4879:4 4889:23	4858:20 4871:13
4996:16 5000:23	5040:9,12 5044:16	4914:15 4916:5	4877:12 4892:14
5002:8,21 5003:25	talcott 5043:12,16	4919:4 4920:8	4896:13 4910:1
5002:8,21 5003:23	5044:9,11,14,18	4936:15 4967:9	4927:2 4950:7
5016:4 5023:17	5045:16 5047:20	4980:7 4982:11	4956:1 4965:2
5027:11 5030:10	talk 4843:8,17	4987:23 4997:12	4984:24 4993:11
5040:10 5041:11,19	4849:11 4856:23	5005:21 5018:1	5013:13,19 5015:15
5045:11 5048:5,5	4864:24 4890:17	5029:3,4 5041:8	5018:7 5099:24
5058:16,17,18,22	4904:10,19 4914:20	5049:22 5066:11,12	5103:23 5104:4
5062:4 5069:16	4920:7 4923:20	5078:19 5084:3,17	5123:18
5075:9,19 5081:3	4931:15,16 4934:10	5085:16 5088:20	telling 4823:7
5087:23 5088:3,14	4944:2 4945:9	5102:19 5112:6,9	4831:5 4870:14
5088:18 5089:1	4947:21 4960:13	5112:14 5117:2	4951:10 4993:10
5096:21,22 5104:21	4965:17 4966:7	5127:7 5128:25	5106:7 5110:10
5122:12,12 5125:12	4989:15 4997:11	5129:25	tells 4821:11
5127:2 5130:7	4999:16 5000:13	talks 4806:18	4860:14 5060:25
taken 4798:17	5005:20 5025:5	4819:3,3 4832:24	temperature
4803:16 4804:18	5026:24,25 5034:25	4833:15 4866:21	5010:17
4813:17 4824:17	5041:23 5042:21	4867:24 4868:1,15	ten 4822:3 4863:1
4887:1 4897:11	5050:9 5055:18	4868:18 4984:5	4920:25 4921:8
4957:18 4974:4	5058:3 5096:5	5012:7,10,21	4922:7 4938:9
4976:18 5046:15	5098:17 5099:1,2	5013:3 5014:10	5079:2,6
5059:5 5060:3	talked 4831:22	5066:19,24 5067:25	tend 4879:19
5067:1 5112:18	4893:22 4909:22	5069:3 5072:7	4913:23 4934:19
	4911:1 4919:18		

[tends - think] Page 57

tends 4923:21	4961:12 4965:13	5115:11 5117:21	theory 4837:1
4979:12	4967:13 4991:16,18	5121:1,8,16,17,24	4892:16 4925:2
tenth 5026:2,4,9	5021:5 5091:22	5121:1,8,16,17,24	therapeutic 4881:8
5029:6	5121:4	5122:10,24 5123:20	4881:17
term 4843:18	testifying 4889:10	5124.7,8,12,24	therapy 4980:8
4849:4 4856:24	4890:16 4895:10	5123.3,7 5120.3	thick 4943:4
4869:6 4936:13	4896:5,6 4911:9	testing 4823:19	thickening 4956:4
4945:11 4958:18	4930:14 4964:15	4911:8 4914:16	4956:17
4982:10	4965:12 4982:19,22	4960:14 4970:5,8,9	thicker 4882:23
terms 4818:16	4989:22 5004:13,17	4970:14,18 4975:23	thin 4914:2 4932:15
4849:6 4863:14	5004:25 5005:3	5046:22 5066:19,24	4943:3 5052:16,19
4879:9 4889:4	5018:17 5059:22	tests 4970:1 5058:3	5052:21
4890:24 4916:5,8	testimony 4803:16	5070:20,21 5118:1	thing 4805:19
4916:16 4920:11	4804:17,22 4805:2	texas 4799:10	4807:11 4813:24
4949:8 4972:11	4805:3,14 4806:18	4839:25 4840:11	4832:14 4840:9
test 4807:23 4812:1	4808:15 4809:21	4841:19,22	4843:5 4860:3
4906:22 4917:11	4810:22 4811:17,20	text 4893:23	4863:8 4908:7
4918:4 4925:3	4811:23 4812:12,12	4972:23	4924:14 4932:14
5057:14 5060:21	4815:20,23 4818:12	textbook 4866:1	4940:5 4970:24
5101:9 5104:5,14	4818:17,23 4819:4	4972:4 5035:1	4990:19 4991:14
5104:20,21 5126:9	4822:17,18 4823:19	textbooks 4972:2	5004:5 5016:9
tested 4808:10	4823:22 4824:1	textiles 5013:23,24	5026:15 5038:18
5116:11,24	4826:21,22 4835:18	thank 4802:14	5057:18 5073:8
testified 4839:2	4836:13 4838:17,21	4803:8 4804:15	5114:14 5120:25
4888:4,6,18,22	4883:19 4885:22	4805:6,11 4808:11	5121:23 5130:11
4889:2,8,14,16,21	4889:6 4904:22	4829:1 4832:21	things 4830:23,24
4889:24 4890:2	4953:17 4958:21	4836:17 4837:21	4854:22 4879:21
4899:19 4903:25	4964:16 4966:6	4838:2,5,8,15,24	4895:9 4905:19
4906:7,20 4918:13	4970:17 4974:24	4886:15 4887:6	4907:22 4909:12
4925:10 4929:6	4983:1,5,8,11,15,23	4929:11 4945:18	4914:25 4918:10
4986:13 4993:23	4984:5,8 4985:5,14	4950:3 4961:9	4919:18 4923:20
4998:25 5006:1	4987:13 4989:4	4963:8 4973:10,14	4933:18,19 4964:18
5015:8,18 5020:1	5000:19 5007:10	4973:15,23,25	4967:11 4976:3
5021:7,8 5041:24	5015:24 5019:13	4974:2,11,13	4979:18 4995:21
5042:2 5044:4,4,12	5021:13,16 5044:2	5036:24 5039:7,12	4996:12 5001:11,18
5044:21,22 5045:14	5044:10 5045:4,22	5047:5 5048:13	5003:9,10,18
5047:8 5048:16	5047:25 5058:23	5059:12 5064:12	5005:20 5015:7
5054:8 5058:7	5061:6,10,20	5095:8 5096:9	5026:24 5043:17
5059:14 5068:16	5065:19 5075:3	5099:11 5105:3	5052:10 5059:25
5076:10 5078:12	5077:5,13 5080:25	5131:2	5062:24 5091:21
5079:10 5092:3,8	5092:22 5097:1	thankful 5109:11	5122:17 5130:13
5127:5	5104:16 5105:12	theater 4813:20	think 4804:14
testify 4818:18	5107:10 5108:12,19	4815:4	4805:7 4808:24
4820:12 4888:2,24	5108:22 5109:5,10	theoretically	4809:2,9 4811:15
4917:7 4931:5,8	5109:23 5112:18	5102:25	4811:23 4813:7

[think - tissue] Page 58

4814:1,6 4815:2	5040:7,16 5044:3	4908:17 4918:22	4997:22 4998:3
4820:17 4822:12,15	5044:15 5045:21	4924:8 4928:5	5000:14 5009:9
4822:21 4823:6,10	5050:4,12,15	4936:19 4938:19	5010:7 5011:18
4823:20 4824:13,17	5053:11 5056:6,13	4939:5 4955:17,22	5012:24 5016:5,8
4826:2 4827:3	5065:23 5069:1	4985:9 5023:5	5016:12,14,16,17
4828:8 4829:22,23	5076:19 5078:23	5032:14 5047:9	5035:18 5042:2,15
4830:9,22,24	5079:5,20 5080:5	5067:6,7,8 5070:12	5047:20 5048:5
4831:2,5,6 4833:4	5081:15 5083:7	5070:17 5072:8	5051:12 5054:8
4834:20 4845:24	5087:25 5092:7	5079:6,18	5057:12 5060:8,14
4849:6 4852:1,1	5097:13 5099:6,6	throw 5006:21	5060:21 5061:21
4853:5 4855:18,23	5102:22,23 5106:6	5081:20	5065:15 5077:5
4856:2,19 4861:3	5111:20 5112:19	thrust 5114:22	5078:16 5082:10,11
4861:25 4862:16	5113:4,6 5122:9	thursday 5097:12	5092:19 5097:5
4865:2,13 4866:3	5129:20	5097:13 5099:25	5098:11,18 5100:2
4866:22 4872:14,20	thinking 4803:9	5100:4,5,6 5101:15	5100:16 5101:8
4873:25 4874:16	thinks 4820:15	5102:25 5103:6	5102:5,11 5103:8
4875:15 4876:10	4828:11 4829:8	tie 4808:6 4905:22	5103:18 5104:11,21
4878:20,22 4882:17	5046:20 5063:24	tied 4806:13	5105:22 5108:10
4889:15 4894:13	thinness 5052:11	tight 4907:11	5109:19 5110:24
4895:9 4901:1,17	5053:24 5054:4	5061:1	5111:7 5114:16
4902:19 4905:16	third 4799:4	tim 4867:12	5118:13,19,25
4907:25 4909:5	4866:14,16 4867:10	time 4803:11,24	5119:12 5127:2,25
4911:5,21 4913:2	4867:11,15,21	4804:13 4805:20,23	5129:10 5130:3
4918:10,11 4922:13	4878:1 4887:8	4806:14,22 4807:6	times 4828:4,10
4923:4 4924:8,23	4911:6 4927:9	4810:18,21 4812:2	4842:24 4843:19
4925:12 4931:7	4954:19 5022:22	4815:6 4822:4	4899:18 4927:21
4936:12,16 4943:21	5062:17 5066:6	4826:7,8,17,19,22	4930:20 4938:20
4944:15 4945:11	5084:12	4827:21 4831:18	4939:5 4972:5
4946:5 4947:11,24	thirty 4874:10	4836:2,8 4838:25	4985:2,9,20
4948:7,13 4952:13	thomas 4822:11	4842:14 4851:9	5037:16 5055:24
4952:22 4953:7	thought 4813:18,25	4855:11 4861:2,8	5056:4,7,11,12,14
4957:19 4959:11,12	4815:23 4827:6	4862:14 4865:11,17	5057:6 5073:17
4960:20,21,23	4866:6,8 4882:9	4873:5 4876:6	5108:16 5110:1
4961:1,12 4964:18	4894:16 4906:25	4882:3,4 4886:5,18	5127:2
4976:3,8 4980:7	4911:17,24 4923:4	4889:22,24 4890:2	timing 4993:9
4985:6 4988:18	4926:19 4970:13	4890:21 4900:24	tip 5116:9
4989:12 4991:19,23	5040:4 5091:13,16	4901:21 4902:16	tips 5115:20
4992:25 4993:5	5096:6 5097:22	4903:4,24 4907:1	5116:12
4997:20 4999:25	5105:22 5120:9	4907:24 4929:17	tissue 4844:8,9
5000:1,3 5002:4,4,5	thousand 4915:21	4930:2,22 4932:3	4848:13,22 4857:4
5009:24 5015:4,5	5056:4	4939:23 4953:4	4857:12,13,18,23
5017:23 5020:18	thousands 4861:12	4966:23 4969:17,22	4858:4 4868:12
5026:21 5028:10	three 4842:15	4970:8 4974:9,18	4880:18 4893:13
5030:8 5036:14,17	4871:21 4894:15	4977:4 4978:24	4895:25 4896:16,20
5036:25 5038:6,21	4899:21,23 4904:2	4987:24 4989:25	4897:3,7,11,19
		1	

[tissue - trip] Page 59

4909.7.22.4000.16	1907.6 1992.25	totally, 5006.21	tractice 4066.20
4898:7,22 4900:16	4807:6 4883:25	totally 5006:21	treatise 4966:20
4919:21 4923:5	4888:8 4901:18	touches 5002:18	treatment 4897:3
4925:14 4926:3	4987:22 4991:25	touching 5073:13	5041:15
4928:10 4936:23	5003:22 5012:12	toxic 5088:16	treatments 5066:20
4940:2 4941:12,18	5017:5 5078:17	toxicity 5089:4,10	tremolite 4936:20
4941:21 4943:1,12	5095:2 5096:5,18	track 4919:12	4937:1 4987:3
4943:14,16,17,24	5098:11,24 5102:17	tract 4908:15	4991:6 4994:15
4959:14 4960:9	5130:1	4912:1 4913:19	4995:18,22 5013:6
4962:7,12 4964:13	told 4867:5 4875:17	4914:7 4915:2,7,14	5023:19 5024:15,20
4965:6 4967:4,7	4923:4 4957:21	4932:1 4934:20	5025:8,11,14,20,24
4977:8 4996:22	4958:21 4964:17	trade 4988:15	5026:9 5028:20,25
5027:11 5032:5	4975:5 4987:16	5078:14	5029:5,22 5030:12
5041:12,14,19	4992:22 4996:7	trained 4948:5	5030:21 5031:8,10
5043:4 5048:25	5006:22 5054:14	trainee 4867:12,13	5031:12,15,16
5049:18,20,21,23	5075:20 5081:15	4942:18	5032:15,20,23
5050:2,4,4,10,20,23	5096:20 5097:5	trainees 4866:13,15	5035:3,12,22
5051:1 5054:15,23	5098:6 5102:4	training 4839:23	5039:24 5040:1,11
5062:20 5069:6,7	5107:15 5113:4	4841:13 4842:9,12	5040:25 5041:6
5069:18,24,25	tom 4867:13	4860:2 4865:16	5083:15
5074:6,23 5075:14	tomatis 5088:7,10	4874:2,3 4878:1	trial 4798:6 4802:5
5075:15 5079:15	tomorrow 5094:14	4975:10	4831:23 4837:13
5082:5,12,12,19,19	5094:15,16 5095:5	transcript 4798:4	4886:21 4888:6
5083:9,13 5084:10	5097:2,3 5098:11	4798:15 4815:7	4906:20 4907:1
5084:21 5085:8,8	5098:17,21 5099:2	4817:16 4818:3	4918:13 4919:11
5085:14,24 5086:2	5099:12 5103:15,16	4827:19 4834:21	5041:24 5042:4,11
5086:8	5105:3 5128:10,11	4835:3,3 5000:24	5061:10 5065:24
tissues 4844:5,17	5128:13 5130:9	5019:3 5051:23	5075:3 5100:20
4846:16 4882:4	5131:2	5107:5,14,20	5104:12 5107:12,16
4900:4 4901:25	tonight 5128:23	5109:2 5112:15	5107:17,19 5108:1
4919:9 4920:1	toot 4851:23	5114:16 5119:12	5108:9,16,18,23,24
4940:8,10 4942:5	top 5071:5	5132:5	5108:25 5109:10,17
5027:1 5069:11	topic 4840:12	transcripts 4947:11	5109:19,20 5110:13
5080:4	4864:7 4868:25	5119:9	5111:10 5113:16
title 4873:12	4869:20,25 4871:10	transmission 5084:5	5119:11 5121:15,16
4912:17 4967:15	4871:13 4930:7	travel 4930:22	5131:3
4968:7 5029:19	5088:2 5091:12	5108:4 5111:19	trials 4975:1 5120:1
titled 5024:19	topics 4853:19	5125:11	5120:7
5062:6	4854:12 4867:14	traveling 4930:23	triangle 4902:12
tobacco 4837:25	4868:23 4870:3	treat 4927:16	tried 4804:11
4889:9,13 4901:3	4873:7 4877:9	4976:12	5038:19 5108:17
4927:1 4929:15	4949:5 5058:11	treated 4869:1	5111:9 5113:24
4937:23 4982:20	5123:12	4893:3 4911:7	tries 4842:6
today 4802:4 4805:1	total 5074:22	4942:24	trigger 5103:16
4805:12,14,18	5075:15 5076:3,7	treating 4844:13	trip 5108:9 5109:13
4806:15,17,18	5084:15		

[trouble - unforeseen] Page 60

trouble 4949:19,21	turns 5102:13	5090:21	unbreathed 5077:2
true 4814:23	twenty 4820:6,7	types 4845:21	undercounting
4938:23 4976:24	twice 4903:3 4982:7	4846:10 4848:13,23	4916:9 4920:15
4977:22 4984:10	4985:9 5114:4,15	4855:2 4857:3	underestimate
4986:12 4996:11,25	two 4847:24 4858:6	4859:21,24 4860:10	5070:10
4997:8 4999:1,4	4866:2,10,15	4860:10 4864:10,14	underestimated
5006:5 5008:5,10	4868:19 4870:12	4869:15 4879:25	4917:13,18
5017:16 5018:11	4883:2 4905:20	4884:16,20,23	underestimation
5025:23 5026:15	4908:4 4912:5	4885:7,12 4934:23	4916:9 4918:23
5025.23 5020.13	4914:10,24 4915:16	4934:25 4935:4	4920:7,15 4922:6
5034:22 5042:14	4914:10,24 4913:10	4944:7 4949:1,1	undergoes 4902:16
5047:10 5049:11,14	4918.21 4921.2	4953:10 4965:9	undergraduate
5072:6 5075:18	4939:5 4940:15		
	4945:1 4949:25	5002:13 5003:22,23	4839:23,24
5086:23 5132:5	4945:1 4949:25	5009:12,20 5010:23 5012:11 5053:4	underground 5014:7
truth 4804:6	4970:19 4984:16		understand 4814:25
try 4844:24 4846:22 4848:9 4862:25	4990:22 4993:24 4995:21 4997:21	5061:14 5083:12,21 5083:22 5084:23	4822:14 4834:13
4867:16 4871:22	4998:1 5012:25	5090:3 5091:21	4885:3,7 4957:24
4905:8 4933:17	5017:21 5020:19	typical 4856:18,18	4958:3 4959:1,5
4954:12 5039:19	5021:4 5025:10	4913:21	4978:4 4985:20
5120:3 5128:5	5046:8 5062:13,25	typically 4843:13	4995:5 5028:11
trying 4829:6	5067:1,14 5070:21	4855:17 4943:3	5047:2 5070:2
4872:12,15 4905:15	5071:3,9 5089:22	4952:21 4972:1,2	5087:2 5110:20
4905:17 5038:17	5103:7 5112:18	4972:15 5041:5	5123:15
5040:7 5067:3	5116:24 5118:15	5052:20	understandably
5108:21,24 5109:23	5120:1 5125:12	u	5128:1
5114:5 5129:2	type 4805:20	u.s. 4850:13,23	understanding
tuberculosis 4882:5	4806:23 4845:1	4851:9,13 4852:22	4909:9 4947:23
4882:6	4846:17 4858:22	4853:7 4874:13	4950:19,22 4952:5
tubes 4934:8	4860:23 4863:4	4876:12 4877:5	4968:16 4972:12
tuesday 5103:15	4877:17,22 4881:11	4944:13,24 4946:4	4994:17 4997:17
tumor 4942:17	4890:24 4896:19	4978:12,21 5028:12	5001:16 5005:10
4943:12,16 4980:11	4898:16 4902:13	uicc 4860:7	5006:19 5007:1
5020:8 5049:23	4935:10,14 4936:23	ultimate 4961:10	5022:4,20,20
5050:1,3 5082:12	4937:1,9,14,20	ultrastructural	5023:4 5030:24
5082:14,19	4940:22,22 4941:18	4927:5 4938:1	5031:2 5035:18
tumors 4854:24	4944:20 4952:5	um 4830:16 5011:13	5039:21 5041:17
turf 4985:20,21	4953:2 4955:3	5046:24	5129:15
4987:7,14	4965:11 4980:10,19	unavailability	understate 5082:13
turkey 4881:24	4986:5 5003:19	5111:4,15 5112:20	5082:15
4980:19	5005:19 5008:1,6	5121:10	understood 4898:4
turn 5019:21	5009:3,16 5010:2	unavailable 5108:7	4917:20
5031:20	5010:23 5012:17,22	5108:21 5109:8,21	unexpected 4880:7
turned 4837:10	5014:13 5018:10	5124:15 5125:1	unforeseen 5098:14
4878:2 4974:8	5047:14 5053:10,19		

unfortunately	5010:15,21 5027:12	5057:12 5061:14	wall 4924:18
5028:1 5121:13	5027:25 5033:4	vast 4880:7,11,21	want 4821:20
uniform 5033:25	5052:23 5056:13	4956:5 4999:5	4830:12,25 4832:3
unique 4810:15	5052:25 5050:15	vegas 5100:11	4830:12,23 4832:3
4854:24 4855:2,21	5070:5 5092:15	5101:3 5103:25	4844:25 4890:14
united 4851:14			
	5110:12 5115:7	vermiculite 5030:14	4906:3,12 4917:9
4853:17 4869:16	5123:17	vermont 5116:25	4919:11 4946:20
4872:5 4877:18	useful 4866:25	versus 4802:7	4967:12 4981:18
4878:11 4944:7,10	4868:13 4943:16	4837:15 4847:12	4993:12 5005:20
4944:20,22 4946:1	user 4863:5 4878:5	4945:16 5032:4	5044:1 5047:3
4949:2 4992:17	4995:19	5046:4 5062:21	5058:17 5063:22
5024:4 5033:14	uses 4866:19	vial 5069:3	5074:17 5081:17
university 4839:25	4868:25 4884:20	victor 4801:3	5095:4 5096:2
4840:10,19 4843:9	4944:2,6,9 4945:8	4838:25 4839:2,8	5098:10,12,16
4873:19	5045:16,20	5032:10 5062:22	5099:1,6,7 5102:17
unpublished	usual 4882:21	video 4816:20	5103:5 5104:10
4904:19,23 5064:4	usually 4913:21	4823:4,14 4919:6	5106:22 5107:9,12
5110:14 5113:18,22	5022:22	5105:23 5107:22	5107:16,21 5109:5
5113:23 5117:17	utilize 4966:16	5108:3,5 5109:11	5111:5,6 5114:12
5118:16,17 5119:4	v	5113:16 5114:12,14	5114:21 5120:20
5125:24 5126:5,7,8	v 4798:7 4961:12	5119:11 5125:16	5121:4 5123:16
5126:12 5127:6	va 4876:17,18,19	videotape 4836:23	5127:1 5128:19
unquote 5034:16		5121:2	5130:3
unusual 4938:25	4901:18,21 4976:11 5027:9	view 4993:3 5041:19	wanted 4806:10
updated 4873:8		5087:24 5089:8	4912:14 4943:19
upgrade 4878:4	vacation 5097:22	views 5126:22	4951:23 4964:19
upper 4914:7	5098:7 5100:2,7,10	virus 4933:15	4992:2 5038:11
upwards 4921:25	5102:4,7 5104:14	viscomi 4798:13	5046:19 5057:24
4934:8	5104:22	vital 5045:21	5095:19 5096:5
urged 5033:17	vachani 4951:18,22	vlr 5032:10	5109:15 5111:23
urging 5033:3	vacuumed 5016:15	voice 4803:22	5114:7
urine 4844:1	5016:22	4928:19 5090:24	wants 4823:11
usage 5012:8	valerie 4798:3	volmer 5024:21	4827:18 4844:7
use 4849:7 4857:21	4802:6 4837:14	volume 4798:7	4929:2 4965:13
4858:11,13 4859:23	validity 4959:22	volunteer 4905:11	4966:7 5037:16
4864:10,14 4868:10	value 4969:20	4905:19	5065:2,2 5093:1
4869:9 4872:22	5122:11	vose 4799:16,20	5098:23
4873:4 4875:8	varies 4854:2	4802:21,23 4838:4	wash 4883:3
4901:13,18 4902:15	5034:1	4838:7,22 4889:10	washed 4949:16
4910:11 4913:10	varieties 5012:7	4982:23	5068:17
4943:2 4945:15,19	variety 4846:4		waste 4803:25
4945:20,21,25	various 4848:2	W	4804:1
4943.20,21,23	4860:10 4868:23	wagner 4940:16	wasteful 4804:7
4984:12 4985:3	4952:2 4978:9	wait 5086:13	
	4983:2,6 4985:20		water 4910:4,7
5006:6 5007:2			5014:7

[wave - worked] Page 62

wave 5062:17	5117:8,14 5126:7	willing 5109:9,10	word 4815:7 4982:7
wax 4943:2	5129:7,24	win 4827:18	5008:14
way 4818:18 4821:3	wear 4973:20	windpipe 4914:8	worded 5038:20
4822:21 4823:1,13	5005:12 5016:11	wish 4826:12	words 4814:17
4825:21,22 4827:8	5058:25	wishes 5064:19	4827:21 4849:5
4831:15 4842:8	wearing 5005:1,6	withdrawn 4985:21	4938:19 5022:16
4849:3 4855:13	5007:12 5014:24	5021:17 5035:7	5023:5 5093:6
4857:20 4876:23	5015:19,23 5016:1	5051:3 5071:23	5117:5
4893:20 4897:5	wednesday 5096:3	withdrew 5115:7	work 4810:15
4898:6 4905:4	5097:4,13 5101:15	5118:4	4839:24 4842:1,14
4909:8 4910:12	5101:20 5103:17	witness 4801:2	4843:13 4844:13
4916:6 4918:14	week 4837:9	4803:5 4805:2	4853:25,25 4873:19
4923:8,25 4934:5	4862:25 4876:8	4806:14 4810:24	4873:23 4874:4
4950:13 4964:11	5079:6 5098:3	4811:20 4818:13,22	4880:24 4887:19
4984:24,25 4992:7	5107:17 5114:20	4818:24 4823:21	4888:4 4896:21
4995:18 4996:2,4	5128:2	4824:5,7 4826:20	4912:9 4913:7
5006:14 5021:5	weekend 5100:12	4826:24 4827:17,18	4914:10 4929:16,23
5039:9 5040:21	weeks 4919:11	4828:1 4829:10	4930:10 4941:24
5046:17 5069:6	weight 4961:2	4834:23 4836:23	4944:4 4947:9,21
5077:16 5089:7	4972:21 5074:13	4838:20,23 4886:6	4947:23,24 4948:5
5092:8,25 5094:19	5110:5	4886:12 4904:19,21	4948:20 4950:20,22
5109:2 5112:15	went 4840:25	4905:18 4916:21	4950:24 4951:2
5113:9 5127:16	4937:4 4940:24	4917:1,7 4919:6	4953:14 4960:12,24
wayne 4826:2,6	4944:16,24 4945:7	4929:19 4960:21	4964:2,24 4968:4
4828:3,14 4912:21	4947:2 5001:12	4961:6 4966:5	4984:6 4985:7
wayne's 4828:6	5016:5 5045:18,24	4967:13 4993:22	4988:9 4999:6
ways 4833:22	5107:17 5112:24	5045:22 5048:2	5000:20 5001:21
4849:24 4858:6	5118:22 5129:20	5095:10,12,15	5004:1 5005:1,9
4896:23 4933:6	westmont 4799:19	5097:2 5108:21	5021:18 5023:9,24
4989:7 4996:9	wet 5084:10	5109:2,3,8 5111:16	5035:8 5078:1
5085:11 5086:7,8	wetting 5004:14	5114:4,15 5125:1	5093:2,4,7,24
wcd 5130:11	5014:25 5015:8	5125:10 5130:4	5094:4 5105:7
we've 4811:17	whatsoever 4899:2	witnesses 4811:18	5120:2 5122:20
4848:12 4851:4	4958:5 4980:21	4827:11 4831:19	5126:9,25 5129:13
4854:20 4856:19	wheel 4864:3	4845:15 4847:5	worked 4814:24
4859:9 4873:11	whichever 5076:1	5111:19 5126:13	4828:2 4864:19
4874:13 4878:8	whipsawed 5126:8	woman 4895:25	4948:12 4951:11
4888:20 4911:1	whittaker 4800:7	4896:10 4898:11	4952:6,16 4953:19
4914:15 4919:10	4802:25 4803:3	4899:10 4968:8	4955:15 4957:22
4926:25 4930:8	4838:9,13	woman's 4967:15	4964:23 4965:4,18
4947:11 4954:8	wife 4830:3,23	women 4894:6,11	4989:24 4990:7
4959:19 4969:18	4831:3,8 4862:20	4894:14 4950:7	4999:2 5001:3
4981:11 5018:11	william 4886:3	5031:21 5032:3	5009:9 5018:14
5045:12 5106:9	5062:22	5055:11,12	5020:6,11 5097:6
5107:20 5116:2			5106:9

[worker - zero] Page 63

worker 4949:16	4972:6 4986:22	5126:15,24
4954:10 4955:12	4994:18 5033:3	vear 4853:16 4876:6
4958:15 5002:10,17	wrong 4915:14	4881:10 4906:23
5046:12	4965:2,10,21	4918:4 4922:7
workers 4877:21,24	4965:23 4991:19	4986:21,21 5046:7
4937:16 4949:14		5074:11 5125:11
4955:3 5002:13	wrote 4826:9	
	4861:16 4871:8	yearly 4871:6
working 4832:16,17	4872:16 4877:15	years 4808:9
4840:9 4863:18	4903:11 4984:7	4842:10,10 4854:4
4866:4,4 4877:25	5022:21 5023:1	4855:5 4857:4
4930:1 4948:8	5033:9,11 5035:7	4866:9 4874:10
4954:1 4965:25	5091:15	4875:22 4876:2,16
4983:9,11 4995:16	X	4876:16 4881:14
4995:25 4996:2,8	x 4801:1,7 4847:4	4888:14 4892:23
5011:19,20 5014:18	4858:20	4901:20 4909:14,16
5014:25 5018:14	xi00995 5132:12	4915:20,22 4920:19
5022:12,12 5023:12	xxiv 4798:7	4920:22,25 4921:1
5023:13,13 5024:9		4921:4,8 4928:3,7,8
5030:25 5035:21	y	4938:9,10,11
5039:20 5044:5	yeah 4809:10	4939:21 4947:25
5046:13 5122:18	4816:6 4817:9	4948:1,1,10,22
workplace 4864:15	4829:25 4836:25	4952:1 4955:14
4880:17,22 5017:18	4844:5 4853:14	4956:8 4957:23
5020:6,12,15,23	4861:7 4872:14	4976:22 4980:13
5021:1 5047:22	4873:25 4875:7	4985:8 4986:23
5076:23	4876:19 4892:18	4999:5 5000:3
workplaces 4884:25	4893:22 4894:10	5023:8 5055:13
works 4813:25	4907:9 4920:4,17	5094:3
world 4871:18	4923:18 4925:22	york 4799:5,5
5053:5	4926:17 4927:4	5108:4
worried 4937:15	4934:16 4935:6	young 4881:11
worry 4835:10	4936:16 4939:2	younger 4928:9
5098:7	4949:10 4954:12	Z
wrap 4930:9	4955:5 4956:23	zero 5055:7
write 4852:12	4972:22 4978:23	Zeio 3033.7
4860:7 4866:8	4983:3 4986:20,25	
4929:22 5000:2	4988:4 5001:20	
5023:15	5002:9 5013:24	
writer 4827:4	5041:5 5043:14	
writing 4987:13	5046:24 5050:3,15	
writings 5068:22	5056:6 5067:4	
written 4826:6	5070:3,16 5072:19	
4842:13 4851:6	5075:17 5078:23	
4854:15,21 4868:19	5079:18 5094:20	
4887:13,14 4972:2	5116:8,18 5117:19	